TECHNICAL SHEETS FOR COORDINATION

VERTICAL RECOMMENDATION FOR USE SHEETS (RfUs) - STATUS ON APRIL 2014

Number CNB/M/	Revision (Rev)	Key words	Approved by Vertical Group of NBs ⁽²⁾ on:	Approved by Horizontal Committee of NBs ⁽²⁾ on:	Endorsed by Machinery Working Group on:
Vertical G	roup 01 – \	Noodworking machinery			
01.029	05	Tractor driven machine, P.T.O.	24/04/2009	09/12/1998	03/03/2000
01.043	05	Hand fed tenoning machine; working return stroke	24/04/2009	04/12/2001	04/01/2005
01.045	08	Circular saw, function brake, firewood saw; safety and reliability of control system	03/05/2012	28/06/2012	17/01/2013
01.062	07	Noise emission of woodworking machines	24/04/2009	26/11/2009	26/05/2010
01.072	03	Single spindle vertical moulding machines; direction of spindle rotation	24/04/2009	26/11/2009	03/03/2008
01.073	03	Surface planing and thicknessing machines, position of controls	24/04/2009	10/06/2007	03/03/2008
01.075	03	Circular Sawing Machines: Circular saw benches and dimension saws, power operated automatic adjustment of the saw	24/04/2009	10/06/2008	08/01/2009
01.081	02	Single spindle vertical moulding machines, table insert rings	23/04/2010	15/06/2010	30/12/2010
01.082	02	Small woodworking machines with electric brake	23/04/2010	15/06/2010	30/12/2010
01.083	02	Safeguarding of the pressure beam: trip bar – design and dimensions	23/04/2010	15/06/2010	30/12/2010
01.084	02	Material with similar physical characteristics to wood	04/11/2010	14/12/2010	04/07/2012
01.087	02	Chain saw for tree service/top handle machine, electric powered	05/05/2012	28/06/2012	17/01/2013
Vertical G	roup 02 – I	leatworking machinery			
02.001	02	Adjustable guards	17/11/2011	13/12/2011	23/04/2012
Vertical G	roup 03 – I	Presses for cold-working metal	S		
03.002	12	Presses – Metal – Field of application	30/09/2009	12/12/1995	04/06/1996
03.004	06	Technical file	30/09/2009	12/12/1995	04/06/1996
03.005	03	Platform, ladders	30/09/2009	17/04/1996	08/06/1998
03.013	08	Acceptability of components of type examined presses	13/10/2010	14/12/2010	23/05/2010
03.022	06	Intrinsic safe pneumatic valve	30/09/2009	18/09/1997	08/06/1998
03.027	06	Secondary protection /Two Hands Control Device / Active Optoelectronic Protective Devices	30/09/2009	19/09/1996	08/06/1998
03.028	06	Failing of springs in the brake	30/09/2009	18/09/1997	08/06/1998

Number CNB/M/	Revision (Rev)	Key words	Approved by Vertical Group of NBs ⁽²⁾ on:	Approved by Horizontal Committee of NBs ⁽²⁾ on:	Endorsed by Machinery Working Group on:
03.029	04	Reaching over, under and around the detection zone	30/09/2009	13/12/1995	04/06/1996
03.032	04	Fixing the tools, failure of one component	30/09/2009	13/12/1995	08/06/1998
03.033	06	Protection measures, die cushion, blank holder and workpiece ejector control system	30/09/2009	12/12/1995	08/06/1998
03.035	04	crushing hazards, ram frame	30/09/2009	12/12/1995	04/06/1996
03.038	07	Fault exclusion/directional valve	30/09/2009	18/09/1997	08/06/1998
03.068	07	Emergency stop	30/09/2009	09/06/2005	29/10/2005
03.073	05	Testing procedure for brake	30/09/2009	19/09/1996	08/06/1998
03.078	08	Protection, flexible piping	30/09/2009	21/11/2005	20/04/2006
03.088	09	C – frame- press, safeguarding at the sides, single cycle	30/09/2009	07/12/2000	04/01/2005
03.095	05	Guards, safety distance	29/09/2009	19/09/1996	08/06/1998
03.102	06	Overrun detection / Screw presses	29/09/2009	09/06/2005	29/10/2005
03.111	06	Stopping time measurement / die cushion / ejector	29/09/2009	11/12/2003	01/07/2004
03.117	07	AOPD / Additional guards	29/09/2009	26/11/2009	26/05/2010
03.124	07	Press-brakes / tandem assembly	29/09/2009	21/11/2005	20/04/2006
03.128	08	Overlapping, Monitoring Valves	29/09/2009	09/06/2005	29/10/2005
03.141	04	Bypassing monitored restraint valves	29/09/2009	02/06/1999	03/03/2000
03.143	09	Spindle / Screw presses – block / shoe brakes	12/10/2010	14/12/2010	23/05/2011
03.154	07	Hydraulic presses, Mechanical restraint device, Production and Maintenance	30/09/2009	24/10/2002	02/03/2004
03.157	05	Press-Brake, Hydraulic Press, Release of trapped persons	29/09/2009	09/06/2005	29/10/2005
03.159	06	Valve monitoring, PES	29/09/2009	24/10/2002	02/03/2004
03.160	05	Automatic cycle – AOPD / Interlocking guard without guard locking valve	29/09/2009	04/12/2001	04/01/2005
03.164	06	Press Brakes – Mode selection	29/09/2009	16/06/2003	17/12/2003
03.165	05	Press Brakes, Light curtains- Blanking	29/09/2009	16/06/2003	17/12/2003
03.166	06	Press Brakes, AOPD	29/09/2009	16/06/2003	17/12/2003
03.170	05	Hydraulic Presses with "Low force approach" – Controls	29/09/2009	16/06/2003	17/12/2003
03.172	04	Safety valve, separated clutch and brake	29/09/2009	16/06/2003	17/12/2003
03.176	05	Restart / Reset / AOPD	29/09/2009	09/06/2005	29/10/2005
03.177	04	Hydraulic press brake – AOPD moving with the beam, box bending, mode confirmation	29/09/2009	09/12/2004	24/05/2005
03.179	04	Press-brakes – Working with one side guard open	29/09/2009	09/12/2004	24/05/2005
03.180	04	Press-brakes – Ancillary devices – Powered tools clamping devices	28/09/2009	09/12/2004	24/05/2005

Number CNB/M/	Revision (Rev)	Key words	Approved by Vertical Group of	Approved by Horizontal Committee of	Endorsed by Machinery Working
(1)	(Rev)		NBs ⁽²⁾ on:	NBs ⁽²⁾ on:	Group on:
03.182	04	Press-brakes – ESPE using AOPD in the form of laser beams – Additional crushing hazard	28/09/2009	09/12/2004	24/05/2005
03.185	05	Movable screens	30/09/2009	09/06/2005	29/10/2005
03.186	06	Acceptability of a component, configurable or parameterizable PES	28/09/2009	26/11/2009	26/05/2010
03.187	05	Failure of auxiliary powered functions for setting	30/09/2009	09/06/2005	29/10/2005
03.188	06	Front guard switch	28/09/2009	10/08/2008	08/01/2009
03.189	05	Defeat of protective measures on presses	30/09/2009	21/11/2005	20/04/2006
03.192	04	Press brakes – secondary working devices	06/10/2008	09/12/2008	18/06/2009
03.194	05	Servo press (Power Presses & Press Brakes), brake	03/03/2009	10/06/2009	25/12/2009
03.196	04	Servo presses, protective measures	07/10/2008	09/12/2008	08/06/2009
03.200	05	Servo-presses (Power Presses & Press Brakes), Stopping performance monitoring	03/03/2009	10/06/2009	25/12/2009
03.201	05	Servo-presses (Power Presses & Press Brakes), STO, prevention of unintended start	04/03/2009	10/06/2009	25/12/2009
03.202	04	Press brakes – back gauge movement initiation	03/03/2009	10/06/2009	25/12/2009
03.204	03	Presses – Safety distances	28/09/2011	11/12/2012	04/06/2013
03.206	03	Presses – Two hand control device (THCD)	27/09/2012	11/12/2012	04/06/2013
03.207	03	Press-brakes – Powered work- piece supports	27/09/2012	11/12/2012	04/06/2013
Vertical G	roup 04 – 1	Injection or compression mould	ling machines		
04.004	04	Moulding machine. Essential equipments and accessories	25/08/2009	11/03/1997	08/06/1998
04.005	04	Moulding machines. Materials used during the construction of these machines	25/08/2009	11/03/1997	08/06/1998
04.009	08	Moulding machinery / Automatic loading and unloading	25/08/2009	10/04/2007	14/09/2007
04.011	04	Moulding machinery / injection for plastics / light curtains /movable guards / mould protection	25/08/2009	18/09/1997	08/06/1998
04.013	05	Injection moulding machine with fence; mechanical latch	25/08/2009	02/12/1999	09/04/2001
04.014	04	Machine with fence and robot crossing the mould area into the fence area behind the machine	25/08/2009	21/11/2005	20/04/2006
04.017	05	Stepping behind the rear guard of the mould area, Horizontal injection moulding machine	25/08/2009	02/12/1999	09/04/2001

Number CNB/M/	Revision (Rev)	Key words	Approved by Vertical Group of NBs ⁽²⁾ on:	Approved by Horizontal Committee of NBs ⁽²⁾ on:	Endorsed by Machinery Working Group on:
04.018	04	Restart the mould closing movement by closing guard gate	25/08/2009	18/09/1997	08/06/1998
04.029	04	Vertical Injection or Compression Moulding Machine Response-time of the hydraulic system	25/08/2009	02/06/1999	03/03/2000
04.034	05	Rubber and Plastics injection moulding machine; interlocking of movable guards providing access to the closing mechanism area	25/08/2009	02/12/1999	04/01/2001
04.035	04	Rubber and Plastics Injection Moulding Machines. Equipment grounding conductors provided on limit switches	26/08/2009	02/06/1999	03/03/2000
04.038	05	Injection moulding machines for rubber; laser scanners	26/08/2009	07/12/2000	04/01/2005
04.039	05	Rubber and Plastics injection moulding machines / Accessible mould area / Pressure-sensitive platforms in the mould area	26/08/2009	07/12/2000	04/01/2005
04.040	05	Injection moulding machines; automatic sequence control, guard closing; latch retracting, mould closing. Machines tie bar distance>1200 mm	26/08/2009	02/12/1999	09/04/2001
04.041	08	Injection moulding machines; automatic sequence control, guard closing; latch retracting, mould closing. Machines tie bar distance>1200 mm	26/08/2009		09/04/2001
04.043	04	Horizontal moulding machines / Safety distances / Shape of the guard	26/08/2009	07/12/2000	04/01/2005
04.044	04	Rubber and Plastics injection moulding machines / Risk analysis in the technical file	26/08/2009	07/12/2000	04/01/2005
04.051	04	Rubber and Plastics injection moulding machines / Monitoring by a programmable controller	26/08/2009	07/12/2000	04/01/2005
04.052	04	Rubber and Plastics injection moulding machines / Interlocking of movable guards that give access to the mould area	26/08/2009	07/12/2000	04/01/2005
04.053	04	24 VDC hydraulic valves, protective bonding circuit connection on the voltage supply plug of a 24 VDC solenoid valve	26/08/2009	19/06/2001	04/01/2005
04.064	05	Injection moulding machine for plastics – Emergency stop, heating elements	26/08/2009	09/12/2004	24/05/2005

Number CNB/M/	Revision (Rev)	Key words	Approved by Vertical Group of NBs ⁽²⁾ on:	Approved by Horizontal Committee of NBs ⁽²⁾ on:	Endorsed by Machinery Working Group on:
04.067	04	Injection moulding machines for plastics, horizontal closing machines Interlocking of rotational mould movements inside the mould area	26/08/2009	09/12/2004	24/05/2005
04.069	06	Injection moulding machines – Protection device type III	26/08/2009	10/06/2008	08/01/2009
04.073	05	Plastics and rubber machines – compression moulding machines – mechanical restraint device	26/08/2009	10/06/2008	08/01/2009
04.075	04	Plastics and rubber machines – compression moulding machines – detection of persons standing behind a light curtain within the tool area	26/08/2009	10/06/2008	08/01/2009
04.076	03	Plastics and rubber hydraulic IMM – horizontal mould closing movement – motor control unit	26/08/2009	09/12/2008	18/06/2009
04.077	03	Plastics and rubber horizontal IMM – two platens machine – high pressure mould closing movement	26/08/2009	09/12/2008	08/06/2009
04.078	03	Plastic and rubber IMM - plasticizing unit- measurement of the temperature on the surface of the cover of the plasticizing unit	26/08/2009	09/12/2008	08/06/2009
04.083	04	injection machines with tie bar distances >1200 mm; person standing behind the mould at the rear side of the machine or entering the mould area from the operator's side	13/09/2011	13/12/2011	23/04/2012
Vertical G	roup 05 – I	Machines for underground worl	ĸ		
05.001	05	Internal combustion engine, emission of dust, gas, exhaust	03/11/2009	07/12/2000	04/01/2005
05.002	05	Internal combustion engine, emission of dust, gas, exhaust, methane in intake air	03/11/2009	07/12/2000	04/01/2005
05.007	04	Internal combustion engine, emission of dust, gas, exhaust, limits	03/11/2009	07/12/2000	04/01/2005
05.201	03	Hydraulic powered roof support	03/11/2009	13/12/1995	04/06/1996
05.202	02	Hydraulic powered roof support, components with safety function, safety components	03/11/2009	13/12/1995	04/06/1996
05.208	03	Hydraulic powered roof support, placing on the market, putting into	03/11/2009	12/12/1995	04/06/1996
05.220	05	Hydraulic powered roof support, support unit, technical file, EC- type examination	03/11/2009	07/12/2000	04/01/2005
05.221	04	Hydraulic powered roof support, single props	03/11/2009	07/12/2000	04/01/2005

Number CNB/M/	Revision (Rev)	Key words	Approved by Vertical Group of NBs ⁽²⁾ on:	Approved by Horizontal Committee of NBs ⁽²⁾ on:	Endorsed by Machinery Working Group on:
05.222	04	Hydraulic powered roof support, pressure supply, EC- type examination	03/11/2009	07/12/2000	04/01/2005
05.601	05	Locomotive, EC-type examination, running test	03/11/2009	07/12/2000	04/01/2005
05.603	05	Locomotive, EC type examination certificate, putting into operation, control	03/11/2009	07/12/2000	04/01/2005
05.604	05	Locomotive, definition	03/11/2009	07/12/2000	04/01/2005
05.801	02	Machines for tunnels	03/11/2009	12/12/1995	25/03/1997
	roup 06 – I	Household waste collection ski		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
06.005	05	Calculations	15/04/2010	11/03/1997	08/06/1998
06.012	06	Automatic lifting device- operation mode	15/04/2010	10/06/2008	08/01/2009
06.014	06	Exhaust pipe	15/04/2010	11/06/1998	04/01/2005
06.016	05	Energy separation main switch	15/04/2010	11/03/1997	08/06/1998
06.020	04	Distance between the rear edge of the body/tailgate and the controls for lowering the tailgate	15/04/2010	21/11/2005	20/04/2006
06.023	04	Hose burst protection valves	15/04/2010	11/06/2008	08/01/2009
06.025	03	Electrical equipment	15/04/2010	10/06/2008	08/01/2009
06.026	07	Automatic gear box	15/04/2010	10/06/2008	08/01/2009
06.027	07	RCV – fixing points of the bodywork on the chassis	15/04/2010	15/06/2010	30/12/2010
06.028	04	Footboards – EHSRs 1.5.15 and 3.3.2	15/04/2010	09/12/1998	03/03/2000
06.029	04	Footboards EHSRs 3.2.3	15/04/2010	09/12/1998	03/03/2000
06.031	10	RCV – footboard	25/05/2011	28/06/2011	13/12/2011
06.034	06	Rear footboard	16/04/2010	10/06/2008	08/01/2009
06.035	05	Lifting device	16/04/2010	04/12/2001	04/01/2005
06.036	07	RCV-Remote control in the cab	24/04/2013	26/06/2013	22/11/2013
06.039	03	Rave rail / open operation system	16/04/2010	24/10/2002	02/03/2004
06.040	03	Riding of operatives	16/04/2010	11/12/2003	01/07/2004
06.042	06	Performance level	16/04/2010	26/11/2009	26/05/2010
06.043	03	Element intended to be incorporated / carrying chassis / EC type-examination / EC declaration of conformity	20/05/2008	09/12/2008	04/07/2012
Vertical G	roup 08 – \	Vehicle servicing lifts			
08.001	04	Polyamide Nuts	12/04/2010	13/12/1995	04/06/1996
08.002	04	EC type test	12/04/2010	09/12/1998	03/03/2000
08.003	05	Instruction handbook, check	12/04/2010	09/12/1998	03/03/2000
08.004	05	Measures against unintentional desynchronisation during operation	12/04/2010	17/04/1996	08/06/1998
08.007	03	Horizontal forces, loading system for motor bikes lifts	12/04/2010	17/04/1996	08/06/1998
08.008	03	Auxiliary lifting systems	12/04/2010	17/04/1996	08/06/1998
08.011	03	Short stroke lifts -Definition	12/04/2010	17/04/1996	08/06/1998

Number CNB/M/	Revision (Rev)	Key words	Approved by Vertical Group of NBs ⁽²⁾ on:	Approved by Horizontal Committee of NBs ⁽²⁾ on:	Endorsed by Machinery Working Group on:
08.015	03	Rails foot protectors, protection against pinching points	12/04/2010	11/12/2003	01/07/2004
08.016	03	Chassis supporting vehicle lift for road vehicles, load distribution	12/04/2010	11/12/2003	01/07/2004
08.018	05	Load distribution on two post lifts with load-bearing arms	25/04/2013	26/06/2013	22/11/2013
Vertical G	roup 09 – I	ifting Persons Devices			
09.206	04	Lifting Persons Device (LPD), Suspended Access Equipment, modular construction, certification	13/04/2010	11/12/2003	14/03/2007
09.207	10	Type-examination	13/04/2010	26/11/2009	26/05/2010
09.209	04	EC type-examination, work platform, loader crane	13/04/2010	11/12/2003	01/07/2004
09.305	06	Mobile Elevated Workplatform (MWEP), levelling system	13/04/2010	11/06/1998	09/04/2001
09.306	05	Mobile Elevated Workplatform (MWEP), levelling system	13/04/2010	11/06/1998	09/04/2001
09.307	04	Lifting Persons Device, safety gear	13/04/2010	24/05/2000	09/04/2001
09.309	04	Mobile Elevated Work Platform, MEWP, access, movable guard, abnormal use	13/04/2010	24/05/2000	09/04/2001
09.310	05	Man rider winches, one rope suspension	13/04/2010	24/05/2000	09/04/2001
09.401	08	MEWP, control devices, emergency stop, override	13/04/2010	11/12/2003	01/07/2004
09.501	05	Radiation, EC type- examination, EMC directive	13/04/2010	24/05/2000	09/04/2001
Vertical G	roup 11 – 9	Safety components			
11.017	05	EC type-examination, pre- standards	25/10/2010	11/06/1998	09/04/2001
11.027	08	Two-hand control devices, synchronous actuation	25/10/2010	14/12/2010	23/05/2011
11.031	09	ESPE Type 2 with PLC as means of periodic test	25/10/2010	14/12/2010	23/05/2011
11.032	05	Arrangement of visual indicators	25/10/2010	03/03/2004	24/12/2004
11.033	06	THCD, termination of one or both input signal(s) in case of a fault occurring	25/10/2010	09/12/2004	24/05/2005
11.035	08	Indication of a muted ESPE, colour of the mute indicator(s) of an ESPE	25/10/2010	14/12/2010	23/05/2011
11.036	07	Laser scanner, industrial truck	25/10/2010	14/12/2010	23/05/2011
11.042	04	THCD, non-mechanical actuating devices	25/10/2010	21/11/2005	20/04/2006
11.045	06	Logic units to ensure safety functions	17/10/2011	13/12/2011	04/07/2012
11.047	03	Using parts with wear-out in safety components	11/05/2010	15/06/2010	30/12/2010

Number CNB/M/	Revision (Rev)	Key words	Approved by Vertical Group of NBs ⁽²⁾ on:	Approved by Horizontal Committee of NBs ⁽²⁾ on:	Endorsed by Machinery Working Group on:
11.049	03	Logic units to ensure safety functions / Environmental conditions	25/10/2010	14/12/2010	23/05/2011
11.050	05	Failure, electromechanical outputs	06/06/2013	26/06/2013	22/11/2013
11.051	02	Category 2	18/10/2011	13/12/2011	23/04/2012
11.052	02	Safety components, safety functions	18/10/2011	13/12/2011	23/04/2012
11.053	03	Manual reset function	10/05/2012	28/06/2012	17/01/2013
11.054	03	Safety components, instructions	06/06/2013	26/06/2013	22/11/2013
11.056	03	Two-hand control devices, synchronous actuation, operating conditions	07/06/2013	26/06/2013	22/11/2013
11.058	03	Safety component, warning device	07/06/2013	26/06/2013	22/11/2013
Vertical G	roup 12 – I	ROPS and FOPS			
12.007	05	DLV	21/11/2013	10/12/2013	15/04/2014
12.009	05	Minor modification	21/11/2013	10/12/2013	15/04/2014
12.010	05	FOPS, Standing operator	21/11/2013	10/12/2013	15/04/2014
12.012	07	ROPS	21/11/2013	10/12/2013	15/04/2014
12.016	02	FOPS, tiltable cab	21/11/2013	10/12/2013	15/04/2014
Vertical G	roup 13 – I	Full quality assurance			
13.000	03	Equivalence to Annex IX	21/08/2008	09/12/2008	18/06/2009
13.001	04	Final inspection, quality management, intermediate inspections	17/09/2007	10/06/2008	08/01/2009
13.002	07	quality system, compliance with standards, accreditation	26/08/2010	14/12/2010	23/05/2011
13.003	04	Application, quotation, selection of Notified Body	17/09/2007	10/06/2008	08/01/2009
13.004	04	Manufacturer, sub-contractors, conformity, supplier, subsidiaries	17/09/2007	10/06/2008	08/01/2009
13.005	04	Representative model, categories of machinery, risks	17/09/2007	10/06/2008	08/01/2009
13.006	02	EC declaration of conformity, technical file	17/09/2007	04/12/2007	04/06/2008
13.007	03	Technical file, assessment on site, quality system	17/09/2007	04/12/2007	04/06/2008
13.008	02	Complete technical file, documentation, complex machinery, audit	17/09/2007	04/12/2007	04/06/2008
13.009	04	Quality system documentation, quality management manual, certificates, audit reports, language	17/09/2007	10/06/2008	08/01/2009
13.010	04	Technical design specification, sample, manufacturing facilities, inspections, audit plan	17/09/2007	10/06/2008	08/01/2009
13.011	04	Harmonized standards, responsibility, design review	17/09/2007	10/06/2008	08/01/2009

Number CNB/M/	Revision (Rev)	Key words	Approved by Vertical Group of NBs ⁽²⁾ on:	Approved by Horizontal Committee of NBs ⁽²⁾ on:	Endorsed by Machinery Working Group on:
13.012	05	Design inspection, design verification, independence, level of confidence	23/10/2012	10/06/2008	08/01/2009
13.013	03	Product complexity, validation, competence	17/09/2007	04/12/2007	04/06/2008
13.014	04	Competency qualification of personnel, product specific requirements	17/09/2007	10/06/2008	08/01/2009
13.015	04	Machinery design, quality, compliance	17/09/2007	10/06/2008	08/01/2009
13.016	05	Existing certification, conformance, certified quality system	23/10/2012	10/06/2008	08/01/2009
13.017	02	Auditors, experts, competence	17/09/2007	04/12/2007	04/06/2008
13.018	02	EHSR, technical file, review	17/09/2007	04/12/2007	04/06/2008
13.019	04	Product changes, changes of quality system, significant changes, contract	17/09/2007	10/06/2008	08/01/2009
13.020	04	Notification, report, certificate	17/09/2007	10/06/2008	08/01/2009
13.021	04	Audit frequency and duration, surveillance audits	17/09/2007	10/06/2008	08/01/2009
13.022	02	Unannounced visits, contracts	17/09/2007	04/12/2007	04/06/2008
13.023	04	Obligation to preserve	12/05/2009	10/06/2009	25/12/2009
13.024	04	Obligation to preserve, quality assurance system documentation	17/09/2007	10/06/2008	08/01/2009
13.025	04	Last date of manufacture	17/09/2007	10/06/2008	08/01/2009
13.026	02	audit frequency and duration, assessment	17/09/2007	04/12/2007	04/06/2008
13.028	03	technical file, sample, manufacturing facilities, inspections, audit plan	17/09/2007	10/06/2008	08/01/2009
13.029	03	Subcontract	21/08/2008	09/12/2008	18/06/2009
13.030	03	Reassessment	21/08/2008	09/12/2008	18/06/2009
13.031	04	Annex X	12/05/2009	10/06/2009	25/12/2009
13.033	04	Quality system, audit plan	23/10/2012	09/12/2008	18/06/2009
13.034	04	Certificate	12/05/2009	10/06/2009	25/12/2009
13.035	04	Annex X	12/05/2009	10/06/2009	25/12/2009
13.037	03	Surveillance, quality system, technical file	12/05/2009	10/06/2009	25/12/2009

(1): CNB/M/xx.xxx RERev yy = Coordination of Notified Bodies/Machinery/Numbering of the RfUs R: Recommendation for Use E: English version Rev: Revision yy: index of the Revision
 (2): NBs = Notified Bodies

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/01.029/R/E Rev 05

Stenn CO-ORDINA MACHINERY O, NO7IFIED BODIE	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES		CNB/M/01.029 Revision 05 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 24/05/20	000	To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG1 Woodworking	machinery	☑ Vertical Group☑ Horizontal Committee	24/04/2009 09/12/1998		
		To be endorsed: Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 03/03/2000		
Question related to: Direction	ve 2006/42/EC	EN/prEN:	Other:		
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.2.3; 1.2.4	Clause:			
		CEN TC concerned : TC 142			
Key words: tractor driven m	nachine, P.T.O.				
Question: Could the start a woodworking machine?	nd stop controls for the machine actuator (e.g. t	ractor) be regarded as the start and s	top controls of the		
Solution: No. At least a stop control device shall be fitted at the operators position, unless an harmonised standard in line with article 5.2 does not require this control					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/01.043/R/E Rev 05

MACHINERY S. Northfield BODIE	CO-ORDINATION OF MACHINERY DIRECTIVE 2 RECOMMENDAT	CNB/M/01.043 Revision 05 Language: E			
	200	To be ensued by	American		
Date of first stage: 06/06/20		To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG1 Woodworking ı	nachinery	 Vertical Group Horizontal Committee 	24/04/2009 04/12/2001		
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 04/01/2005		
Question related to: Dir. 20	06/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN : EN 1218-1 :1999	Other :		
Annex: I	EHSR (1):1.3.8.2 ; 1.4.2.2	Normative clause : 5.2.7.1	Other clause:		
		CEN TC concerned: 142			
Key words: Hand fed tenon	ing machine; working return stroke				
Key words: Hand fed tenoning machine; working return stroke Question : The safety requirements for the guarding system of the tools on hand fed single end tenoning machines with sliding table are described in 5.2.7.1 of EN 1218-1: 1999. If using power-operated guards the tools shall be inaccessible at all times except during the working and return stroke of the slid ing table. Opening and closing of the guards shall be initiated and controlled by the sliding mechanism. A deterring/impeding device attached to the sliding table shall prevent horizontal access to the tools. a) At which position of the sliding table starts/ends the working/return stroke? b) Shall the deterring/impeding device prevent horizontal access to the tools only from the position(s) of the operator or from any position of any person? Solution: a) The working stroke starts with the table leaving its loading posit ion; the return stroke ends with the table arriving in the un loading position. b) The deterring/impeding device shall prevent horizontal access to the tools only from the position(s) of the operator					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

⁽¹⁾ Essential health and safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

		1 490 1/1				
STEAN CO-OROINNA	CO-ORDINATION OF NOT Machinery Directive 2006/42/		CNB/M/01.045 Revision 08			
	RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		Language: E			
NOTIFIED BODY	MOTIFIED BODY					
Date of first stage: 22	/04/2010	To be approved by:	Approved on:			
Origin: VG1 Woodwor	king machinery	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 				
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 17/01/2013			
Question related to: D	irective 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 1870-6:2002	Other:			
Annex: I	ESR (1): 2.3 c)	Clause:	Other clause:			
		CEN TC concerned: TC 142				
Key words: Circular sa	aw, function brake, firewood saw; safety and reliability	of control system.				
simple but very stable brake or a spring load manufacturers service by friction directly app	sed in very rough surrounding and under rough conditi for safe working over a long time. Normally on circula led friction brake implemented in the motor housing. N e or requires skilled operators. Simple spring loaded b lied to the saw blade avoid this disadvantages but are	ar saws braking of the saw blade is a Maintenance of such systems has to raking systems direct activated by the ont generally allowed in the relevan	achieved by an electric be performed by the he stop control and working			
	e conditions to allow applying the braking momentum	directly to the saw blade?				
	itum of the brake shall not be directly applied to the sa all the following conditions:	aw blade or the saw blade flanges. I	t is acceptable only on			
	lution applies only for machines covered by EN 1870- um diameter compatible with the saw blade guard.	6:2002 with saw blade diameter of r	minimum 600 mm and			
2. The frid	ction force is not applied in the area of the toothed rim	of the saw blade.				
	ake by friction on the saw blade shall not be active pe to the motor is cut.	rmanently but only when the stop co	ontrol is activated and			
4. The tes	st of the brake is performed as required in clauses 5.2	2.4.2 and 5.2.4.3 of EN 1860-6:2002				
	struction manual shall give information about allowed e the required braking performance.	braking time and the recommended	maintenance needed to			
6. The de	sign of the brake shall prevent easy by-passing of the	e braking function.				
Rationale:	k due the use of non compatible blades is negligible.					
 Direct braking by friction applied to the saw blade is forcing the saw blade sideways. These forces are regarded to be much lower than side forces during normal work applied by the irregular shaped logs which may result in pinching the saw blade or sideways deflection of the toothed rim of the saw blade up to 20 mm without damaging the saw blade. Such deflections and inaccuracies are acceptable on firewood saws but not on circular saws used for precise cutting. 						
	 The braking force is applied rather close to the centre of the saw blade. Therefore the bending momentum is low. 					
The praking paus are						

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/01.062/R/E Rev 07

Date of first stage: 11/04/2005 To be approved by: Approved on: Origin: VG1 Woodworking machinery If Vertical Group 24/04/2009 Image: Im	MACHINERY ⁰ ^N O _{7/FIED} 80 ³	CO-ORDINATION OF NOT Machinery Directive 2006/42/ RECOMMENDATION	EC + Amendment	CNB/M/01.062 Revision 07 Language: E
Image: Construction of the instructions detailed information about noise emission, corresponding test code and operating condition ESHR 1.7.4.2 (u) requires in the instructions detailed information about noise emission, corresponding test code and operating condition ESHR 1.7.4.2 (u) requires in the instructions detailed information about noise emission, corresponding test code and operating condition EXAMPLE INTERCIPATION INTERCONTRIPATION INTERCIPATION INTERCIPATION INTERCIPATION I	Date of first stage: 11/04/20)05	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Question related to: Directive 2006/42/EC Article: EN/prEN: Other: Annex: I ESR (1): 1.5.8, 1.7.4.2 (u) Clause: Other clause: CEN TC concerned: CEN TC concerned: Center clause: Center clause: Question: ESHR 1.7.4.2 (u) requires in the instructions detailed information about noise emission, corresponding test code and operating condition Existing harmonised standards for woodworking machines (CEN-st andards for stationary machines, CENELEC-standards for transporta machines) refer to standards with detailed test codes and operating conditions.	Origin: VG1 Woodworking ı	nachinery	-	
Annex: I ESR (1): 1.5.8, 1.7.4.2 (u) Clause: Other clause: CEN TC concerned: Key words: Noise emission of woodworking machines CEN TC concerned: Question: ESHR 1.7.4.2 (u) requires in the instructions detailed info rmation about noise emission, corresponding test code and operating condition Existing harmonised standards for woodworking machines (CEN-st andards for stationary machines, CENELEC-standards for transporta machines) refer to standards with detailed test codes and operating conditions.				
CEN TC concerned: CEN TC concerned: CEN TC concerned: Question: ESHR 1.7.4.2 (u) requires in the instructions detailed information about noise emission, corresponding test code and operating condition Existing harmonised standards for woodworking machines (CEN-st andards for stationary machines, CENELEC-standards for transporta machines) refer to standards with detailed test codes and operating conditions.	Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Key words: Noise emission of woodworking machines Question: ESHR 1.7.4.2 (u) requires in the instructions detailed info rmation about noise emission, corresponding test code and operating condition Existing harmonised standards for woodworking machines (CEN-st andards for stationary machines, CENELEC-standards for transporta machines) refer to standards with detailed test codes and operating conditions.	Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.5.8, 1.7.4.2 (u)	Clause:	Other clause:
Question: ESHR 1.7.4.2 (u) requires in the instructions detailed information about noise emission, corresponding test code and operating condition Existing harmonised standards for woodworking machines (CEN-st andards for stationary machines, CENELEC-standards for transporta machines) refer to standards with detailed test codes and operating conditions.			CEN TC concerned:	
ESHR 1.7.4.2 (u) requires in the instructions detailed information about noise emission, corresponding test code and operating condition Existing harmonised standards for woodworking machines (CEN-st andards for stationary machines, CENELEC-standards for transporta machines) refer to standards with detailed test codes and operating conditions.	Key words: Noise emission	of woodworking machines		
Solution:	ESHR 1.7.4.2 (u) requires i Existing harmonised standar machines) refer to standard Shall the NB verify the infor	rds for woodworking machines (CEN-st andards is with detailed test codes and operating condition	for stationary machines, CENELEC ons.	standards for transportable
	Measurements of other labe	oratories may be accepted if they are carried ou	t under correct conditions, measurin	g code and with ca librated

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/01.072/R/E Rev 03

Machinery Directive 2006/42	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE			
Date of first stage:19/03/2007	To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG1 Woodworking machinery	 ✓ Vertical Group ✓ Horizontal Committee 			
	To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 03/03/2008		
Question related to: Directive 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 848-1::2007	Other:		
Annex: I ESR (1): 1.3.6	Clause: 5.3.3.6	Other clause:		
	CEN TC concerned: TC 142			
Key words: Single spindle vertical moulding machines; direction of spindle	rotation			
 device may be a two position selector switch fitted with a blocking device a three position selector switch, with a neutral position without a blocking device, a three position selector switch, with a neutral position without a blocking device, a combination of manually operated push buttons Requirements for the category of the control system for selecting the direction of spindle rotation are missing, especially where spindle speed and direction of rotation are designed by use of an inverter. On the other hand the requirements for spindle start are described in clauses 5.2.1 and 5.2.3 (category 1) and for selection and monitoring of spindle speed in clauses 5.2.1 and 5.2.7 (category 1 or 2). On such machines input may be via touch screen and confirmation by a second operation. Questions: What category is required for the control system for selecting and changing the direction of spindle rotation? Under what conditions is it allowed to select the direction of spindle rotation via touch screen? 				
 Solution: The requirements of 5.3.3.6 a) – d) EN 848-1 shall be met in any case. a) On machines with simple asynchronous motors where changing of the direction of spindle rotation is realized by changing two phases the control system for selecting and changing the direction of spindle rotation shall be designed in category 1. b) On machines where the direction of spindle rotation is realized by an inverter the control system shall be designed in category 2 or 3. When designed in category 2 the direction of spindle rotation shall be monitored at each spindle start within 0,2 s maximum. If the direction is detected as wrong the spindle shall perform a stop in category 1 in accordance with the requirements of 9.2.2 of EN 60204-1:1997. If a stop in category 1 is not possible the spindle shall perform a stop in category 0 in accordance with the requirements of 9.2.2 of EN 60204-1:1997. The signal for monitoring the direction of spindle rotation shall be generated independently from the converter. If this is not possible, additional measures for fault detection are necessary, e.g. toggling twice the direction command to the converter before each start of the spindle and checking the expected feedback. When designed in category 3 the real direction of spindle rotation shall be detected within 0,2 s maximum. If detected the spindle shall perform a stop in category 1. Selection of direction of spindle rotation may be allowed via touch screen if input is confirmed by a second operation. The measures against change and falsification of the data shall be in line with the measures described in 5.2.7.1 of EN 848-1. (2): editorial corrections in comparison with the previous version 				

Stenn CO-ORDINA Stenn CO-ORDINA South CHENNERY OR NOTIFIED BOX	CO-ORDINATION OF MACHINERY DIRECTIVE 20 RECOMMENDAT	CNB/M/01.073 Revision: 03 Language: E			
Date of first stage: 18/04/20	008	To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG1 Woodworking		 ✓ Vertical Group ✓ Horizontal Committee 	24/04/2009		
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 03/03/2008		
Question related to: Dir. 20	06/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 861: 2008	Other:		
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.2.2	Normative clause: 5.2.2	Other clause:		
		CEN TC concerned: TC 142			
Key words: Surface planing	and thicknessing machines, position of co	ntrols.			
 In clause 5.2.2 of prEN 861 adjustment shall be placed a) on the machine a the surfacing tabl b) at a fixed or move mm from the floor protrude beyond summer 1) Is the "infeed side" in 2) How to verify the required 	 the surfacing table reachable from the <u>infeed side of the thicknesser</u>, or at a fixed or moveable control panel fixed to the machine at the loading position, the controls of which are not more than 1.800 mm from the floor and the front face is at a maximum of 650 mm from the infeed edge. The front face of the panel shall not protrude beyond the machine at the operator position side. 1) Is the "infeed side" in the beginning of clause a) identical with the "infeed side of the thicknesser" mentioned later on? 				
 Solution: 1) It is not clear what is really meant. The goal of the requirement is to satisfy the essential safety requirements of Directive 98/37/EC, Annex I, 1.2.2. It is required that operating the control actuators shall be possible from all working positions of the operator. This is achieved by positioning the control actuators as described in answer 2). 2) It is not clear enough to require only "reachability" of the control actuators. The actuators shall be reachable with regard to ergonomic principles. This is fulfilled when for the planing mode the control actuators for starting, normal stopping, emergency stop, powered table adjustment are located in area A or B shown in fig. 1. Figure 1 					

In thicknessing mode this is fulfilled if the control actuators for starting, normal stopping, emergency stop are located in area C or D shown in fig. 2.



If the position of the control actuators are located in the overlapping area of A and C, then one single set of control actuators on the machine is sufficient.

Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC

Often CO-OROINA MACHINERY O, NO _{7/FIED} BO	CO-ORDINATION OF MACHINERY DIRECTIVE 2 RECOMMENDAT	CNB/M/01.075 Revision: 03 Language: E			
Data of first stage: 28/02/20	200	To be entroved by			
Date of first stage: 28/03/20 Origin: VG1 Woodworking		To be approved by: ☑ Vertical Group	Approved on: 24/04/2009		
	indefiniery	Horizontal Committee	10/06/2008		
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 08/01/2009		
Question related to: Dir. 20	06/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 1870-1: 2007	Other:		
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.3.7	Normative clauses: 5.2.8, 5.3.7.4.1	Other clause:		
		CEN TC concerned: TC 142			
Key words: Circular Sawing and fence(s)	g Machines: Circular saw benches and dim	ension saws, power operated automatic	adjustment of the saw blade		
Question:					
Prevention of collision durir	ng power operated adjusting movements of	saw blade and/or fence by control syste	em.		
a time as far as collision be hand in chapter 5.3.7.4.1 th possible collision between a		en the moving and fixed machine parts is s at a time is restricted to two movemen	s prevented. On the other ts beyond the area of		
contact between the rip fen	nents for the electronic control system when ce and the saw blade during powered adju (regarding saw blade diameter, height and 1:2006).	sting movements. For detection of the a	rea where collision under		
 a) Is the simultaneous adjustment of height and tilt of the saw blade and the fence allowed within the area where any collision of saw blade and fence isn't possible at all? b) Is the simultaneous adjustment of height and tilt of the saw blade and the fence allowed within the area where a collision of saw blade and fence can not be excluded as long as the adjustment movements lead out of the collision area? 					
Solution:					
 Within the area where any collision between saw blade and fence isn't possible at all, simultaneous adjustment of saw blade height, saw blade tilt and fence movement is allowed. Comment: The simultaneous adjustment of height and tilt of the saw blade is considered to be one single movement! 					
b) Within the area where collision between saw blade an fence can not be fully excluded the simultaneous adjustment of saw blade height and saw blade tilt and fence position is allowed, if the movements lead out of the collision area and the control system for detection of the movement direction is designed in category 1 or category 3.					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/01.081/R/E Rev 02

MACHINERY 0, NOTIFIED BOTIS	CO-ORDINATION OF NOT Machinery Directive 2006/42/ RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/01.081 Revision 02 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 05/05/20	009	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG1 Woodworking	machinery	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	23/04/2010 15/06/2010
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 30/12/2010
Question related to: Directi	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: 848-1:2007+A1:2009	Other:
Annex: I	ESR (1): 2.3	Clause: 5.3.6.1.2.1	Other clause: Table 4
		CEN TC concerned: TC 142, CEN	IELEC TC 116
Key words: Single spindle	vertical moulding machines, table insert rings.		
exchangeable spindle only. In such manner spindle dia of at least 9,75 mm would p For example: fixed sp plus tw total So, the inner diameter of th Solution:	meters > 40 mm cannot be used at machines wi prevent the using. pindle with diameter 50 mm 50, o times wall thickness of the spindle rings 19,	th fixed spindle because the spindle 00 mm 50 mm 50 mm 50 narrow.	rings with a wall thickness

(1) Essential safety requirement

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

			01 CIND/101/01.002/R/E Rev 0			
MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF Machinery Directive 2006 RECOMMENDAT	CNB/M/01.082 Revision 02 Language: E				
Date of first stage: 10/06/20	09	To be approved by:	Approved on:			
Origin: VG1 Woodworking N Commission-Machinery Wo	Machinery (on request of the European rking Group)	 ✓ Vertical Group ✓ Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	23/04/2010 15/06/2010 Endorsed on:			
		Machinery Working Group				
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: several standards for woodworking machinery	Other:			
Annex: I	ESR (1): 2.3 (c)	Clause:	Other clause:			
		CEN TC concerned: CEN TC 142	, CENELEC TC 116			
Key words: Small woodwor	king machines with electric brake					
the machinery n contact with the too Woodworking machines as whilst it runs down". As "a s in the relevant standards e. Small machines may have a fitted- will not come effective How shall NBs evaluate in R Solution: The requirements in 1.2.2 a Corresponding to these req define the position of the sta Stopping the machine by us • the stop control is • disconnecting the • there is no induce	 The requirements in 1.2.2 and 1.2.4 of Annex I of 2006/42/EC demand a stop control which is easily to reach from operator's position. Corresponding to these requirements the relevant standards as EN 1870-1:2007, EN 848-1:2007, EN 61029-1:2009/EN 61029-2-1:2008 define the position of the stop control very precisely. Stopping the machine by using the stop control provided seems to be much more comfortable than by unplugging: the stop control is close to the operator's place, disconnecting the socket from the plug/socket combination is rather uncomfortable, there is no inducement for the operator to bypass the provided stop control. Where the stop control is positioned on woodworking machines as required in the relevant standard, stopping by unplugging is not completely excluded, but not very likely to be expected. In EC type-tests the NBs shall verify the requirements of the standard regarding 					

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/2 of CNB/M/01.083/R/E Rev 02

OCLUN CO-ORDINATION		CO-ORDINATION OF NOT chinery Directive 2006/42/		CNB/M/01.083 Revision 02
MACHINERY		RECOMMENDATION	FOR USE	Language: E
NOTIFIED BOOM				
Date of first stage: 23/04/20)10		To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG1 Woodworking r	machinery		☑ Vertical Group	23/04/2010
			Horizontal Committee	15/06/2010
			To be endorsed by:	Endorsed on:
			Machinery Working Group	30/12/2010
		- P. L.		
Question related to: Directiv	/e 2006/42/EC A	rticle:	EN/prEN: EN 1870- 13:2007+A1:2009	Other:
Annex: I	E	SR (1): 1.4.1, 1.4.3	Clause: 5.3.6.3	Other clause:
			CEN TC concerned: TC 142	
Key words: Safeguarding o	f the pressure beam	n: trip bar – design and dimer	lisions.	
	•			
EN 1870-13 requires in clau	•	•	cally actuated trip device (trip her)	
		shall be in accordance with	cally actuated trip device (trip bar) the following requirements :	
	,			
c) its dimensions shall be in	accordance with Fig	gure 5;		
		3 1		
(h	<u>≤50</u> <u>≤50</u>			
			↓ ¥	
	ÖÜ			
TV	TIT		≤ 18 ≤ 24	
	x =100			
-	_/	→\	2	
•	<i>x</i> =125			
-	x =15	50		
		1		
Figure 5 – Dimensions of trip bar – shows the trip bar in three different horizontal distances (x=100 mm, x=125 mm and x=150 mm) from the edge of the pressure beam 1 . Furthermore maximum dimensions are shown for the vertical distance of the trip bar from that edge 2				
			shown for the vertical distance of th ted to the distance between lateral	
area between the pressure				
	•			
Question: a) Is the mechanically ac	tuated trip bar mand	latory or is another quard por	ssible and tolerable (e. g. AOPD or	sensors based on other
physical principles)?		atory of to another guard po		

b) If a mechanically actuated trip bar is provided, is it acceptable to differ in design and dimensions from the shown figure?

(1) Essential safety requirement

Solution:

- a) A mechanically actuated trip bar is not mandatory. Any other guard resulting in the same level of protection is allowed. Although not yet been put in practice by any manufacturer a guarding of the pressure beam is possible with other systems not being mechanically actuated as well. Such systems have been developed for different kinds of machines (hydraulic press brake, calender) and are working reliably.
- b) 1 : EN 1870-13:2007 defines a remaining clearance between the pressure beam and the table surface (min. 12 mm) when stopped by a distance block of determined height. The height depends on the position of the trip bar relative to the pressure beam. The three dimensions x = 100 mm, 125 mm or 150 mm and their related heights are useful to reflect the wedge-shaped profile of a human hand. Greater distances x or different positions (min. 100mm) are possible and are realisable without reduction of safety. However, it is required to use the block height according to the next smaller position and reach the required clearance (example: x = 140 mm => choose block height = 30 mm as for 125 mm; x = 200 mm => block height = 36 mm as for 150 mm. No interpolation is allowed!).

2. Dimension Y in figure 5 is of no relevance. It relates to the contact path of the trip bar, which can be individually designed by the manufacturer, as long as the functional requirements are fulfilled.

3: The given dimensions of figure 5 originate from rules, stated by the Holz-Berufsgenossenschaft in 1981 for single saw blade machines with pressure beam. The first machines of this kind normally did not have a safety curtain and the pressure beam was reachable from both sides. Therefore the cutting area was easily accessible even when the pressure beam was in closed position resting on the workpiece. The lateral bars with a distance from max. 50 mm to each other should prevent the access to the pressure beam and the cutting area from the top side. However, this dimension is not in accordance with the current requirements of EN 13857:2008 table 4 any more. With the commencement of EN 1870-13:2007 a safety curtain became mandatory. With this curtain the lateral bars are not necessary any more. They can or cannot be realised.

MAC North	FIED BO	Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment				CNB/M/01.084 Revision 02 Language: E
Date of first	t stage: 02/08/20)10			To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG1	Woodworking r	nachinery		2 2	Vertical Group Horizontal Committee	04/11/2010 14/12/2010
				V	To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 04/07/2012
Question re	elated to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC	Article:	EN	/prEN:	Other:
Annex: IV			ESR (1): 2.3	Cla	use:	Other clause:
				CE	N TC concerned: CEN TC 142	and CENELEC TC 116
Key words:	Rigid PVC; mat	erial with similar p	physical characteristics to wood	ł.		
Parameters tools, cuttin wood as we a) Is b) A	s for machining r g force, clampin ell as for working s rigid PVC as us	igid PVC (unplast g of the work piec g with rigid PVC. sed e.g. for manu	pries of machinery for "working ticised PVC) are very similar to ce. Machines mentioned in clau facturing of windows frames su s 1., 4., 5., and 7. of Annex IV f	thos ises	e for machining wood regarding 1., 4., 5., and 7. of Annex IV an material with similar physical c	g cutting speed, machining e used for working with haracteristics to wood?
Solution:						
			VC is a material with similar phy tive 2006/42/EC 2 nd Edition, Jur			§ 388 of Guide to
			vood include, for example, chip istic or light alloy laminates), co			o these materials when
b) Y	es. Machines m	entioned in claus	es 1., 4., 5., and 7. of Annex IV	for n	nachining rigid PVC are covere	ed by Annex IV.

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/01.087/R/E Rev 02

MACHINERY ⁰ ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF N Machinery Directive 2006/4 RECOMMENDATIC	CNB/M/01.087 Revision 02 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 04/05/20	012	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG1 Woodworking	machinery	☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee		
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 17/01/2013	
Question related to: Direction	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 60745-1, EN 60745-2-13, EN ISO 11681-2	Other:	
Annex: IX	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned: CENELEC/T	C 116	
Key words: Chain saws for	tree service/top handle machine, electric pow	ered		
Note: There is no harmonized C-standard available for those machines: Type testing on the basic of EN 60745-1 and EN 60745-2-13 would not satisfy the safety requirements for battery powered chain saws for tree service / top handle machines. The standard EN ISO 11681-2 is restricted to gasoline engines only. Question: What standard(s) can alternatively be used for type testing of electric powered chain saws for tree service / top handle machines?				
Solution:				
	are rather dangerous for tree service due to the to the terms of terms		hazards if the worker is	
Battery powered chain saw	s for tree service / top handle machines have	to be type tested according to the rele	vant paragraphs of:	
EN 60745-1 in conju	nction with EN 60745-2-13 for the electrical re	quirements and		
	non-electrical requirements.			
The normative references v	vithin these standards have to be followed.			

(1) Essential safety requirement

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY 0, NO7/FIED 80715	CO-ORDINATION OF NOT Machinery Directive 2006/42/ RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/02.001 Revision 02 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 17/11/20	011	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG2 Meatworking n	nachinery	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	17/11/2011 13/12/2011	
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 23/04/2012	
Question related to: Direction	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 12268:2003+A1:2010	Other:	
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.4.1, 1.4.2.3	Clause: 5.2.4	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned: TC 152		
Key words: adjustable guar	ds			
Question: Concerning the last slice device, § 5.2.4 of EN 12268 states the following: A last slice device of a height ≥ 150 mm shall be provided. The last slice device may be provided with spices on the side facing to the saw blade. The last slice device may be removable. Is there enough information for satisfactory construction built of a safety last slice device? Solution: No, there is not enough information. The following interpretation is acceptable: • A last slice device shall be delivered with the machine. • The last slice device may be tiltable and removable. • The last slice device may be tiltable and removable. • The last slice device may be tiltable and removable. • The last slice device may be tiltable and removable. • The last slice device may be tiltable and removable. • The last slice device may have spices on the side facing to the saw blade. Contact with the saw blade shall be prevented. Additionally a description on how to handle meat or bones, longer or higher than the last slice device, when using the last slice device, shall be added in the instructions for use (complement of § 7.2. c of EN 12268)				

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF Machinery Directive 200 RECOMMENDA	CNB/M/03.002 Revision: 12 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 24/09/1996 Origin: VG3 Presses for cold working metals Question related to: Dir. 2006/42/EC Annex: IV-9 EHSR (1): Key words: Presses - Metal - Field of application Question Which estencies of metals reserved to in Anney IV		To be approved by: Image: Vertical Group Image: Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: Image: Machinery Working Group. EN/prEN: Normative clause: CEN TC concerned:	Approved on: 30/09/2009 12/12/1995 Endorsed on: 04/06/1996 Other: Other clause:	
Question: Which categories of metal presses are referred to in Annex IV A, point 9, of the "machines"? Question: Which categories of metal presses are referred to in Annex IV A, point 9, of the "machines"? Recommended Solution: 1) By cold working it is understood that there is a possibility of the operator placing (loading) and/or removing (unloading) workpieces between the tools with his hands. 2) By metal, it is understood to be a material, either in sheet, rolled conditions, or forged form. Powders, not necessarily metallic, irons, and concrete meshes are excluded from this definition. 3) By cold metal working it is understood to be a transformation process either by folding, stamping, or cutting, etc. - bending feature (straightening presses), eaning presses), - bending (straightening presses), eaning presses), - a closing speed superior to 30 mm/sec. (see CNB/M/3/042) - a closing speed superior to 30 mm/sec. (see CNB/M/3/042) + Regarding mechanical presses, the instantaneous speed reached by the movable working parts at the mid-point of their travel during their ascent and descent should be taken into consideration, as it is maximum in either of these positions. + out the tools with bit area and cannot be injured. Note 1: Hot working of metals (workpieces) are placed or removed by hand betwer the tools without ancillary devices, it is understood as cold work of oregins.				
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC				

MACHINERY 0, NO7/FIED BOILS	CO-ORDINATIC Machinery Directiv RECOMME	CNB/M/03.004 Revision: 06 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 13/12/19		To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG3 Presses for col		 ☑ Vertical Group	30/09/2009
5	J	☑ Horizontal Committee	12/12/1995
		To be endorsed by : ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on : 04/06/1996
Question related to: Dir. 20	06/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: VI point 2	EHSR (1):	Normative clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: Technical file		I	
<u>1st dash</u> (related to the ann - Dimensions of the machin - Location diagram of the el	ex VI point 2 about the technical file) e related to the protective means (ge ectrical components on the press (in	eneral drawings with dimensions of accesses to	the dangerous parts),
- Location diagram of the hy 2 nd dash	ydraulic and pneumatic components		
 Functional schemes of the Description of the time see Diagrams for cams, select A components list with dat Drawings of the guards (d Drawings of the power flo handling devices), Positioning of the controls Positioning of the guards a Calculations or references Declaration of conformity to Declaration of conformity to Declaration of conformity to 	ta sheets and instructions for use of c imensions, material, cams, attachme w related to the safety (flywheel, slide (selector switches, emergency stops and the protective devices to check the about experiences with well tried con for safety components. xample stopping time)	cs of the valves certified safety components. ents), e, piston, ejectors, s, pedal), he possibilities of accesses, omponents, (see separate technical sheet n° 01/96 (see CNB/M/006/R and CNB/M/3/021/R) he 1 st /01/97 (see CNB/M/3/067/R))

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

3rd dash

As parts of the risk assessment, the designer shall verify whether the list of hazards in table 1 of Pr EN692, 693, ... is exhaustive and applicable to the press under consideration.

If additional hazard is identified the risk assessment has to be carried out and the measures taken to eliminate or reduce this risk shall to be described

<u>4st dash</u>

Recommendation for the handbook:

- Where the protective means are described, the associated safety instructions shall be also given and highlighted.

It shall be, at least, one clause containing safety instructions, with reference to the description of the protective devices. - The instruction handbook may give additional information.

5st dash

See technical sheet CNB/M/00.240/R/E (03.003).

Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC

MACHINERY 9, 107/FIED 80012		CO-ORDINATION OF NOT Machinery Directive 2006/42/ RECOMMENDATION	EC +	amendment	CNB/M/03.005 Revision 03 Language: E
Date of first stage: 10/06/19	96			To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG3 Presses for colo	d working me	etals	1 1 1 1	Vertical Group Horizontal Committee	30/09/2009 17/04/1996
			V	To be endorsed by : Machinery Working Group.	Endorsed on : 08/06/1998
Question related to: Dir. 200	6/42/EC	Article:	EN/	prEN:	Other:
Annex:		EHSR (1): 1.6.2		mative clause:	Other clause:
			CEN	NTC concerned:	
Key words: Platform, ladder	S				
Do those requirements force in maintenance operations? In which conditions this E.S.	E.S.R. 1.6.2 requires a manufacturer of a press, to provide means of access to the servicing points (for maintenance reasons too) : Do those requirements force the manufacturer to provide every type of press with a platform at the top and ladders for access, to work safely				
Solution: Adjustments, inspections, lubrication on raised workstation (top of the press) shall require a platform and a permanent access. For only repair, no platform is required.					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

MACHINERY 9. NO7/FIED 8001	Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/03.013 Revision 08 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 13/10/1	997	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG3 Presses for co	ld working metals	 Vertical Group Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	13/10/2010 14/12/2010 Endorsed on:	
<u> </u>		Machinery Working Group	23/05/2011	
Question related to: Directi		EN/prEN:	Other:	
Annex: IX	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned:		
Key words: Acceptability of	components of type examined presses			
Solution: Normally not. However, if there are separ 1 - Certificates of notified b 2 - Certificates of accredite Notes : - The notified body component.		ing shall be taken in consideration : ex IV, shall be accepted by notified onents may be accepted by notified y technical data for installation and	bodies for presses. bodies for presses.	

					Page 1/1	of CNB/M/03.02	2/R/E/Rev 06
MACHINERY	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + amendment		CNB/M/03.022 Revision 06 Language: E				
NOTIFIED BODY		RECOMMENDA	TION FOR U	SE			
Date of first stage: 13/10/	1997			To be approved	d by:	Approve	d on:
Origin: VG3 Presses for c	cold working metal	s	1 V	ertical Group		30/09/20	009
			ΜH	orizontal Commi	ttee	18/09/19	997
			M N	To be endorsed lachinery Workin	•	Endorsed 08/06/19	
Question related to: Dir. 2	2006/42/EC A	rticle:	EN/pr	EN: 692:2005+A	1:2009	Other:	
Annex:	E	HSR (1): 1.2.7., 1.2.1.	Norma	ative clause: 5.4.2	2.3	Other clause:	
			CEN 1	C concerned: T	C 143		
Key words: Intrinsic safe	pneumatic valve		I				
	supply or if there	e press cannot be started is air leakage in the valve s that acceptable?					
Solution:							
Yes, because no hazard	is arriving and the	fault becomes obvious (s	elf revealing)	during the next f	ailing of the v	/alve.	
Adaptation p DIRECTIVE 200		Formal a	DAPTA	fion in	CONF	ORMITY	WITH
(1) Essential health and s	afety requirement	:					

		Page 1/	1 of CNB/M/03.027/R/E/Rev 06
MACHINERY	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES		CNB/M/03.027 Revision 06 Language: E
NOTIFIED BOD	RECOMMENDATION	FOR USE	
Date of first stage: 04/03/	1996	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG3 Presses for c	cold working metals	☑ Vertical Group	30/09/2009
		☑ Horizontal Committee	19/09/1996
		To be endorsed by : ☑ Machinery Working Group.	Endorsed on : 08/06/1998
Question related to: Dir. 2	2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: 692:2005+A1:2009 / 693:2001+A1:2009	Other:
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.3.8.2.	Normative clause: 5.3.13	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: Secondary pr	otection /Two Hands Control Device / Active Op	toelectronic Protective Devices	
Question:	arded by light curtains and the tools area has to b	e entered by operators, which can b	a a sufficient protection?
n a large press is salegua		e entered by operators, which can i	
	t is less than 750 mm, sometimes zero. Consider tion be an acceptable level of protection?	ing the recommended solution, may	a single push button with
Solution:			
1. The light curtair	n can act here only as a secondary protection me	asure to protect third persons.	
	nas to use a two hand control device (THCD) type control device requires a synchronous operation,		re only simultaneous
operation.			
After an interruption of movement can be init	of the light curtain, during the dangerous moveme	nt, the reset function has to be actu	ated before further
Adaptation p DIRECTIVE 200	rocedure: FORMAL ADA 06/42/EC	PTATION IN CON	Formity with
(1) Essential health and s	- feb		

CNB/M/03.028

Revision 06

Language : E

DOINT NO. CO-OROLANDO	
MACHINERY	
NOTIFIED BODY	

CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES MACHINERY DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC + amendment

RECOMMENDATION FOR USE

Date of first stage: 31/10/1997			To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG3 Presses for c	old working m	etals	Ø	Vertical Group	30/09/2009
			Ø	Horizontal Committee	18/09/1997
				To be endorsed by : Machinery Working Group.	Endorsed on : 08/06/1998
Question related to: Dir. 2	006/42/EC	Article:	EN	/prEN: EN 692:2005+A1:2009	Other:
Annex: I		EHSR (1) : 1.3.7	No	rmative clause: 5.2.1.2.f)	Other clause:
			CE	N TC concerned: TC 143 WG1	

Key words: Failing of springs in the brake

Question:

How should verification of function with only 50% of the springs operating be carried out?

Solution:

If there is a spring assembly in a circular formation, 50% of only one side (180° of the core diameter) shall guarantee correct engagement of the brake.

If this or a similar case occurs on a press, there will be an overrun of the crankshaft and the overrun detection device shall inhibit the initiation of a further stroke.

The test shall be conducted in a way compatible for other spring arrangements.

References: see CNB/M/03.073

Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC

(1) Essential health and safety requirement

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

		Page 1/	1 of CNB/M/03.029/R/E/Rev 04
MACHINERY	CO-ORDINATION OF NOT Machinery Directive 2006/42/	EC + amendment	CNB/M/03.029 Revision 04 Language: E
NOTIFIED BOOK	RECOMMENDATION	FOR USE	
Date of first stage: 13/10/	1997	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG3 Presses for c	cold working metals	☑ Vertical Group	30/09/2009
		Horizontal Committee	12/12/1995
		To be endorsed by : ☑ Machinery Working Group.	Endorsed on : 04/06/1996
Question related to: Dir. 2	2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: 692:2005+A1:2009, 693:2001+A1:2009	Other:
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.3.8	Normative clause: 5.3.13 (692 Annex C)	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned: TC 143	
Key words: Reaching over	er, under and around the detection zone	1	
Curtain?	57 can be used to examine safety distances for r	eaching over, under and around the	detection zone of a light
Solution:			
Reaching under and arou	nd the light curtain, tables 3, 4 and 6 shall be fol	lowed.	
Reaching over, table 1 m these correlating values.	ay be used because there is no support for the a	rms by a physical guard; the light cu	rtain will be interrupted using
DIRĖCTIVE 200		PTATION IN CON	Formity with
(1) Essential health and s	atety requirement		

		Page	1/1 of CNB/M/03.032/R/E/Rev 04	
Stin CO-ORDINA SOLUTION MACHINERY	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES		CNB/M/03.032 Revision 04 Language: E	
MOTIFIED BONG	RECOMMENDATION	FOR USE		
Date of first stage: 13/10	/1997	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG3 Presses for	cold working metals	☑ Vertical Group	30/09/2009	
		Horizontal Committee	12/12/1995	
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 08/06/1998	
Question related to: Dir.	2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: 692:2005+A1:2009 (1) 693:2001+A1:2009 (2)	Other:	
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.2.1, 1.3.2	Normative clause: 5.3.19.1 (1), 5.3.17 (2)	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned:		
Key words: Fixing the too	ols, failure of one component	1		
	onents are used to fix the tool (rod, latch, screw	().		
Solution:				
One screw with a nut for	blocking up will be sufficient. Adequate strength	n has to be achieved.		
Adaptation p DIRECTIVE 20	orocedure: FORMAL ADA 06/42/EC	APTATION IN COM	NFORMITY WITH	

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/03.033/R/E/Rev 06

MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery-Directive 2006/42/EC + amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/03.033 Revision 06 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 24/09	0/1996	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG3 Presses for	cold working metals	☑ Vertical Group	30/09/2009	
		Horizontal Committee	12/12/1995	
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group.	Endorsed on: 08/06/1998	
Question related to: Dir.	2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: 692:2005+A1:2009 693:2001+A1:2009	Other:	
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.3.8. 2	Normative clause: 5.3.1	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned: TC 143		
Key words: Protection m	neasures, die cushion, blank holder and workpiece	e ejector control system		
Question: If there are dangerous movements of the die cushions and workpiece ejectors, in which kind/category the safety related parts of the control system shall be designed and constructed? (active actuation) Recommended solution: The dangerous/hazardous movements shall be initiated and stopped in an electrical, pneumatic or hydraulic circuit with redundancy (Cat. 3 of EN 954-1)				
NOTE: If there is the same risk created by the workpiece ejector, blank holder or die cushion as from the tooling then the same protection methods have to be applied (Cat. 4 of EN 954-1). Clear instructions for setting and the safe use of die cushion, blank holder and workpiece ejector have to be given in the instructions handbook				
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC (1) Essential health and safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.				

MACHINERY 0, NOTIFIED BOIL	CO-ORDINATION O MACHINERY DIRECTIVE RECOMMENDA	CNB/M/03.035 Revision 04 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 21/10/	1996	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG3 Presses for cold working metals		☑ Vertical Group	30/09/2009	
		Horizontal Committee	12/12/1995	
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group.	Endorsed on: 04/06/1996	
Question related to: Dir. 2	2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: 693:2001+A1:2009	Other:	
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.3.8	Normative clause: 5.6	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned: TC 143 WG1		
Key words: crushing haza	Irds, ram frame			
Question: Small hydraulic presses often create a crushing hazard between the frame (bottom of the cylinder) and the ram. Which method is appropriate to avoid the hazard? Solution: See attached figures 1 to 6 and table 1 of standard EN 349. If the head can be inserted, the distance shall be equal or more than 300 mm. (see CNB/M/03.034/R/E/Rev 03)				
Figure 1				
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC				


Figure 6 (Fig. A.1 from EN 349)

Revision 07

Language: E

EAN CO-ORDIN
MACHINERY
NOTIFIED BOOM

CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES MACHINERY DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC + Amendment

RECOMMENDATION FOR USE

THED P					
Date of first stage: 17/07/1998			To be approved by :	Approved on :	
Origin: VG3 Presses for co	old working me	etals	☑	Vertical Group	30/09/2009
			\square	Horizontal Committee	18/09/1997
				To be and see down	Fridayand and
				To be endorsed by:	Endorsed on:
			\square	Machinery Working Group	08/06/1998
Question related to: Dir. 20	006/42/EC	Article:		/prEN: EN 693:2001+ 2009(1) prEN 12622:2009(2)	Other:
Annex: I		EHSR (1): 1.2.1		mative clause: 5.4.1.3, .1.4(1), 5.2.5 (2)	Other clause:
			CE	N TC concerned: TC 143 WG1	

Key words: Fault exclusion/directional valve

Question:

Are there fault exclusions possible dealing with hydraulic directional valves?

Solution:

No! Because the break of a spring or a blockage of the piston will not let return that valve to the safe position. See also CNB/M/03.069

Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Revision 07

Language : E

Ston CO-ORDINATIO	
MACHINERY	
NOTIFIED BOD	

CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES MACHINERY DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC + Amendment

RECOMMENDATION FOR USE

Date of first stage: 10/06/1996			To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG3 Presses for co	ld working me	tals	Ø	Vertical Group	30/09/2009
			☑	Horizontal Committee	09/06/2005
			Ø	To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group.	Endorsed on: 29/10/2005
Question related to: Dir. 20	06/42/EC	Article:	EN	/prEN: prEN 12622:2009	Other:
Annex: I		EHSR (1): 1.2.1	Nor	rmative clause: 5.2	Other clause:
			CE	N TC concerned: TC 143 WG1	

Key words: Emergency stop

Question:

A press can be operated by a foot pedal. On this foot pedal an emergency stop is present. After using the emergency stop, it can be reset by pushing a button on the side of the pedal.

Is this allowed or not?

Answer:

Yes, it is allowed to do so.

The shrouding of a foot pedal may carry an emergency stop device (button). This device needs to be manually reset before the next starting signal can be initiated (see EN 60204-1). The foot pedal shall not be disconnectable.

Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

		Page	1/1 of CNB/M/03.073 Rev 05			
MACHINERY 0, HO7/FIED VON	CO-ORDINATION OF NO Machinery-Directive 2006/4 RECOMMENDATIO	CNB/M/03.073 Revision 05 Language : E				
Date of first stage: 13/10/19	97	To be approved by:	Approved on:			
Origin: VG3 Presses for col		 ☑ Vertical Group				
	J	Horizontal Committee				
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group.	Endorsed on: 08/06/1998			
Question related to: Dir. 200	06/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: 692:2005+A1:2009	Other:			
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.3.2	Normative clause: 5.2.1.2 f)	Other clause:			
		CEN TC concerned: TC 143				
Key words: Testing procedu	ire for brake	1				
Taking into account that the press has an overrun detection, what is the reason of the clause 5.2.1.2.f)? Note: take into account CNB/M/03.073/P/ERev 01 discussed during VG3 meeting on 04/03/96 and CNB/M/03.028/R/ERev 02.						
operating the brake. A block	se 5.2.1.2.f) shall prevent a blockage between kage can lead to a continuously running of the be carried out with maximum admissible clear CNB/M/03.028/R)	press, so that the overrun detection w				
Adaptation pro DIRECTIVE 2006	ocedure: FORMAL ADA 5/42/EC	PTATION IN CONF	ORMITY WITH			

⁽¹⁾ Essential health and safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Revision 08

Language: E

CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES MACHINERY DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC + Amendment

RECOMMENDATION FOR USE

NOTIFIED BOV						
Date of first stage: 14/04/1997			To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG3 Presses for c	old working metals	☑	Vertical Group	30/09/2009		
		Ø	Horizontal Committee	21/11/2005		
		V	To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group.	Endorsed on: 20/04/2006		
Question related to: Dir. 2	2006/42/EC Article:	(1);	/prEN: EN 692:2005+A1:2009 EN 693:2001+A1:2009 (2) ; N 12622:2009 (3)	Other:		
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.3.2, 1.5.13		rmative clause: 5.2.5.2 (1); .3 (2); 5.5.8 (3)	Other clause:		
		CE	N TC concerned: TC 143 WG1			
Key words: Protection, fle	xible piping					
In clause 5.2.5.2 of EN 69 In clause 5.8.3 of EN 693 How can sufficient protec	Question: In clause 5.2.5.2 of EN 692 and 5.5.8 of prEN 12622 a general requirement is established. In clause 5.8.3 of EN 693 it is mentioned only in relation to the operators working position. How can sufficient protection be achieved around the press and at the top of the press if accessible?					
Solution: Well tried materials have to be selected for high pressure (> 5 MPa) flexible piping / hoses and their connectors at any location of the press where the flexible piping / hoses are not covered by other means. The hose shall have two steel-cord-layers as a minimum. The hose assembly shall be tear-proof (evidence possible by test-reports and by drawings). The ratio of the burst-pressure of the hose to the maximum pressure being possible in the considered circuit must be equal or higher than 3.5. No extraordinary environmental conditions (e.g. mechanical, thermal or chemical) are to be expected, unless the hose assembly is tested for these conditions. Flexible pipes shall be marked with the year of production. Instructions shall be included regarding the period and procedure of their replacement. In front of the normal working position/s flexible piping / hoses have to be installed inside the machine frame or have to be covered by additional means (e.g. by wider tubes) which are linked to fixed parts of the press. This is necessary to avoid whiplash of the pipe and high pressure fluid ejection in case of a rupture. When well tried materials are not selected additional means have to be provided to prevent whiplash by securing the hose to the frame of the press (e.g. chains / wires).						
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC						

(1) Essential health and safety requirement

Revision 09

Language: E

EAN CO-OROIN
OFLIN CO-ORDINAL SOLUTION CO-ORDINAL SOLUTIONO

CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES MACHINERY DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC + Amendment

A DIFIED BOT RECOMMENDATION	RECOMMENDATION FOR USE				
Date of first stage: 19/01/2001	To be approved by:	Approved on:			
Origin: VG3 Presses for cold working metals	☑ Vertical Group	30/09/2009			
	☑ Horizontal Committee	07/12/2000			
	To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 04/01/2005			
Question related to: Dir. 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 692:2005+A1:2009 (1); EN 693:2001+A1:2009 (2) ; EN 13736:2003+A1:2009 (3)	Other:			
Annex: I EHSR (1):	Normative clause: 5.3, 5.3.14 (1); 5.3.16 (2), 5.3.13 (3)	Other clause:			
	CEN TC concerned: TC 143				
Key words: C - frame- press, safeguarding at the sides, single cycle					
Question: Using Two Hand Control Devices the sides of a C-frame-press are normally guarded. In which cases are side-guards not necessary?					
Solution: Where side guards are not practicable (e.g:. for ergonomic reasons, the press will be used with a table at the left and/or right side for unready and ready workpieces, the workpiece is larger than the table) they will not be required if the following five conditions are satisfied together: 1. The table width is less than 550 mm 2. There is only one THCD , fixed to the frame of the press, allowing the operator to supervise the front and lateral sides of the press 3. The depth of the table is less than 550 mm 4. Access from the rear shall be prevented 5. It has never to be expected that more than one operator is needed to do the work (intended use)					

Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC

(1) Essential health and safety requirement

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/03.095/R/E/Rev 05

MACEINERY 9, No7/FIED 800	CO-ORDINATION OF NOT MACHINERY DIRECTIVE2006/4 RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/03.095 Revision 05 Language: E			
Date of first stage: 10/06/	Approved on:				
Origin: VG3 Presses for o		To be approved by: ☑ Vertical Group			
Oligin. VG5 Presses for C		 ✓ Vertical Gloup ✓ Horizontal Committee 			
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group.	Endorsed on: 08/06/1998		
Question related to: Dir. 2	2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 692:2005+A1:2009	Other:		
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.4	Normative clause: 5.3.15, annex B	Other clause:		
		CEN TC concerned: TC 143			
Key words: Guards, safe	ty distance				
Question:					
distances from specific se hand annex B of EN 692 of the parameter K.	es parameters based on values for hand/arm and ensing or actuating devices, so it doesn't take in only indicates that parameter C, in the general f n of calculation of the safety distances for early o	consideration the early opening inte formula from EN 999, can be zero bu	erlocking guards. On the other		
Solution: To achieve adequate pro	tection, the following general formula may be us	ed :			
S = K(T-ť) + C					
t' is the necessary time to have the possibility to enter into the danger zone depending upon the design of the guard (the mass, the overlapping of the guard with the table,)					
K = 1,6 m/s.					
NOTE: C has to be considered if between the closing edges a gap remains					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					
(1) Essential health and s	safety requirement	·			

Revision 06

Language: E

Stenn CO-ORDINA
NOILE
MACHINERY
NOTIFIED BOOK

CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES MACHINERY DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC + Amendment

RECOMMENDATION FOR USE

Date of first stage: 14/04/1997			To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG3 Presses for cold work	ing metals	Ø	Vertical Group	30/09/2009	
		\square	Horizontal Committee	09/06/2005	
			To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group.	Endorsed on: 29/10/2005	
Question related to: Dir. 2006/42/	EC Article:	EN	/prEN: EN 692:2005+A1:2009	Other:	
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.3.8.2, 1.4.1, 1.4.3	No	rmative clause: 5.4.2	Other clause:	
		CE	N TC concerned: TC 143		
Key words: Overrun detection / Screw presses					
Question: Clause 5.4.2 requires for all mechanical presses with safeguarding methods listed up in 5.4.1.3 of EN 692 a overrun detection; the description is mainly for excentric presses. How can this requirement be achieved dealing with screw presses?					

Solution:

It is impossible to fulfill those principal requirements for overrun monitoring - as written in 5.4.2 of EN 692:1996 - on screw presses. Intervals for periodic inspections of the overrun behavior shall be described in the manual.

Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/03.111/R/E/Rev 06

MACHINERY 9. NoTIFIED BOIL	CO-ORDINATION OF NO MACHINERY DIRECTIVE 2000 RECOMMENDATIO	CNB/M/03.111 Revision 06 Language: E				
Date of first stage: 24/09/2	003	To be approved by:	Approved on:			
Origin: VG3 Presses for co	old working metals	☑ Vertical Group	29/09/2009			
		☑ Horizontal Committee	11/12/2003			
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group.	Endorsed on: 01/07/2004			
Question related to: Dir. 20	006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 692:2005+A1:2009 EN 693:2001+A1:2009	Other:			
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.3.8.2, 1.4.1,	Normative clause:	Other clause:			
	1.4.3	CEN TC concerned: TC 143				
Key words: Stopping time	measurement / die cushion / ejector					
Key words: Stopping time measurement / die cushion / ejector Question: Will a stopping time measurement be required for die cushions or ejectors? Solution: No, not in general, but the risk assessment shall take into consideration if the measurement is needed or not. At the present time, the current standards do not require stopping time measurements for die cushions or ejectors.						
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC						

Page 1/1 of CNB/03.117/R/E/Rev 07

		i age i	TOLCIND/03.117/R/E/Rev 0
OF CO-OROMANIE MACHINERY	CO-ORDINATION OF NO Machinery Directive 2006/42/		CNB/M/03.117 Revision 07 Language: E
NOTIFIED BOST	RECOMMENDATION	I FOR USE	
Date of first stage: 24/09/2003		To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG3 Presses for the cold workin	g of metals	☑ Vertical Group☑ Horizontal Committee	29/09/2009 26/11/2009
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 26/05/2010
Question related to: Dir. 2006/42/EC	Article: 1.4.2.1	EN/prEN: EN 692:2005+A1:2009	Other:
Annex: I	EHSR (1):	Normative clause: 5.3.13 c)	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned: TC 143	
Key words: AOPD / Additional guards			
Question: Will it be allowed that the additional gua screws only?	rds preventing the standing betwee	en a light curtain and the danger zone	are fastened by standard
Recommended solution: No! Additional guards have to be perma press frame or interlocked with the pres		e-way screws or by deforming the hea	ad of the screw to the
(1) Essential Health and Safety Require	ement		

Revision 07

Language: E

OTENN CO-ORDINATION	
MACHINERY	
NOTIFIED BODIE	

CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES MACHINERY DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC + Amendment

RECOMMENDATION FOR USE

VOTIFIED BU				
Date of first stage: 25/08/19	97		To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG3 Presses for col	d working metals	<u></u>	Vertical Group	29/09/2009
		M	Horizontal Committee	21/11/2005
			To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group.	Endorsed on: 20/04/2006
Question related to: Dir. 200	06/42/EC Article:	EN	l/prEN: EN 12622:2001	Other:
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.4	.1 No	rmative clause: 5.3.22	Other clause:
		CE	N TC concerned: TC 143/WG1	

Key words: press-brakes / tandem assembly

Question:

Which requirements have to be achieved in the design if a tandem assembly of press brakes is used singly?

Solution:

When a tandem assembly of two press brakes is used singly, the singly used parts of the assembly have to fulfil the safety requirements which apply to single machines according to EN 12622, especially:

a) The two machine control systems have to function separately.

b) Between both press brakes, a guard and its position have to be activated (interlocking guard).

c) The extension of the guard towards the operator measured from the bending line shall be at least 230 mm in accordance to the

requirement for single press brakes as illustrated in the harmonised standard EN 12622, Annex F.

d) This operational mode has to be selected e.g. by a separated selector switch or by separated positions of the existing mode selector.

Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/03.128/R/E Rev 08

orean C MACI	CO-ORDINARION BUINERY	CO-ORDINATION OF N MACHINERY DIRECTIVE200	CNB/M/03.128 Revision 08 Language: E			
"N _{OTIF}	FIED BODY	RECOMMENDATI	ON FOR USE			
Date of firs	st stage: 28/09/	1998	To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG	3 Presses for c	cold working metals	☑ Vertical Group			
			☑ Horizontal Committee	09/06/2005		
			To be endorsed by:	Endorsed on:		
			Machinery Working Group	29/10/2005		
Question re	elated to: Dir. 2	2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 693:2001 EN 12622:2001	Other: EN 954-1:1996		
Annex: I		EHSR (1): 1.2.1	Normative clause:	Other clause:		
			CEN TC concerned: TC 143 WG 1			
Key words	: Overlapping,	Monitoring Valves				
Question :						
· ·		overlapping of a (safety related) directional v				
,		to be taken to test the position monitoring o ut of the position monitoring of a proportiona		out also acceptable?		
0.7						
Answer :						
	The positive overlapping of a directional valve (e.g. restraint valve) shall ensure that the closing speed cannot exceed 1 mm/s as long as the directional valve is in resting position. The positive overlapping of a proportional valve should be bigger or equal than 0,35 mm. The positive overlapping of other directional valves should be equal or bigger than 0,5 mm. Manufacturing tolerances of the parts of the directional valve have to be taken into account.					
		neck the position monitoring of valves are no gory B of EN 954-1.) The Change of signal r		n monitoring must conform to		
	An analogue output of the position monitoring of a proportional valve is acceptable. (The electronics of the position monitoring of a valve must conform to category B of EN 954-1.)					
		n for the operator is raised during the closing by opening contacts (except the gap betwee		e separated from the electrical		
	Good experience have been made with a positive overlapping of a proportional valve equal or more than 0,35 mm and of a directional valve equal or more than 0,5 mm					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC						

⁽¹⁾ Essential health and safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Revision 04

Language: E

CEAN CO-ORDIN
MACHINERY
MACHINERY

CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES MACHINERY DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC + Amendment

RECOMMENDATION FOR USE

OTIFIED BO			
Date of first stage: 24/05/2	000	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG3 Presses for co	old working metals	☑ Vertical Group	29/09/2009
		Horizontal Committee	02/06/1999
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group.	Endorsed on: 03/03/2000
Question related to: Dir. 20	006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 693:2001+A1:2009	Other:
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.2.1	Normative clause: 5.4	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned: TC 143	

Key words: Bypassing monitored restraint valves

Question:

Under which conditions bypassing a restraint valve is allowed?

Solution:

1) The volume flow in the bypass shall be restricted to the value of 5 mm/s x A_R (ring area) of the cylinder, e.g. by a bleed (orifice plate) 2) The check value in the bypass can fail without any detection (see figure)

2) The check valve in the bypass can fail without any detection (see figure)

3) If the second restraint valve fails also, the speed (leckage speed) of the beam/slide/ram shall not increase more than 5 mm/s (check valve failed already without detection)

Note: The max. weight of slide/ram/beam with

tools has to be taken into consideration



Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC

(1) Essential health and safety requirement

Page	1/3	of	CNB/	M/03	.143	/R/E	Rev	09
		•						•••

MACHINERY 0, NOTIFIED BOTIS	CO-ORDINATION OF NOT Machinery Directive 2006/42/I RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/03.143 Revision 09 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 24/05/20	000	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG3 Presses for col	ld working metals	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	14/12/2010
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 23/05/2011
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 692:2005 +A1:2009	Other:
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.2.1	Clause: 5.2	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned: TC 143	
Key words: Spindle / Screw	/ presses - block / shoe brakes		
Which requirements shall the Solution: 1) The brake shall be release 2) Multiple brake block / sho 3) The brake linings should 4) The brake shall function 5) The failure of the brake the 6) The solidity of the block/s 7) The break shall be design Remark : Not all block/shoed down in clause 5.2.1.7 of E	starting). tected by plausibility check red function.		

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.





MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE			CNB/M/03.154 Revision 07 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 25/03/20)02		To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG3 Presses for col	d working me	tals	☑ Vertical Group	30/09/2009		
			Horizontal Committee	24/10/2002		
			To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group.	Endorsed on: 02/03/2004		
Question related to: Dir. 200	06/42/EC	Article:	EN/prEN: EN 693:2001+A1:2009	Other:		
Annex: I		EHSR (1): 1.2.1, 1.6.1, 1.6.4	Normative clause: 5.2.1, 5.2.2	Other clause:		
		1.0.7	CEN TC concerned: TC 143			
Key words: Hydraulic press	es, Mechanic	al restraint device, Production and	Maintenance			
Question: Under which conditions is it	possible to u	se the device shown on page 2 as	s a mechanical restraint device?			
Solution: The restraint device shown on page 2 cannot be used as mechanical restraint devices in the sense of 5.2.1.1, 1 _{st} indent, because they act by friction alone. It can be used in combination with a hydraulic restraint device in the sense of clause 5.2.1.1, 3 _{rd} indent, if the function of both restraint devices are monitored (see 5.2.1.4) in such a way that if the hydraulic restraint device fails the possibility to introduce pressure in the upper part is always avoided. The restraint device shown on page 2 can be used alone also as a restraint device in the sense of cl. 5.2.2 of EN 693.						
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC						





Figure 2

MACHINERY 9, 107/FIED 800	CO-ORDINATION OF NO Machinery Directive 2006/42 RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/03.157 Revision 05 Language: E				
Date of first stage: 17/05/20	000	To be approved by:	Approved on:			
Origin: VG3 Presses for col		✓ Vertical Group	29/09/2009			
		☑ Horizontal Committee	09/06/2005			
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 29/10/2005			
Question related to: Dir. 200	06/42/EC Article: 1.5.14	EN/prEN: EN 12622:2001 (1)	Other: EN 693:2001 +A1:2009			
Annex: I	EHSR (1):	Pr EN 12622 :2009 (2) Normative clause: 5.3.25 (1) 5.4.6 (2	Other clause: 5.3.20			
		CEN TC concerned: TC 143 WG 1				
Key words: Press-Brake, Hy	ydraulic Press, Release of trapped persons					
1. an emergency sto	red to release trapped person when: op is actuated or d as a hold to run control device - is actuated ir	the third position?				
Answer : An opening control device of the beam must remain operative, even if the emergency stop and/or the third position of a foot pedal used as a hold to run control device is still actuated. It shall be immediately operative without the need to reset any part of the control system. The emergency stop and/or the third position of the foot pedal shall not stop the pump! If the press brake includes an opening control device used for normal operations, it must be designed to be used also for this safety function.						
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC						

⁽¹⁾ Essential health and safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Tage 1/1 CIND/W/03.139/1/2 Nev 0					
MACHINERY 0, 10, 10, 10, 1, 10, 10, 10,		CNB/M/03.159 Revision 06 Language: E			
Date of first stage: 25/03/20)02		To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG3 Presses for col	d working me	etals	☑ Vertical Group	29/09/2009	
9	J		Horizontal Committee	24/10/2002	
			To be endorsed by:	Endorsed on:	
			Machinery Working Group.	02/03/2004	
Question related to: Dir. 200	06/42/EC	Article:	EN/prEN: EN 693:2000,	Other: EN 13846-1:2008,	
Annov 1			EN 12622:2001	EN 60204-1:2006	
Annex: I		EHSR (1): 1.2	Normative clause:	Other clause:	
			CEN TC concerned: TC 143		
Key word: Valve monitoring	I, PES				
Question: Can, in case of control syste monitoring?	ems in accord	dance with category 4 of EN 954-1	, a standard PES (EN 954:1996 cate	⊧gory B) be used for valve	
Solution: Yes, a standard PES (Programmable Electronic System) may be used for valve monitoring (considered as a passive safety function), if the following conditions are fulfilled: Functional requirements: - The automatic monitoring signal shall be checked automatically during each cycle of the press. - The change of the monitoring signal shall be checked automatically during each cycle of the press. Wiring requirements to avoid common mode failures: - Each position switch shall be connected to its own input module or - If a single input module is used the signals of antivalent logic from different position switches shall be inputted as well. Software verification: - Following safety related principles, it is necessary to verify the software and to give instructions on periodic maintenance. Modification protection of software: - The manufacturer shall write a warning in the software close to the part of programme concerning the monitoring that this part must not be deactivated or modified for safety reasons. Other requirements: - The information from the PES used for monitoring the valves shall be periodically (once per cycle) monitored and tested. Protection of programme sequence: - The programme shall be monitorined by e.g. an internal watchdog. Note 1: The valve monitoring acts as a passive monitoring device, that is, it does not itself initiate any hazardous movements but permits or disables a hazardous movement of the machine if a fault was detected.					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

MACHINERY ⁰ ⁰ ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/03.160 Revision 05 Language: E			
Date of first stage: 09/10/2	2001	To be approved by:	Approved on:			
Origin: VG3 Presses for c	old working metals	☑ Vertical Group	29/09/2009			
-	-	☑ Horizontal Committee	04/12/2001			
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 04/01/2005			
Question related to: Dir. 2	006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 692 :2005+A1 :2009 EN 693 :2001+A1 :2009	Other: prEN 12622:2009			
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.2	EN 12622:2001 Normative clause:	Other clause:			
		CEN TC concerned: TC 143				
Key words: Automatic cyc	le - AOPD/Interlocking guard without guard locki	ng valve monitoring				
Key words: Automatic cycle - AOPD/Interlocking guard without guard locking valve monitoring Question: Do the safety-related valves – in case of automatic cycle and AOPD/interlocking guard without guard locking as safety system for the operator – have to be deenergized once per cycle? Solution: No, in this case the safety related valves have to be deenergized only in the event of an intervention of the safety system.						
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC						

⁽¹⁾ Essential health and safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY 0, NOTIFIED BOILS	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE			CNB/M/03.164 Revision 06 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 23/09/2	2002		To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG3 Presses for c	old working m	etals	☑ Vertical Group	29/09/2009	
			Horizontal Committee	16/06/2003	
			To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group.	Endorsed on: 17/12/2003	
Question related to: Dir. 2	006/42/EC	Article:	EN/prEN: EN 12622:2001	Other: prEN 12622:2009	
Annex: I		EHSR (1): 1.2.5	Normative clause: 5.4.3	Other clause: 5.2.5.11	
			CEN TC concerned: TC 143		
Key words: Press Brakes	- Mode selecti	on			
Question: In some cases, press brakes are arranged and programmed to carry out in one cycle successively several operations on the same product. In such cases, the machine can for example have two control stations, that are activated by the program at the right moment and used by the same operator. Under which conditions can we accept such kind of "mode selection" carried out solely by the (normal) programmable control? A variant of the described situation is e.g. the case where at certain moments a single operator is working with the machine, while at other moments there are two operators. Here also there are technical solutions defining through software the active station(s). Solution: A normal programmable system by itself is not able to do the selection of the number of operators. The selection of the numbers of operators shall be necessarily hardwired or monitored by a safety PLC. Two cases could be considered: A) In case of one operator using different work stations: Yes, when an AOPD (in the form of light curtain or multi-beam laser system) is active only during the approach; when it is muted, the press brake shall work with hold-to-run control in conjunction with slow speed. The activation of a work station shall be indicated by visual means (e.g. lamp). This visual signal shall be periodically monitored (e.g. by pressing a push button). In the case of a fault in the control system, it shall not be possible to have several work stations active simultaneously. B) In case of several operators using each a different working station: No, in general it is not permitted to work in this way (see clauses. 5.3.19 and 5.4.3.3 of EN 12					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

MACHINERY ⁰ , ¹⁰ 07/FIED ⁸⁰ 0 ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/03.165 Revision 05 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 23/09/20	02	To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG3 Presses for col	d working metals	☑ Vertical Group	29/09/2009		
		☑ Horizontal Committee	16/06/2003		
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group.	Endorsed on: 17/12/2003		
Question related to: Dir. 200	06/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: prEN 12622:2009	Other:		
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.3.7, 1.4.3	Normative clause: 5.1.1.4.1 f)	Other clause:		
		CEN TC concerned: TC 143			
Key words: Press Brakes, L	ight curtains-Blanking				
invisible the work-piece sup	light curtains it is often necessary to blank out p ports. o correct the safety distance between the prote		ction field only for making		
 The resolution of the light reprogramming the safety ir The resolution in the rest The safety distance shall The safety distance shall 	 Answer: It is not obligatory to correct the safety distance (see figure 2) when blanking if the following conditions are fulfilled: The resolution of the light curtain at the blanking point shall be ≤ 30 mm; means shall be provided to prevent the user from reprogramming the safety interface; The resolution in the rest of the area shall be 14 mm; The safety distance shall be calculated as described in Annex A of EN 12622:2001, using a resolution of 14 mm; The safety distance shall be ≥ 150 mm; 				
	initiate cycles using the light curtain;	ale the cheet supports:			
	lanking areas than necessary for making invisit		different resolutions in		
 The manufacturer has to incorporate a warning into the operator's instruction manual to make him aware of the different resolutions in the two areas. NOTE: When changing the height of the die, it is necessary to change the position of the blanking area to establish a clear correlation between the blanking area and the position of the sheet supports. Figures see page 2. 					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC (1) Essential health and safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the					
notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.					



Figure 1



Figure 2

MACHINERY ⁰ , ¹ O _{7/FIED} ⁶ O ¹¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/03.166 Revision 06 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 25/03/20	03		To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG3 Presses for col	d working metals		☑ Vertical Group☑ Horizontal Committee	29/09/2009 16/06/2003	
			To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group.	Endorsed on: 17/12/2003	
Question related to: Dir. 200	06/42/EC Article:		EN/prEN: prEN 12622:2009	Other:	
Annex: I	EHSR	(1): 1.3.7, 1.4.1, 1.4.3	Normative clause: 5.1.1.5	Other clause:	
			CEN TC concerned: TC 143		
Key words: Press Brakes, A	OPD				
Key words: Press Brakes, AOPD Question: Can an ESPE using AOPD in the form of a mono-beam or multi-beam laser for which the protection zone is close to the die, fixed to the table of a downstroking press brake, be used as an alternative to the safeguarding measures described in 5.3.2 of EN 12622:2001? Solution: No, the laser devices (mono-beam or multi-beam) fixed to prisms in a horizontal position and with a protected zone limited to some millimeters adjacent to the bending plane are considered no longer state of the art as it is difficult to fulfill the essential requirements of the Machinery Directive.					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

⁽¹⁾ Essential health and safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Machinery Directive 2006/	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE					
Date of first stage: 25/03/2003	To be approved by:	Approved on:				
Origin: VG3 Presses for cold working metals	☑ Vertical Group	29/09/2009				
	Horizontal Committee	16/06/2003				
	To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group.	Endorsed on: 17/12/2003				
Question related to: Dir. 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 693:2001+A1:2009	Other:				
Annex: I EHSR (1): 1.2	Normative clause:	Other clause:				
	CEN TC concerned: TC 143					
Key words: Hydraulic Presses with "Low force approach" - Controls						
Are redundant controls and monitoring required for presses with "low force approach" (equal or less than 150 N or 50 N per cm ²) and reduced speed (2 m/min) in conjunction with hold-to-run control? Solution: Yes, redundant controls and monitoring are required unless the closing speed does not exceed 10 mm/s in conjunction with hold-to-run control as the only mode of operation. NOTE: If VG 3 receives additional information about a specific solution which gives sufficient guarantee that the low force approach function is not lost easily and about the means to change to full force, this question could be reconsidered.						
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC						

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/03.172 Revision 04 Language: E			
Date of first stage: 25/09/20	002	To be approved by:	Approved on:			
Origin: VG3 Presses for col	ld working metals	☑ Vertical Group	29/09/2009			
		Horizontal Committee	16/06/2003			
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 17/12/2003			
Question related to: Dir. 200	06/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 692:2005+A1:2009	Other:			
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.2.1	Normative clauses: 5.2.1.3, 5.2.3.11	Other clause:			
		CEN TC concerned: TC 143				
Key words: Safety valve, se	eparated clutch and brake					
the clutch and another for the	pneumatic clutch and brake separated, is it nece he control of the brake or is it possible to use onl					
Answer: For a mechanical press: 1. To initiate a stroke, it is necessary first to release the brake and then to control the clutch. 2. To stop a movement, it is necessary to release the clutch and then to control the brake. In order to prevent unintended gravity fall, a short time is required for synchronisation particularly in such cases where two valves are used. This can be achieved either by one or two double-bodied safety valves. The manufacturer of the press shall provide means (e.g. bleeds) to avoid overlapping between clutch and brake and, relating to residual pressure, shall take care of the positioning of the valves. This must be achieved according to the technical documentation of the clutch, the brake and the valves. The technical file must contain a clear description of that means, if necessary, with a calculation.						
Adaptation proc DIRECTIVE 2006		ON IN CONFORMITY N	NITH			
(1) Losential riealti and Sal						

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/03.176 Revision 05 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 22/09/20	003	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG3 Presses for col	d working metals	☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee	29/09/2009 09/06/2005	
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 29/10/2005	
Question related to: Dir. 200	06/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 693:2001	Other:	
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.2.3	Normative clause: 5.3.15 g); 5.4.1.2 CEN TC concerned:	Other clause:	
Key words: RESTART / RE	SET / AOPD	L		
Question: If a press is safeguarded by initiated via a standard PLC	v light curtain used for cycle initiation and the pre	-set time has passed, may the reset	and restart of the press be	
first stroke after the reset of	assed, the reset of the press can be initiated by a beration will be restarted by a single or double br tuated in position giving a good view of the haza	eak action in the detection field of the		
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC				

⁽¹⁾ Essential health and safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY 0, No _{71FIED} 800 ¹¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/03.177 Revision 04 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 07/06/20)04	To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG3 Presses for col	d working metals	☑ Vertical Group	30/09/2009		
		Horizontal Committee	09/12/2004		
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group.	Endorsed on: 24/05/2005		
Question related to: Dir. 200	06/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: prEN12622:2003/10	Other:		
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.2.3	Normative clause: 5.2.5.5.3 n)	Other clause:		
		CEN TC concerned: TC 143			
Key words: Hydraulic press	brake - AOPD moving with the beam, box bene	ding, mode confirmation			
Question: 5.2.5.5.3 Paragraph n) requires that any blanking shall require deliberate confirmation by the operator. Further, when this blanking is activated it shall need automatic deactivation after each cycle before or at next Top Dead Centre. Is it acceptable that this confirmation especially for box bend mode is derived from other means than the operator? Some machines do derive this confirmation from their CNC and therefore the confirmation is once programmed, from then on it is automatically. Is this an acceptable level of safety? Note: The question above is dealing with a programmable box bending sequence (predeterminated number of strokes where some of these strokes, at least one, are carried out with a blanked front beam) in contradiction with paragraph e of 5.2.5.5.3 of prEN 12622:2003/10 where box bending mode is defined as a single stroke with blanked front beam.					
Solution: No, this is not acceptable. The new draft standard needs to clarify points e) and n) of clause 5.2.5.5.3. The aim of the requirement is to make the operator aware that the normal level of safety is only partially available. The box bending mode has to be selected by key selector switch or by appropriate positive means. After finishing a box bending sequence the system must return to normal mode of operation automatically. All strokes with blanked front beam at full speed need an additional or separate deliberate command (e.g. reapplication of foot pedal or push one additional button). In other case the beam works in slow speed.					
Hint: VG3 considers that there is a discrepancy between prEN12622:2003/10 and previous prEN12622:2001/10 (concerning paragraph b of 5.2.5.5.3 and the reference taken from paragraph d and e).					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/03.179/R/E Rev 04

MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/03.179 Revision 04 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 08/06/20	004	To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG3 Presses for the cold working of metals		 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	29/09/2009 09/12/2004 Endorsed on:		
		Machinery Working Group	24/05/2005		
Question related to: Direction	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 12622:2001	Other:		
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.2.5	Clause: 5.3.22, 7.2.2 u)	Other clause:		
		CEN TC concerned: TC 143			
Key words: Press-brakes -	Working with one side guard open				
Solution: Either A) a key selector shall be installed that sets the slow closing speed (10 mm/s) and slow speed (2 m/min) of the back gauge over the full stroke or B) the opening of one or both side guards shall always stop both the closing movement and slow speed movement, and make it necessary to release and reapply the control (foot pedal) to restart the closing movement, and automatically set the slow closing speed (10 mm/s) and slow speed (2 m/min) of back gauge over the full stroke. The automatic opening of the press when at full speed should only be possible if no hazard is introduced by the opening stroke.					
If a lateral guard is closed during a slow speed closing operation, this movement may only continue at slow speed. To return to a high speed operation after closing the lateral guards, shall only be possible by reactivating the control (foot pedal). (see 5.4.1.1 b) EN 12622:2001) Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC (1) Essential safety requirement					

MACHINERY O, NOTIFIED BODIE	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/03.180 Revision 04 Language: E			
Date of first stage: 08/06/20	04	To be approved by:	Approved on:			
Origin: VG3 Presses for col	d working metals	☑ Vertical Group	28/09/2009			
		Horizontal Committee	09/12/2004			
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 24/05/2005			
Question related to: Dir. 200	06/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 12622:2001	Other:			
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.3.8	Normative clause: 5.3.24.1	Other clause:			
		CEN TC concerned: TC 143				
Key words: Press-brakes - A	Ancillary devices - Powered tools clamping devic	es				
 In some cases press brakes are fitted with pneumatic or hydraulic tools clamping devices. Which requirements shall be adopted to prevent fingers being trapped during the locking movement? What measures have to be taken to ensure a secure and correct locking of the tools? Solution: To prevent the fingers being trapped during tool setting the manufacturer of the press-brakes shall give clear instructions in the machines manual about the residual risk concerning clamping devices. It has to be ensured, that a loss of pressure does not lead to an insecure tool. This might be achieved by a system consisting of a mechanical tool retention or security system (both preventing the tool from falling down) together with either a mechanical forced clamping (e.g. by spring force) pneumatic or hydraulic energy only being used to de-clamp the tool* or a positive clamping by use of pneumatic or hydraulic energy together with a pressure sensing device interlocked with a control system of the press-brakes according to category 2 of EN954-1:1996. 						
* Single faults in clamping device shall not lead to loss of the clamping function. Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC						
(1) Essential health and safe	ety requirement					

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Stan CO-ORDINAL MACHINERY O. NOTIFIED 8001	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/03.182 Revision 04 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 08/06/	2004	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG3 Presses for o	cold working metals	☑ Vertical Group	28/09/2009	
		Horizontal Committee	09/12/2004	
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group.	Endorsed on: 24/05/2005	
Question related to: Dir. 2	2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: prEN 12622:2008	Other:	
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.3.7, 1.3.8	Normative clause: 5.1.1.5 n)	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned: TC 143		
Key words: Press-brakes	- ESPE using AOPD in the form of laser beams	I - Additional crushing hazard		
	d crushing between the safety device moving wi	th the beam and any other part of the p	ress-brakes?	
Answer: Doing the risk assessme	nt about additional crushing hazards generated v	with these devices the normal considera	ation is to trap the hand.	
-				
 The following solutions solely or in combination may be helpful to ensure a sufficient level of safety. The AOPD moving with the beam has to be mounted in such a way, that it can be easily deflected by any part of the human body introduced beneath the moving part of the AOPD. The distance between the edge of the safety device and the closest fixed parts of the press shall not be less than 100 mm (hands safety - EN 349:1993 + A1:2008). The use of sensitive edges. 				
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC				

notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/03.185 Revision 05 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 09/06/20)04		To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG3 Presses for col	d working me	tals	☑ Vertical Group	30/09/2009	
			Horizontal Committee	09/06/2005	
			To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group.	Endorsed on: 29/10/2005	
Question related to: Dir. 200	06/42/EC	Article:	EN/prEN: EN 693:2001, EN 692:2005+A1:2009	Other:	
Annex: I		EHSR (1): 1.4.2; 1.4.2.2	Normative clause: 5.3	Other clause:	
			CEN TC concerned: TC 143/WG1		
Key words: Movable screen	IS				
 Key words: Movable screens Question: Q: 1. Which safeguarding is necessary for pneumatically or electrically vertically driven guards on a press when the guard is manoeuvred with ordinary two hand control or when a single hold-to-run pushbutton is used? Q: 2. When is it acceptable to use an impulse button as the control device for movable guard? Q: 3. When must fall arresters (anti-drop safeguards) as described in EN 12604 be used? Solution: The manufacturer has to do a risk assessment according to EN 954-1:1996 to define the preferable category for the control system of the movement of the door. During this assessment the manufacturer will have to judge if the kinetic energy of the movement of the guard is big enough to cause serious injury. A:1. When a two hand control or a hold to run pushbutton is used for the guard and the operator has a good view of the area around the door and of the tool area no other safety measures have to be taken. The force (pressure) must be lower than 150 N (50 N/cm2) or additional safeguarding measures have to be implemented in the trapping zone generated by the guards. A:2. Always if the operator has a good view of the area around the door and of the tool area and of the dosing movement of the guard and if one of the following conditions is fulfilled: the requirements of 5.2.5.2 of EN 953:2009 are fulfilled (e.g. a sensitive edge that reverses the door in case of obstruction is installed) or there is no danger presented by the guard. A: If one single mechanical fault leads to an unintended gravity fall causing a force exceeding 150 N additional safe guarding measures shall be taken into consideration (e.g. fall arresters, double independent drive systems, over dimensioning of critical parts or other solutions as described in EN 12604). 					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

MACHINERY 9. NoniFied 800	CO-ORDINATION OF NC Machinery Directive 2006/42 RECOMMENDATIO	CNB/M/03.186 Revision 06 Language: E					
Date of first stage: 09/06/2004		To be approved by:	Approved on:				
Origin: VG3 Presses for cold working metals		☑ Vertical Group	28/09/2009				
		☑ Horizontal Committee	26/11/2009				
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group.	Endorsed on: 26/05/2010				
Question related to: Dir. 2006/42/EC Article:		EN/prEN: EN692:2005+A1:2009(1), EN 693:2001+A1:2009(2), EN 12622:2001(3),	Other:				
Annex: IV-9	EHSR (1):	Normative clause: 5.4.4 (1), 5.4.3 (2), 5.4.2 (3),	Other clause:				
		CEN TC concerned: TC 143					
Key words: Acceptability of	a component, configurable or parameterizable	PES					
Question: Should a manufacturer of a press, that relies on the below described PES to manage the safety control functions of the machine have carried out an EC type examination or produce the machine using a full quality assurance system approved by a notified body according to annex X of the Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC or not ? Description: According to above mentioned clauses the safety related functions of presses shall not rely solely on a PES. Recently several safety programmable electronic systems (SPES) have appeared on the market referred as configurable safety relay, or parameterizable safety unit, etc. These systems differ from the freely-programmable safety control systems in the following features: The function blocks are already programmed and certified. Programming an application consist of doing the following steps, in a graphical user-interface: a) Choosing the input functions (icon boxes), unfolding input function windows for setting their specific parameters and assigning connection terminals to the input functions b) Doing the same for the output functions b) Doing the same for the output functions c) Calling the linking functions (AND, OR, etc.) and d) Wiring all blocks; The user does not need to develop a complex programme properly, but these systems are also considered to be PES. Some systems are dedicated to an application and the main part of the logic is already programmed, so the manufacturers of the machines only have to properly parameterize (tailor) the system to its own application. Solution: Yes, Manufacturers of annex IV machinery are obligated to follow EC type examination procedure or manufacture using a full quality assurance system as described above as long as these types of safety systems are excluded from above mentioned harmonised standards.							
(1) Eccential bootth and cof							

MACHINERY 9. No7/FIED BON	CO-ORDINATION OF NO Machinery Directive 2006/4 RECOMMENDATIO	CNB/M/03.187 Revision 05 Language: E					
Date of first stage: 09/06/2004		To be approved by:	Approved on:				
Origin: VG3 Presses for cold working metals		☑ Vertical Group	30/09/2009				
		☑ Horizontal Committee	09/06/2005				
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group.	Endorsed on: 29/10/2005				
Question related to: Dir. 20	06/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 692:2005+A1:2009	Other:				
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.2; 1.3.2	Normative clauses: 5.2.6, 5.2.6.4 Other clause:					
		CEN TC concerned: TC 143					
Key words: failure of auxilia	ary powered functions for setting						
 (e.g. clamping devices of the eccentric and the screw) are available on the market. It is intended that they are manually initiated via a deliberate/intended action. EN 692 clause 5.2.6 specifies requirements for interlocks between control circuits of drives and clutches and also to ensure the locking of adjustments during production (5.2.6.4). Therefore: a) Which categories shall control circuits for powered slide adjustment (e.g. control of position of the eccentric and other associated bars) conform to in the case of manual loaded and/or unloaded mechanical presses? b) Which categories shall control circuits for the stroke adjustment (e.g. control of the correct clamping of the screw) conform to in the case of manual loaded and/or unloaded mechanical presses? Answer: Firstly, these functions shall only be available in setting mode: a) The control circuits for locking powered slide adjustment in the correct position for production mode shall at least conform to Category 1. Additionally the position of the clamping devices shall be monitored. This function must be automatically tested at least at each of tool setting. b) The control circuits for locking the powered stroke adjustment in the correct position for production mode shall at least conform to Category 1. 							
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC							

MACHINERY ⁰ , ^N O _{7/FIED} ⁸ 0 ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE			CNB/M/03.188 Revision 06 Language: E			
Date of first stage: 07/06/2004			To be approved by:	Approved on:			
Origin: VG3 Presses for cold working metals			Vertical Group	28/09/2009			
		V	Horizontal Committee	10/08/2008			
		Ø	To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 08/01/2009			
Question related to: Dir. 2006/42/EC Article:			EN/prEN: EN 692: 2005, Other: EN 13736:2003				
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.4.2.2		693 :2001 mative clause:	Other clause:			
		CEN	NTC concerned: TC 143				
Key words: Front guard switch							
Question: Is only one non mechanical actuated switching unit consisting of one active and one inactive part (e.g. a magnetic switch) acceptable for interlocking a cyclic front guard of a press?							
 Solution : Yes, if: The switching unit and the safety logic fulfil category 4 of EN 954-1 (redundant and monitored) and A cyclic test (at least once per stroke) is done in any operational mode to verify that the moving part of the switching unit is not attached to the other part permanently. A negative test result shall lead to a prevention of further stroke initiation. The cyclic test can be done e.g. by a standard PLC. If a cyclic test can not be done (e.g. when the press can be operated also in automatic mode) the switching unit shall be mounted so that the actuating part of the unit can not be removed for the purpose of disabling the safety system (see EN 1088:1995/prA1:2005). The parts of the switching unit must then be a "unique" pair. "Unique" means that it is unlikely to find another matching part that can be used to defeat the protective system. 							
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC							
Page 1/1 of CNB/M/03.189/R/E/Rev 05 REAN CO-ORDINA CNB/M/03.189 **CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES** Revision 05 Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment Language: E RECOMMENDATION FOR USE Date of first stage: 31/08/2005 To be approved by: Approved on: Origin: VG3 Presses for cold working metals ☑ Vertical Group..... 30/09/2009 21/11/2005 Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: Endorsed on: Machinery Working Group. 20/04/2006 Question related to: Dir. 2006/42/EC Article: EN/prEN: EN 1088:1995 +A2:2008 Other: EHSR (1): 1.4.1 Normative clause: Other clause: Annex: I CEN TC concerned: Key words: Defeat of protective measures on presses Question: Which methods may be used to prevent unauthorized loosening or tampering of screws/settings when the risk of manipulation is high and the manipulation will not be detected by the control system for: Interlock switches and their keys Non-mechanical interlock switches (e.g. magnetic, proximity switches) • Press table extensions used to prevent standing behind the light curtain considering that these extensions sometimes are . damaged and therefore it must be possible to change/repair them Adjustable hydraulic valves/safety valves Solution: Answer : Possible methods are those ones where the destruction of the fastener is necessary for disassembling, e.g.: One way screws • Screws with destroyed head e.g. drilled out or epoxy filled allen/torx/Phillips/pozidrive screw • Spot welded screws Spot welding on the part itself • Riveting • Sealing with lead or similar methods is only acceptable to prevent from unauthorized manipulation of valves The use of "safety screws" which can be loosened with a special tool without destroying them is not considered to be sufficient for fixing a single interlocking switch. See EN 1088:1995/prA1:2004 (ISO/TC 199 WG 7 N0006) Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC

(1) Essential Health and Safety Requirement

Sten CO-ORDINA Sten CO-ORDINA D MACHINERY O, 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/03.192 Revision 04 Language: EN
Date of first stage: 21/03/2	006	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG3 Presses for co	ld working metals	☑ Vertical Group	. 06/10/2008
		Horizontal Committee	. 09/12/2008
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group.	Endorsed on: 18/06/2009
Question related to: Dir. 20	06/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 12622:2001	Other: pr EN 12622:2007
Annex: 1	EHSR (1):	Normative clause:	Other clause :
		CEN TC concerned: TC 143	
Key words: Press brakes -	secondary working devices		
the down stroke movement	ipped with secondary devices (e.g. bend to perform the operation. This equipment rices of this secondary working part be?	is usually pneumatic with at least two sing	
	5 pushing	6 opening	7 end cycle

Solution:

This type of tool has two danger zones. The first danger zone (a) is between the main tool and secondary tool and the second danger zone (b) is underneath the secondary tool.

(a) The closing movement of the main tool should be protected with suitable safeguards.

- The relationship of the movements between the main and the secondary tool need to be protected to prevent crushing between the main and the secondary tool in normal operation and due to unintended opening of the secondary tool
- (b) If the gap within the secondary tool is less or equal to 6mm the closing movement is not considered to be dangerous. If the gap within the secondary tool is greater then 6mm a crus hing hazard exists therefore the closing movement should be protected with suitable safeguards.

Suitable safeguards to address (a) and (b) above could be:

- Light curtains of type 4 according to EN 61496-1 which stop the closing movement of the beam and any movement of the secondary tool as soon they are interrupted in combination with monitoring and inbuilt redundancy of the drive of the secondary tool (see also EN 13736 pneumatic presses).

or

A hold-to-run control device in conjunction with a maximum speed of 10mm/s (safe or monitored by a system of cat. 3 acc EN 954-1 or PL_D acc. to EN 13849-1) of the secondary tool for the initiation of the closing and opening movement of the secondary tool when used in combination with interlocking which prohibits any upward movement of the secondary tool as long as the main tool is in down stroke mode.

or

- A hold-to-run control device in conjunction with a maximum speed of 10mm/s (safe or monitored by a system of cat. 3 acc. to EN 954-1 or PL D acc. to EN 13 849-1) of the secondary tool for the initiat ion of the closing movement of the se condary tool when used in combination with
 - synchronisation (of cat. 3 acc. to EN 954-1 or PL_D acc. to EN 13849-1) between the upward movement of the main and the secondary tool in a manner that ensures that the speed of the main tool is always higher than the speed of the secondary tool so that the gap between the tools is always increasing during this movement

or

a system of category 3 according to EN 954-1 or PLD acco rding to EN 13849-1 preventing the opening of the secondary tool as long as the beam has not reached a minimum distance from the secondary tool of 100 mm plus the stroke of the secondary tool.

Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC

(1) Essential health and safety requirement

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/03.194/R/E Rev 05

MACEINERY 0, NOTIFIED BOOK	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/03.194 Revision 05 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 03/03/20	008		To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG3 Presses for co	d working of metals	전 전	Vertical Group Horizontal Committee	03/03/2009 10/06/2009
			To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 25/12/2009
Question related to: Directi	ve 2006/42/EC Article:		l/prEN: EN 692:2005, EN 3:2001, EN 12622:2001	Other:
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.2.6		ause:	Other clause:
		CE	N TC concerned:	
Key words: Servo press (P	ower Presses & Press Brakes), b	rake		
Solution: If the servo controller provides a safe torque off function (STO) according to ISO 13849-1:2006 category 4 PL e, a stop category 1 acc. to EN 60204-1:2007 and a stopping performance monitoring according to ISO 13849-1:2006 PL d the following solutions may be acceptable: External mechanical brakes shall be used. They shall be mechanically and positively linked to the ram. If no mechanical and positive link is realised equivalent measures shall be taken. Circuits driving the brake systems shall be designed and monitored according to the needs of				
 a) If the stopping time is relevant (depending on the safeguarding system e.g. non physical barrier) fail safe brake systems (e.g. a single brake as specified in EN 692 or equivalent) shall be used and a test of the brake performance has to be done to show the sufficient friction of the brake. If this test is done in a stand still position, it must be shown that also the stopping time under worst case conditions will be guaranteed. The interpretation of the test result must be done by the safety control system. The test has to be done at each power on, at each change of operational mode and at least after one hour of operation in single stroke mode or after eight hours of operation in automatic mode. The relevant sections of Annex B.4 of EN 692:2005 shall be taken into consideration for the design and testing of the brake. 				
ram shall be monitored. Th reasonably overdimensione			ormally the ram) shal I be	
Note: STO is defined in IEC 61800-5-2:2007 (1) Essential safety requirement				

MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/03.196 Revision 04 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 07/10/20	008	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG3 Presses for the	e cold working of metals	☑ Vertical Group☑ Horizontal Committee	07/10/2008 09/12/2008	
		To be endorsed by : ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on : 18/06/2009	
Question related to: Dir. 20	06/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:	
Annex: 1	EHSR (1):	Normative clause:	Other clause :	
		CEN TC concerned: TC 143		
Key words: Servo presses	, protective measures			
Question: What kind of protective mea	Question: What kind of protective measures are acceptable for servo presses?			
Solution: It is recognised that servo-presses have similar fe atures to both mechanical and hydraulic presses. Therefore the protective measures as described in EN 692, EN 693 or EN 12622 are found acceptable on servo presses. The level of safety shall not be lower than the one in the indicated standards.				
Adaptation proc DIRECTIVE 2006	cedure: FORMAL ADAPTATI 6/42/EC	ON IN CONFORMITY	WITH	

MACHINERY ⁰ , ¹ O _{7/FIED} ⁸ O ¹			CNB/M/03.200 Revision 05 Language: E
Date of first stage: 25/09/20	008	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG3 Presses for the	e cold working of metals	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	03/03/2009 10/06/2009
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 25/12/2009
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 692:2005, EN 693:2001, EN 12622:2001	Other:
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.2.4	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: Servo-presses	(Power Presses & Press Brakes), Stopping perfo	ormance monitoring	
Which solution is acceptable? Solution: Where the response time (stopping performance) of a servo-press is safety-relevant, the response time has to be determined taking into account all errors concerning safety. If it is not possible for the press's safety control system to detect certain faults at least at the following check, the (additional) occurrence of further faults must be assumed. The effect of any assumable fault on the response time of the stopping function has to be taken into account for the calculation of the safety distance.			e (additional) occurrence of
(1) Essential safety requirement			

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1	of CNB/M/03	.201/R/E	Rev 05

	Language: E		
To be approved by:	Approved on:		
☑ Vertical Group☑ Horizontal Committee	04/03/2009 10/06/2009		
To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 25/12/2009		
EN/prEN: EN 692:2005, EN 693:2001, EN 12622:2001	Other:		
Clause:	Other clause:		
CEN TC concerned:			
on of unintended start			
D) function of each drive of a press	slide driven by more than		
Solution: The current power press standards as well as the press brake standard require category 4 of EN 954-1:1996 for the overall stopping performance of the slide. This general requirement is also valid for servo presses. With respect to the new standard EN ISO 13849-1:2008 the corresponding requirement is PL e and category 4. Where the unexpected start of one of the drives cannot lead to significant slide movement (e.g. not more than 6 mm) because the slide is blocked due to the mechanical construction of the press the category and performance level of the STO of each drive may be of the next lower level compared to the level required for a press with a single servo drive as long as the performance level stays equal to or above of the start of one of the start of or a press with a single servo drive as long as the performance level stays equal to or above of the start of one of the level required for a press with a single servo drive as long as the performance level stays equal to or above of the start of the level required for a press with a single serve drive as long as the performance level stays equal to or above of the start of the start of the level required for a press with a single serve drive as long as the performance level start of the start of t			
	 ✓ Vertical Group ✓ Horizontal Committee ✓ To be endorsed by: ✓ Machinery Working Group EN/prEN: EN 692:2005, EN 693:2001, EN 12622:2001 Clause: CEN TC concerned: n of unintended start D) function of each drive of a press ire category 4 of EN 954-1:1996 for new standard EN ISO 13849-1:200 de movement (e.g. not more than 6 rformance level of the STO of each 		

MACHINERY 9. 107/FIED 80012	Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment		CNB/M/03.202 Revision 04 Language: E
Date of first stage: 03/03/20	009	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG3 Presses for the cold working of metals		 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	03/03/2009 10/06/2009
		To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 25/12/2009
Question related to: Direction	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 12622:2009	Other:
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.3.7	Clause: 5.3.21	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned: TC 143	
Key words: Press brakes –	back gauge movement initiation		
Which alternative protective measures besides those described in clause 5.3.21 of EN 12622:2009 are acceptable to protect operators against hazardous movements of back gauges? Solution: It is also acceptable to protect the operator against the hazards arising from the movement of automatically operated back gauges by light			
If none of the features "mov	in which also protects against access to the pres vement initiation by the operator" or "demarcation otection against movement of the back gauges, r	of a zone with reduced speed / lim	

(1) Essential safety requirement

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/03.204/R/E Rev 03

MACHINERY 0, NOTIFIED FOUNT	Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment		CNB/M/03.204 Revision 03 Language: E
Date of first stage: 28/09/20)11	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG3 Presses for col	d working metals	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	28/09/2011 11/12/2012
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 04/06/2013
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 692 :2005+A1:2009, EN 693 :2001+A2:2011	Other: EN ISO 13857:2008, 13855:2010
Annex:	ESR (1): 1.4.2., 1.4.3.	Clause: 5.3.2	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned: TC 143 and I	SO TC 39/SC 10
Key words: Presses – Safe	ty distances		
Key words: Presses – Safety distances Question: Where a movable or a fixed guard is used to prevent the access to the tools area of presses the Table 1 or 2 of EN ISO 13857:2008 standard shall be checked to verify that it is impossible reaching over the protective structure. In the same way if a light curtain is installe the EN ISO 13855:2010 table 1 shall be verified. To do this it is necessary to fix the height of the hazard zone that is the closing area between the fixed half tool and the movable half tool. How it is possible to identify this hazard zone when the height of the two separate mould halves is unknown? Solution: In principle it is impossible to define a minimum or a maximum height of the tools. The dimension of the hazard zone is basically defined by value "a" as determined during the examination considering any possible situation from the maximum opening of the ram to the height of the table. "c" and "b" must be determined according to EN ISO 13857 and EN ISO 13855 considering: - either the maximum size of the table/ram or the maximum size of the tool whichever is larger. Maximum ram opening position Maximum ram opening positio			if a light curtain is installed and the movable half
a", "b" and "c" are those defined in the corresponding standard (EN ISO 13857 or EN ISO 13855) depending of the safety device			

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/03.206/R/E Rev 03

MACHINERY 0, NOTIFIED BOTIS	Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment		CNB/M/03.206 Revision 03 Language: E
Date of first stage: 27/09/20	012	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG3 Presses for col	ld working metals	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	27/09/2012 11/12/2012
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 04/06/2013
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 692 :2005+A1:2009	Other: EN 693: 2001+A2:2011
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.4.3.	Clause: 5.3.2.	Other clause:5.3.16
		CEN TC concerned: TC 143	
Key words: Presses – Two	hand control device (THCD)	I	
Question: Can the THCD be used as the solely protection device for a press at the operator side?			
Solution:			
	A1:2009 clause 5.3.2. the manufacturer shall se gnificant hazards and the method of protection.	elect the safeguard method which re	duces the risks as far as
The operator(s) must have	the possibility to overview all the dangerous area	a at any time (considering the prese	nce of tools and material).
It is recommended that if the horizontal access is more than 650 mm [ref EN 693:2001+A2:2011 clause 5.3.16] other safeguarding devic than THCD according to the risk assessment for the particular press should be provided to protect a third person.			

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/03.207/R/E Rev 03

MACHINERY ⁰ ¹	Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment		CNB/M/03.207 Revision 03 Language: E
Date of first stage: 27/09/20)12	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG3 Presses for co	d working metals	☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee	27/09/2012 11/12/2012
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 04/06/2013
Question related to: Directive	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 12622: 2009	Other: EN 13849-1:2008
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.3.7.	Clause: 5.2.5.6.	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned: TC 143	
Key words: Press-brakes -	Powered work-piece supports		
EN 12622: 2009 clause 5.2.5.6 c) requires that the unexpected start-up for powered work-piece supports shall be prevented when a hold- to-run control is used. How can be implemented in the control circuit? Solution: The control circuit of the hold-to-run control shall conform at least PLr=b EN 13849-1:2008. Explanation: according to EN 13849-1:2008:			
 F=2 due to permanent work place, P=1 due to sufficient space around and below the work-piece support. 			

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

ORUM CO-OROINA MACHINERY OR NOTIFIED BOOK	CO-ORDINATION OF NO Machinery Directive 2006/42 RECOMMENDATION	V/EC + Amendment	CNB/M/04.004 Revision 04 Language: E
Date of first stage: 25/07/19	97	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG4 Injection or con	npression moulding machine	✓ Vertical Group✓ Horizontal Committee	25/08/2009 11/03/1997
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Working Group Machinery	Endorsed on: 08/06/1998
Question related to: Directiv	/e 2006/42/EC	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.1.2.e	Clause:	
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: Moulding machi	ne. Essential equipments and accessories		
Question: How is it to be verified that the essential and special equipment and accessories necessary for the adjustment, servicing, and utilisation of moulding machines have been foreseen and can be used without risk?			
Solution: The essential and special equipment and accessories to be supplied with moulding machines, so that they can be adjusted, serviced and used without risk are the tools, measuring instruments or equipments, adaptaters or accessories not currently found on the market and which are necessary, whether or not, to allow the user to carry out operations in conformity with the instructions contained in the handbook such as : - a special spanner for no standardised nuts, - a specially designed tool allowing intervention on a component inaccessible by means of an everyday tool, - control instruments. The verification consists of : - ensuring that the instruction handbook gives a list of special equipment and accessories as well as pertinent instructions for their use, - ensuring, by evaluations or tests, that their use does not present a risk.			
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC			

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

			5		
Set N CO-ORDINA Set N CO-ORDINA SET NOR MACETNERY O, NOTIFIED BODIE	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/04.005 Revision: 04 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 25/07/19	97	To be approved by	Approved on:		
Origin: VG4 Injection or compression moulding machine		 ✓ Vertical Group ✓ Horizontal Committee 			
		To be endorsed by ☑ Machinery Working G			
Question related to: Directiv	/e 2006/42/EC	EN/prEN:	Other:		
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.1.3	Clause:			
		CEN TC concerned:			
Key words: Moulding machi	nes. Materials used during the construction c	these machines			
Question: What is the nature and what are the limitations of the technical investigations to be carried out to ensure that an injection or compression moulding machine for plastics or rubber conforms to the essential requirements laid down in § 1.1.3. Annex I?					
Solution: In general, the materials used during the construction of these machines do not present any intrinsic risk. Several types of fluids can be used : - oil for the hydraulic circuit, - warming liquid, - cooling fluids, gas (nitrogen, etc.) The inherent characteristics and hazards of these fluids must be indicated in the instruction handbook forwarded to the user. The machine manufacturer does not know the manufactured products in advance. In consequence, the requirement relative to these products cannot be verified during the EC type examination of injection or compression moulding machines for plastics and rubbers. However, the notified body must ensure the manufacturer point out in the instructions that potential risks resulting from use of some substances or mixtures exist.					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Set N CO-ORDINA SOLUTION MACEINERY O, NO _{7/FIED} 800	CO-ORDINATION OF I MACHINERY DIRECTIVE 20 RECOMMENDAT	CNB/M/04.009 Revision: 08 Language: E			
Date of first stage: 21/03/19	97	To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin : VG4 Injection or con	mpression moulding machine	✓ Vertical Group✓ Horizontal Committee	25/08/2009 10/04/2007		
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 14/09/2007		
Question related to: Dir. 200	06/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: 289: 2004, EN 201: 1997	Other:		
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.2.5	Normative clause: general	Other clause:		
		CEN TC concerned: TC 145			
Key words : Moulding mach	inery / Automatic loading and unloading				
Question :					
manual?	der which loading and unloading of an injec				
Answer :					
•	rs to the feed and/or removal of parts to/fro	m the mould only.			
Loading and unloading is co					
The machine is de Or:	esigned to operate only with robot/manipula	ator equipment and no semi-automatic r	node is possible;		
by clamping devic mould are (see fig	The loading and unloading devices prevent the need to put the hands in the mould area Generally, this provision is implemented by clamping devices of the mould lower parts on a turn or shuttle table Loading and unloading of the parts take place outside the mould are (see figs. 2 and 3 in EN 201:1997). Access to the mould area must be prevented because of the distance or because of the provisions of guards (fixed or mobile).				
In all other cases, loading a	nd unloading shall be considered as manua	al.			
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

⁽¹⁾ Essential health and safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Definitions for possible modes of operation (EUROMAP)::

(1) Manual

Where a machine is manually op erated the functions of the machine are controlled via a hold-to-run control and a re frequently possible only with reduced spe eds/forces. Manual operation is us ed e.g. for setting; a production of parts is t echnically and economically not possible/sensible.

(2) Semiautomatic

Semiautomatic operation is a type of operation where one cycle is completed automatically after a start signal, then the machine stops, the next cycle can only take place if a f urther start signal has been given. Semiautomatic operation is used mainly if manual loading/unloading of the mould(s) is required.

(3) Fully automatic

Fully automatic operation is an operation where one cycle auto matically follows the other; no intervention of the operator is necessary.

Sten CO-ORDINA Set N CO-ORDINA D MA CEINERY O, NO NO, IFIED BOIL	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/04.011 Revision: 04 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 31/10/19	997		To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG4 Injection or con	npression moulding machine	d V	Vertical Group Horizontal Committee	25/08/2009 18/09/1997
		V	To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 08/06/1998
Question related to: Directiv	/e 2006/42/EC	EN/	prEN:	Other:
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.3.8.2	Cla	ISE:	
		CEN	TC concerned:	
Key words: Moulding maching	inery / injection for plastics / light curtains /mc	vable	e guards / mould protection	
Question: Which are the conditions for using light curtains instead of movable guards for the protection of the mould area of an injection moulding machine for plastics?				
Solution: For all machines,	except machines with horizontal injection in li	ne to	the user, light curtains shall be :	
- covered by a certificate acceptable to the notified body and be of type IV in accordance with pr EN 61496-1:1997,				
	by two separate circuits on the directional cor cycle (the monitoring may be carried out by			ve, the safe position of both
tool-area, if they should be	by the light curtain has to be taken into conside protected by the light curtain, e.g. a turn-table	e),	,	ther danger-zones than the
	ep between light curtain and tool-area with th		•	<i>.</i>
•	r and lower tool shall be covered in such a w	ay th	at not hot material can injure the	user (e.g. metal shield).
a) horizontal machines: ac	chine should not exceed the following :			
	Stroke: 600 mm, max. Table: 1000 x 1000 m	m (if	both dim, are exceeding).	
For larger machines additional safeguarding systems and risk analysis should be applied.				
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC				

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Stenn CO-ORDINALIO MACEINERY O. NOTIFIED BOIL	CO-ORDINATION OF NO Machinery Directive 2006/4 RECOMMENDATIO	CNB/M/04.013 Revision: 05 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 02/12/19	99	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG4 Injection or con	npression moulding machine	☑ Vertical Group☑ Horizontal Committee	25/08/2009 02/12/1999	
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 09/04/2001	
Question related to: Directiv	re 2006/42/EC	EN/prEN: EN 201: 1997	Other:	
Annex: I	ESR (1): clause 1.4.2.2	Clause: [(pr)EN] : 5.3.2, 5.4.3		
		CE TC concerned :		
Key words: Injection mouldi	ng machine with fence; mechanical latch			
Question:				
A machine being larger thar machine is equipped with a latch?	n the dimensions given in pt. 5.3.2 of EN 201 fence and the rear movable guard is remove	is obliged to have a mechanical latch d to give access for the robot, must th	for the movable guard. If this le door in the fence carry this	
Solution:				
No, because:				
- The door in the fence carri	ies all safety-switches being necessary for the	e type III according to EN 201.		
- The closing of this door ca annex C of EN 201.	nnot lead to an unintended start of the machi	ine, because of the installed acknowle	edgement system according to	
This acknowledgement syst	em should be realised as follow :			
a) All conditions of annex C	fulfilled:			
A single acknowledgeme	nt system with push-button			
•	ex C fulfilled (e.g. not a clear view of the dan	- ,		
A single acknowledgement system with key-switch or a double acknowledgement system with push-button inside the danger area.				
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC				

OSLIN ^{CO-ORDINALIO} MACHINERY O, NO _{7/FIED} 80012	CO-ORDINATION OF I MACHINERY DIRECTIVE 20 RECOMMENDAT	CNB/M/04.014 Revision: 04 Language: E			
Date of first stage: 28/01/19	997	To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin : VG4 Injection or con	mpression moulding machine	 ✓ Vertical Group ✓ Horizontal Committee 	25/08/2009 21/11/2005		
		To be endorsed by : ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 20/04/2006		
Question related to: Dir. 200	06/42/EC Article :	EN/prEN EN: 201: 1997	Other:		
Annex: I	EHSR (1) : 1.6.2, 1.6.4	Normative clause: 5.3.2 / 5.3.4	Other clause:		
		CEN TC concerned: TC 145			
Key words: Machine with fe	nce and robot crossing the mould area into	the fence area behind the machine			
A horizontal machine, smaller than the dimensions given in pt. 5.3.2 of EN 201 is equipped with a fence for a robot. Can we consider crawling through the machine (between the opened platens) into the face area a reasonably foreseeable misuse? Answer: No, because: - A machine of this dimension cannot be entered by a person in the sense of the standard; if somebody goes to extreme lengths to gain entry into the machines, this is not a reasonably foreseeable misuse; - A machine of larger dimensions must be equipped with additional safety measures according to pt. 5.3.2 of EN 201.					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

⁽¹⁾ Essential health and safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY	Machinery-Directive2006/42/EC + Amendment		CNB/M/04.017 Revision 05 Language: E		
OTIFIED &	RECOMMENDATIO	NFO	RUSE		
Date of first stage: 02/12/1	1999		To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG4 Injection or co	ompression moulding machine	2 2	Vertical Group Horizontal Committee	25/08/2009 02/12/1999	
		V	To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 09/04/2001	
Question related to: Direct	tive 2006/42/EC	EN	/prEN: EN 201: 1997	Other:	
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.2.2/1.3.8	Cla	use: [(pr)EN] 5.3.1		
		CE	N TC concerned:		
Key words : Stepping beh	ind the rear guard of the mould area, Horizont	al inje	ection moulding machine		
Question:					
mould area? Solution: The following measures can prevent persons from stepping behind the rear guard of the mould area: a) the leading edge of the movable guard (or the movable platen) shall be provided with a vertical bow that cannot be passed through by persons or					
b) a mechanical latch shall be provided which falls into a blocking position when the guard is opened so that the guard cannot be closed from the inside an unlatching is possible only from the outside.					
	nce between the bars < 1200 mm), no additio persons can step in from that position where			erator has a good view to	
	The manufacturer shall give an information in his operation manual that the area behind the rear guard is not a designated working place. Otherwise, the requirements of EN 201, clause 5.3.1, have to be fulfilled.				
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

OTHN CO-ORDINATION MACHINERY OTHORING NOTIFIED BODY	CO-ORDINATION OF NC Machinery Directive 2006/4 RECOMMENDATIO	CNB/M/04.018 Revision: 04 Language: E			
Date of the first stage: 31	/10/1997	To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG4 Injection or c	ompression moulding machine	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	25/08/2009 18/09/1997		
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 08/06/1998		
Question related to: Direc	tive 2006/42/EC	EN/prEN:	Other:		
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.2.3	Clause:			
		CEN TC concerned:			
Key words: Restart the m	ould closing movement by closing guard gate				
Question: Is it admissible, when running the machine in the operating mode "automatic" and when switching on the machine and/or disrupting the cycle by opening the guard gate, to restart the mould closing movement by closing the guard gate. (Gate Start) ?					
Solution: Yes, in pr EN 201, the Gate Start is not linked to a defined operating mode: the requirements of clause 5.2.1.1.4. shall be fulfilled. However, this does not apply to the occurrence of faults in the guard interlocking. Here, it shall only be possible to initiate a new cycle after the fault has been eliminated.					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

(1) Essential safety requirement

OF NOTIFIED BOIL	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendments RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/04.029 Revision: 04 Language: E		
Date: 24/05/2000		To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG4 Injection or ce	ompression moulding machine	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	25/08/2009 02/06/1999		
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Standing Committee	Endorsed on: 03/03/2000		
Question related to: Direc	tive 2006/42/EC	EN 289 :1994, EN 201: 1997	Other:		
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.3.7	Clause: [(pr)EN] 6.2 / 6.3 / none			
		CEN TC concerned :			
Key words: Vertical Inject	ion or Compression Moulding Machine Respon	se-time of the hydraulic system			
Question:					
	ection or compression moulding machine equip ponse-time-measurement system?	ped with a light curtain or a two-hand	control obliged to install an		
Solution: - No, In the C-standards EN 289 and EN 201 is no indication to do so. The manufacturer has to give information on the values of the response time and the corresponding distances in the user's manual. In addition, the manufacturer shall give the following information in the user's manual : - maximum closing speed, - maximum dimension of the mould, - information about the necessity of nw evaluation of safety distances and response time after repair or adjustment.					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

l

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY 0, NO7/FIED BODIE	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/CE + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/04.034 Revision: 05 Language: E			
Date of first stage: 02/12/19	999	To be approved by:	Approved on:			
Origin: VG4 Injection or cor	npression moulding machine	☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee	25/08/2009 02/12/1999			
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 09/04/2001			
Question related to: Directive	ve 2006/42/EC	EN/prEN: EN 201:1997	Other:			
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.4.2.2	Clause: [(pr)EN]: 5.2.2				
		CEN TC concerned :				
Key words: Rubber and Pla area	astics injection moulding machine; interlocki	l ng of movable guards providing access	to the closing mechanism			
Question:						
requires?	ions for electrical interlock of movable guar					
Solution: a) 1 limit switch operated by a roller level (pos. 1) and 1 tongue switch with separate actuator (pos.2). Pos. 1 is actuated when the guard gate is closed; in pos. 2, the actuator is inserted into the switch when the guard gate is closed. Pos. 2 shall be provided with a coded actuator or a time monitoring shall be provided in such a way that the cycle is interrupted when the actuation is not simultaneous. b) 2 coded togue switches with separate actuators; when the guard gate is closed, both actuators are inserted into the switch. c) If none coded switches are used time monitoring shall be provided in such a way that the cycle is interrupted when the actuation is not simultaneous. The two switches shall be positioned in such a way, that they can not be actuated simultaneously by one person.						
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC						

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

OSLEN CO-ORDINATION	CO-ORDINATION OF I Machinery Directive 2006 RECOMMENDAT	CNB/M/04.035 Revision: 04 Language: E			
Date of first stage: 24/05/20	00	To be approved by:	Approved on :		
Origin : VG4 Injection or con	mpression moulding machine	Vertical GroupHorizontal Committee	26/08/2009 02/06/1999		
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 03/03/2000		
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC	EN/prEN: EN 201:1997/EN 289:1994	Other:		
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.5.1	Clause: [(pr)EN] 5.1.6/6.1.3			
		CEN TC concerned:			
Key words: Rubber and Pla	stics Injection Moulding Machines. Equipme	ent grounding conductors provided on li	mit switches		
Question: Is it necessary to connect lin	Question: Is it necessary to connect limit switches and other control devices with equipment grounding conductors?				
Solution:					
Solution: Yes, all limit switches and other control devices having a metal casing shall be connected with an equipment grounding connector.					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

			0		
	MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ² ² ³ ⁴ ¹ ¹ ² ² ³ ⁴ ¹ ¹ ² ³ ⁴ ⁴ ⁵ ⁵ ⁴ ⁵ ⁵ ⁵ ⁵ ⁵ ⁵ ⁵ ⁵	CO-ORDINATION OF NO Machinery Directive2006/4 RECOMMENDATIC	CNB/M/04.038 Revision: 05 Language: E		
Da	te of first stage: 19/01/20	001	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
	-	npression moulding machine	☑ Vertical Group	26/08/2009	
			 ☑ Horizontal Committee 	07/12/2000	
			To be an demodely a	Fridayand and	
			To be endorsed by: ☑ Working Group Machinery	Endorsed on: 04/01/2005	
Qu	estion related to : Directi	ve 2006/42/EC	EN/prEN: EN 201:1997	Other :	
An	nex: l	ESR (1): 1.3.8 2.	Clause: [(pr)EN] none		
			CEN TC concerned :		
Ke	y words: Injection mouldi	ing machines for rubber; laser scanners			
Qu	iestion:				
In	which conditions can the	mould area of an injection moulding machine	e for rubber be protected by laser scar	nners?	
So	lution:				
•	requirements of the cate	possible to protect the mould area by using egory 3 of EN 954-1:1996.			
•		s (particular process) 2 laser scanners could n. All of the following requirements shall be m		om which the start cycle	
	\Rightarrow The laser scanners	s are category 3 according to EN 954-1:1996.			
	\Rightarrow The distances given	n by EN 999:1998 are met.			
		are arranged in such a way that the beams a igher than 900 mm).	are parallel at different levels (one bea	m lower than 400 mm and	
	⇒ Information coming starting a new cycle	from each laser scanner is monitored in s a after interruption.	such a way that a fault occurring on or	ne of the systems prevents	
	\Rightarrow See also sheet CNE	3/M/04.011/R/E/Rev.03 for switch off conditio	ns.		
In	addition to that, informati	on shall be given in the instruction manual.			
•	Instruction relating to th	e marking of the protected area,			
•	Instruction relating to th	e testing procedure for the protective devices	З,		
•	Instruction relating to th	e programming of the protected area.			
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

l

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/CE + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/04.039 Revision: 05 Language : E		
Date of first stage:19/01/20	01	To be approved by :	Approved on :		
Origin : VG4 Injection or compression moulding machine		 ✓ Vertical Group ✓ Horizontal Committee 	07/12/2000		
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on : 04/01/2005		
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC	EN/prEN: EN 201 : 1997	Other:		
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.3.7	Clause: [(pr)EN] 5.3.1, 5.3.2			
		CEN TC concerned:			
Key words: Rubber and Pla	stics injection moulding machines / Accessib	l le mould area / Pressure-sensitive pla	atforms in the mould area		
Solution:					
Yes, under the following conditions: The limit switches shall act by hardware acc. to EN 201:1997, cl. 5.3.2 and 5.3.1. Where the limit switch signals act on relays, these relays shall be redundant and monitored. Testing and monitoring of each indi vidual limit switch is not r equired. The limit switches shall have positive opening operation and shall be positively and directly actuated by the platform. Testing: After each machine start-up (main switch on), the testing shall be effected in such a way after the mould area guard h as been opened for the first time that a new cycle can be initiated only after the correct working of the platform switches have been t ested e.g. by					
The instruction for use shal	stepping upon the platform or actuating a limit switch. The instruction for use shall contain a requirement that the machine user shall check the correct output signal of the platform at defined places (at least once a month).				
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY ⁰ , ¹ 07/FIED 8 ⁰	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTI Machinery Directive2006/42/C RECOMMENDATION F	E + 7	Amendment	CNB/M/04.040 Revision: 05 Language: E
Date of first stage: 02/12/19	99		To be approved by :	Approved on:
Origin: VG4 Injection or compression moulding machine		1 I I	Vertical Group Horizontal Committee	26/08/2009 02/12/1999
		$\mathbf{\nabla}$	To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 09/04/2001
Question related to: Directiv	/e 2006/42/EC	EN/	prEN: EN 201:1997	Other:
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.4.2.2	Clau	use: [(pr)EN] 5.3.2	
		CE	TC concerned:	
Key words: Injection mouldi distance>1200 mm	ng machines; automatic sequence control, guard	closi	ng; latch retracting, mould clos	sing. Machines tie bar
Question:				
	guard closing - retracting the latch - mould closing istance exceeding 1200 mm?	g sha	II be provided (sequence, kind	of actuating device) for
Solution:				
Principally, EN 201:1997 pr	ovides the following sequence:			
1. separate retracting of the	latch, i.e. actuation of a control device			
2. guard closing by actuatin	g a further control device			
here: hold-to-run control de	vice			
3. After closing of a guard a acc. With clause 5.2.1.1.4.	further, third control device shall be actuated for	closi	ng the mould, as otherwise this	s would be a gate start in
The notified bodies are of the sequence can be organised	ne opinion that it is not necessary to push 3 differe I as follows:	ent co	ommand devices in sequence.	As an alternative, the
1.1 A hold-to-run control de actuated that initiates the m	vice ensures latch retraction and guard closing. A ould closing.	\S SO	on as the guard is closed, a fu	ther control device shall be
<u>or</u>				
1.2 The actuation of the control device ensures latch retraction. Within 3 seconds after release of this control device a further control device shall be actuated for guard closing (hold-to-run). If this command device is released and actuated again after the door is closed, the closing of the mould shall be initiated. The command device has to be monitored at each cycle of the movable guard.				
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC				

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MAC	CO-OROMAN EINERY FIED 80015		CO-ORDINATION OF NOT MACHINERY DIRECTIVE 2006/4 RECOMMENDATION	12/EC + Amendment	CNB/M/04.041 Revision: 08 Language: E
Date of firs	st stage: 19/03/20)01		To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG4 Injection or compression moulding machine		 ☑ Vertical Group □ Horizontal Committee 	26/08/2009		
				To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 07/11/2006
Question re	elated to: Dir. 20	06/42/EC	Article:	EN/prEN: EN 201:1997	Other: EN 289:2004
Annex: I			EHSR (1): 1.4.2.2	Normative clause: 5, 6.1	Other clause: Annex B
				CEN TC concerned: TC 145 WG1	
Key words	: Injection and co	mpression m	oulding machines for rubber and p	astics-proximity switches for safegu	arding
 Answer: 1. Yes, under the following conditions: The proximity switch and its corresponding control unit are conform to category 3 (EN 954-1:1996), tested and certified by a recognized third party The matching part of the proximity switch shall be individually coded The matching part of the switch is fixed on the movable guard in a way that it cannot be defeated in an easy way (this part should be riveted, covered or fixed one-way-screws etc.) 					
 The two position switches (see fig. 7 of EN 201:1997, type II) which act on the main shut off device of the power circuit may be replaced by a single proximity switch. 					
 The same solution as defined above could also be applied in the type III interlocking system for those 2 switches that act on the main shut off device. 					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

⁽¹⁾ Essential health and safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY 9. NoTIFIED 800	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTI Machinery Directive 2006/42/0 RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/04.043 Revision: 04 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 19/01/20	01	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG4 Injection or compression moulding machine		☑ Vertical Group☑ Horizontal Committee	26/08/2009 07/12/2000
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on : 04/01/2005
Question related to: Directiv	re 2006/42/EC	EN/prEN: EN 201:1997	Other: CNB/M/04.026
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.3.7, 1.4.1	Clause: [(pr)EN] 5.2.1.1.1	
		CEN TC concerned :	
Key words: Horizontal moul	ding machines / Safety distances / Shape of the	guard	
Question:			
How to take into account the shape of the guard when applying EN 294:1992 / table 1 (specification of CNB/M/04.026)			
Solution:			
See page 2			
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC			

(1) Essential safety requirement





Additional condition for both figures: x >= 1600 mm

MACHINERY 9. NoTIFIED 800	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTI Machinery Directive 2006/42/C RECOMMENDATION F	CNB/M/04.044 Revision: 04 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 19/01/20	01	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG4 Injection or com	npression moulding machine	☑ Vertical Group☑ Horizontal Committee	26/08/2009 07/12/2000
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 04/01/2005
Question related to: Directiv	e 2006/42/EC	EN/prEN: EN 201:1997	Other:
Annex: V 3 a)	ESR (1):	Clause: [(pr)EN] 7.1	
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: Rubber and Plas	stics injection moulding machines / Risk analysis	in the technical file	
Question:			
Does the machine manufact technical file?	turer have to incorporate a detailed risk analysis t	for all risks occurring at the injectior	n moulding machine into the
Solution:			
No, the machine manufactu	rer shall incorporate an information into the techr risks and measures listed in the harmonized stan		struction of the injection
	parts of the machine where harmonized standard devices, use of special protective devices, etc.), a described.		
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC			

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY ⁰ ¹⁰ ¹⁰ ¹⁰ ¹⁰ ¹¹ ¹⁰ ¹¹ ¹⁰ ¹¹ ¹⁰ ¹¹ ¹⁰ ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOT Machinery Directive 2006/42/0 RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/04.051 Revision: 04 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 19/01/20)01	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG4 Injection or compression moulding machine		 Vertical Group Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	07/12/2000 Endorsed on :	
		Machinery Working Group	04/01/2005	
Question related to: Directive	ve 2006/42/EC	EN/prEN: EN 201:1997	Other:	
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.2.1	Clause: [(pr)EN] Annex A		
		CEN TC concerned:		
Key words: Rubber and Pla	stics injection moulding machines / Monitoring by	/ a programmable controller		
What has the notified body to check when the monitoring of the safety functions is effected by a programmable controller? Solution : In addition to the requirements detailed in annex A of EN 201:1997, the notified body has to check: - how the specific part of the software is organized - how the application software integrates the specific part - how the manufacturer can ensure that the specific part of the software is complete (by using a checksum for example) - how the manufacturer has ensured that the user is not able to change the safety-related parts of the software				
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC				

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Date of first stage: 19/01/20 Origin : VG4 Injection or cor	CO-ORDINATION OF I Machinery Directive 2006 RECOMMENDATI 01 npression moulding machine	/42/(CE + Amendment	CNB/M/04.052 Revision: 04 Language: E Approved on: 26/08/2009 07/12/2000
		V	To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 04/01/2005
Question related to: Directiv	re 2006/42/EC	EN/	prEN: EN 201:1997	Other:
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.4.2.2	Cla	use: [(pr)EN] 5	
		CEI	N TC concerned:	
Key words: Rubber and Plas	stics injection moulding machines / Interloc	king	of movable guards that give acces	s to the mould area
NOTE: A key switch has a s	separate actuator.			
Yes, if all the following requi	irements are met:			
- one key switch can only				
- when the guard is close	d, all the keys are inserted into the corresp	ondir	ng switch	
 keys are fixed on the movable guard in a way that they cannot be removed in an easy way (fixing by rivets, one way screws for example) 				, one way screws for
- at least one of the switch	hes should be positioned in such a way tha	t it is	impossible to insert the key when	the guard is open
 a time monitoring is provided in such a way that it is impossible to start the cycle if the actuation of the switches is not simultaneous (about 0,5 s) 				
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC				

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOT Machinery Directive 2006/42/0 RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/04.053 Revision: 04 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 20/03/20	01	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG4 Injection or compression moulding machine		 Vertical Group Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group 	19/06/2001 Endorsed on :	
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC	EN/prEN: EN 201:1997	Other:	
Annex: I	ESR (1):	Clause: general		
		CEN TC concerned:		
Key words: 24 VDC hydrau	lic valves, protective bonding circuit connection o	h the voltage supply plug of a 24 VI	DC solenoid valve	
Question: Is it necessary to have a separate grounding wire to each 24 VDC solenoid valve? Solution: It is not necessary to have a separate grounding wire to each solenoid valve if the following conditions are fulfilled : - coils are supplied by separate winding transformer or equivalent - the coil of solenoid is coated in an insulating material - one side of the secondary output is connected to earth - the connector is made of plastic - an interconnection has to be done between the frame and the block supporting the valves either by wiring or by fixing the valves on the frame				
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC				

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY 9. NoTIFIED 800	CO-ORDINATION OF NOT MACHINERY DIRECTIVE 2006/4 RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/04.064 Revision: 05 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 16/12/20	03	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin : VG4 Injection or compression moulding machine		☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee	26/08/2009 09/12/2004
		To be endorsed by : ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on : 24/05/2005
Question related to: Dir. 200	06/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN : EN 201:1997	Other : EN 418:1992, EN 60204-1:1997
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.2.4.3	Normative clause: 5, 5.2.5.3	Other clause: Annex D
		CEN TC concerned: TC 145	
Key words: Injection mouldi	ng machine for plastics – Emergency stop, heat	ng elements	
Question:			
	isconnect the energy supply to the heating elem		
Answer:			
	tion of energy supply to the heating elements wi perature could create new risks during a restart on the risk of ejection.		
	manual shall advise the operator about the functor top the heating is not switched off.	tion of the emergency stop. Especia	lly, it shall be mentioned
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC			

⁽¹⁾ Essential health and safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY 0, NOTIFIED 800	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES MACHINERY DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE			CNB/M/04.067 Revision: 04 Language: E	
		RECOMMENDATION			
Date of first stage: 25/06/20)04		_	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG4 Injection or con	npression mot	ulding machine	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Vertical Group Horizontal Committee	26/08/2009 09/12/2004
			V	To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 24/05/2005
Question related to: Dir. 200	06/42/EC	Article:	EN	/prEN: EN 201:1997	Other:
Annex: I		EHSR (1): 1.2.1, 1.4.2.2	No	rmative clause: 5, 5.4.3	Other clause:
			CE	N TC concerned: TC 145	
Key words: Injection mouldi mould area	ing machines	for plastics, horizontal closing mach	hines	Interlocking of rotational moule	d movements inside the
Question:					
In which way do rotational n with horizontal closing move		the mould or of the platen have to	be ir	terlocked with the guards for th	e mould area in machines
Answer:					
		the platen is designed and/or integrie II of EN 201 with the guards for t			chine, then the interlock of
NOTE: If an electric axis is TC 145/WG 1 Doc N 77), A		this movement, the interlocking sha 6.7.	all be	acc. to amendment 2 (present	y under preparation in
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

⁽¹⁾ Essential health and safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY 0, NOTIFIED BODY	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES MACHINERY DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/04.069 Revision: 06 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 16/09/20	105	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG4 Injection or compression moulding machine		☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee	26/08/2009 10/06/2008	
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 08/01/2009	
Question related to : Dir. 20	06/42/EC Article :	EN/prEN : EN 201: 1997	Other: EN 954-1:1996	
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.4.2.2	Normative clause: 5	Other clause :	
		CEN TC concerned: TC 145		
Key words: Injection mould	ng machines – Protection device type III			
 Answer : Yes, under the following conditions: The proximity switch and its control unit fulfil the requirements of EN 954-1:1996, category 4, and EN 60947-5-3:1999 + A1:2005, PDF-M, tested and certified by a recognized third party (PDF_M stands for Proximity Device with defined behaviour under Fault conditions with self-Monitoring, this ensures that a single fault does not lead to a loss of the safety function and that the fault is detected). The proximity switch is connected to its control unit according to the requirements of the manufacturer of the switch and its control unit for this category The counterpart and the proximity switch shall be individually coded. If the counterpart is changed to a similar one, the control system of the machine shall prevent any further movement. The counterpart shall be fastened to the guard door by particular non-detachable fastening elements the design of which shall conform to EN 1088:1995/ A1:2007/clause 5.7.3 If one of these requirements is not fulfilled, a cyclic monitoring at least once during each cycle of the machine for manual operated guards or at each cycle of the guard for a power operated guard is done in any operational mode to verify that the moving part of the switching unit is not attached to the other part permanently. A negative test result shall lead to a prevention of further stroke initiation. The cyclic test can be done e.g. by a standard PLC. The two shut-off devices are driven by two separate channels of the control unit of the proximity switch. Monitoring of the two shut-off devices shall be achieved by the control unit of the proximity switch or by the control system of the machine. NOTE: Individually coded means that it is unlikely to find another matching part that can be used to defeat the protective system. 				
Individually coded does not require a unique pair combination of switch and counterpart. Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC				

(1) Essential health and safety requirement
MACHINERY 0. NOTIFIED BODE	CO-ORDINATION OF NO Machinery Directive 2006/42 RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/04.073 Revision 05 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 20/06//20	007	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG4 Injection or com	pression moulding machine	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	26/08/2009 10/06/2008	
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 08/01/2009	
Question related to : Dir. 200	06/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 289: 2004	Other:	
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.2.1, 1.2.6	Normative clause: 5.2.1	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned: TC145 WG1		
Key words: Plastics and rub	ber machines – compression moulding machir	es – mechanical restraint device		
Question: For compression moulding machines with two hydraulic restraint valves, clause 5.4.1.1.3 requires an additional mechanical restraint device which shall block the upper platen in its maximum upper position automatically. How is the maximum upper position defined? Recommended solution: The maximum upper position is the maximum physically reachable position During normal production the platen relies on a redundant and monitored hydraulic system. For operations like e.g. maintenance of setting it is necessary to block the press by the mechanical restraint device. This is to be done with the platen resting in the max. upper position and the mechanical restraint device, a small amount of further upper movement will be necessary. NOTE: In order to release the mechanical restraint device, a small amount of further upper movement will be necessary.				
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC				

⁽¹⁾ Essential health and safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY ⁰ , ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NO Machinery Directive 2006/42 RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/04.075 Revision 04 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 11/12/20	006	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG4 Injection or con	npression moulding machine	☑ Vertical Group☑ Horizontal Committee	26/08/2009 10/06/2008	
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 08/01/2009	
Question related to : Dir. 20	06/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 289: 2004	Other:	
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.4.3	Normative clause: 5.5.2.3 & 5.2.3	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned: TC 145 WG 2		
Key words: Plastics and rul the tool area	ober machines – compression moulding machin	es – detection of persons standing be	ehind a light curtain within	
5.5.2.3 of EN 289 requires Is a solution acceptable, wh dangerous area when this a Note: When entering the da	arded by a light curtain with a lower platen in a means to detect persons staying within the tools nich detects a person entering the dangerous zo area is entered? Ingerous zone the person will stretch the tape. S Ing to the requirements of category 2 of EN 954-7	s area. one e.g. by means of a tape which is s Stretching of the tape or loss of the ta	stretched towards the	
Recommended solution:				
No, a solution to detect the dangerous area is entered a dangerous area will not be		ses the tape and enters the dangerou	is zone his presence in the	
Because of this device bein	g easily bypassed it is not acceptable as an add	ditional protective device as required	in 5.5.2.3.	
Because of this device being easily bypassed it is not acceptable as an additional protective device as required in 5.5.2.3.				
DIRECTIVE 2006			***	

(1) Essential health and safety requirement

MACHINERY ^Q ^N ^N ^O ^T ^{FIED} ^{BO}	CO-ORDINATION OF NO Machinery Directive 2006/42 RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/04.076 Revision 03 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 13/11/20	08	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG4 Injection or con	npression moulding machine	☑ Vertical Group☑ Horizontal Committee	26/08/2009 09/12/2008	
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 18/06/2009	
Question related to : Dir. 20	06/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 201 :1997	Other: prEN 201:2008	
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.2.7	Normative clause: 5.2.1	Other clause: 5.2.1 Annex	
		CEN TC concerned: TC 145	С	
Key words: Plastics and rul	ober hydraulic IMM – horizontal mould closing n	novement – motor control unit		
switches-off the pump drive Recommended solution: Yes, provided that: • The opening of th unit or switch-off the • The motor control 1:2006, and shall • The contactor sha • The change of the	unit Safe Torque Off function shall comply with be tested by an independent laboratory comply all be directly connected to the motor and with li e signal of the switch-off coming from the motor	ng movement of the platen) instead o tion (see definition in EN 61800-5-2:2 I the requirements of PL c, category 2 ring with EN ISO/IEC 17025. nked or mirror control contacts.	f a valve? 007) of the motor control 2 or 3 of EN ISO 13849-	
CommencementThe fault of the m	 The fault of the main shut-off device shall not create a dangerous run-down. The only power source for the closing movement of the movable platen shall be the pump; no accumulators shall be installed on 			
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC				

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY 0, NOTIFIED 8001	CO-ORDINATION OF NO Machinery Directive2006/42 RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/04.077 Revision 03 Language: E			
Date of first stage: 13/11/20	08	To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG4 Injection or com	npression moulding machine	☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee	26/08/2009 09/12/2008		
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 18/06/2009		
Question related to: Dir. 200	06/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 201: 1997	Other: prEN 201: 2008		
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.2.7	Normative clause: 5.2.1	Other clause: 5.2.1		
		CEN TC concerned: TC 145			
Key words: Plastics and rub	ber horizontal IMM – two platens machine – hi	l gh pressure mould closing movement			
Recommended solution: One possible solution is the • The control circuit • The high pressure • The maximum hig	of the machine shall detect and record automate mould closing movement of the movable plate h pressure closing stroke of the movable plate nent shall be interrupted and a new mould heig	atically the mould height. In shall be permitted only when the m n shall be less than or equal to 6 mm.	If this value is exceeded		
NOTE Additionally in case of a failure of the system a production cycle cannot be executed.					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

⁽¹⁾ Essential health and safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY 9. NOTIFIED 800	CO-ORDINATION OF NO Machinery Directive2006/42 RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/04.078 Revision 03 Language: EN		
Date of first stage: 14/11/20	Approved on:			
Origin: VG4 Injection or con	npression moulding machine	☑ Vertical Group☑ Horizontal Committee	26/08/2009 09/12/2008	
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 18/06/2009	
Question related to : Dir. 20	06/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN : EN 201: 1997	Other:	
Annex: I	EHSR (1): 1.5.5	Normative clause: 5.2.5.2	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned: 145		
Key words: Plastic and rubb	per IMM - plasticizing unit - measurement of th	e temperature on the surface of the c	over of the plasticizing unit	
Question:				
Is it allowed to neglect the in the plasticizing unit?	nfluence of ambient temperature and humidity v	when measuring the temperature on t	he surface of the cover of	
Recommended solution: Yes because in the EN ISO	13732-1:2006 there are no requirements that t	hese influences have to be considere	d.	
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC				

(1) Essential health and safety requirement

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page	1/2	of (CNB	/M/04	.083	/R/E	Rev	04

t				
MACHINERY 0, NO7/FIED BOIL	CO-ORDINATION OF NOT Machinery Directive 2006/42/ RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/04.083 Revision 04 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 28/07/20	11	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG4 Injection or compression moulding machine		☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee	13/09/2011 13/12/2011	
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 23/04/2012	
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 201: 2009	Other:	
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.5.14	Clause: 5.2.7, 5.2.8	Other clause: 5.10.4	
		CEN TC concerned: TC 145 WG1		
Key words: injection machin entering the mould area fro	nes with tie bar distances >1200 mm; person sta m the operator´s side	nding behind the mould at the rear	side of the machine or	
Question: A machine manufacturer constructs, or retrofits, an injection moulding machine having a tie bar distance H >1200mm with a robot on the machine's rear side. In compliance with the standard's specifications, the machine is equipped with an additional safeguarding system in the mould area (e.g. mats). Due to the large dimensions of the enclosed area or the tools installed on site, a person entering the fenced area of the robot from the operator's side or being in the area between the mould and the mobile guard might not be sufficiently visible from the operator's side. What are the measures the machine manufacturer or retrofitter has to take if a situation as the one described above is possible on a machine with H>1200mm? Background: This matter was raised by a machine manufacturer as manufacturers often have to issue the final conformity assessment after having retrofitted a machine at the customer's plant.				
	et existing which deals with this subject: CNB/M . Thus, this sheet fails to apply to a dimension of		efers exclusively to	
Note: EN ISO 10218-2 (current state is ISO/FDIS 10218-2:2010(E)) describes principals of safety requirement of industrial robot systems and their integration in industrial lines with machines and robot-cells. For alternatives for the safeguarding of the described situation this standard might be considered (e.g.: chapter 5.6.3.4: describes measures for manual reset, start/restart and unexpected start-up).				
Solution: (see page 2)				

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Solution:

1. A person entering the enclosed area of the robot from the operator's side of the injection moulding machine (IMM) needs to pass an ESPE (mono-beam or multi-beam). Following actuation of this ESPE, an acknowledgment action is necessary at this place before it is possible to start the next machine cycle on the operator's side. An additional pressure-sensitive mat shall be provided on the place where the operator might stay behind the mould between the mould and the rear guard of the machine; this mat shall ensure that although the ESPE has not yet been interrupted the person is detected, and thus prevent initiation of the next machine cycle.

or

2. A double acknowledgment system as described in EN 201, Annex J.2 with the first push located at a position from which a good view of the area hidden by the mould and / or the area of the handling device is possible.

The acknowledgment procedure has to be required automatically by the control system of the machine every time the safety device in the mould area has been actuated. For that reason, this solution could only be used for machines that usually work in fully automatic mode.

MACHINERY 0, NOTIFIED BOOK	CO-ORDINATION OF NOT Machinery-Directive 2006/42/I RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/05.001 Revision 05 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 19/01/2001		To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG5 Machines for undergroun	d work	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 		
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on : 04/01/2005	
Question related to: Directive 2006/42	2/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 1679-1:1998	Other:	
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.5.13	Clause:	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned:		
Key words: internal combustion engin	e, emission of dust, gas, exhaust	<u> </u>		
Question: What details should a manufacturer g underground working?	ive about the hazardous substances in	the fume of a diesel engine to be fi	tted in machines for	
Solution: In the fume of a diesel engine the following relevant dangerous substances are contained, according to the knowledge of today: Carbon monoxide CO, Carbon dioxide CO2, Nitrogen oxides NOx, Hydrocarbons HC, Soot Particles (with carcinogenic substances) PT. Emission limits are described in table 2 of EN 1679-1:1998 The manufacturer shall give all the pieces of information to the party that installs the engine/ to the user of the engine, that give them the chance to derive or duplicate the required ventilation rate for the protection of the employees in underground workings. For this, in particular, the values of the measured and calculated emitted loads in g/kW h of the above mentioned dangerous substances are necessary. The calculation of the ventilation rate by the manufacturer of the engine shall be carried out by a mathematical algorithm. Furthermore the manufacturer has to inform the user about the critical values of emissions, which limit that the engine has to be taken out of operation. The notified body shall verify these data.				
Adaptation procedure DIRECTIVE 2006/42/E	: Formal Adaptatic	ON IN CONFORMITY	WITH	

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery-Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE			CNB/M/05.002 Revision 05 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 19/01/20	01			To be approved by :	Approved on :
Origin: VG5 Machines for u	nderground work			/ertical Group lorizontal Committee	03/11/2009 07/12/2000
			☑ N	To be endorsed by: <i>I</i> achinery Working Group	Endorsed on : 04/01/2005
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC	Article:	EN/pr	EN: EN 1889-2:2003	Other:
Annex: I		ESR (1): 1.5.13	Clause	e: 5.6.3	Other clause:
			CENT	TC concerned:	
Key words: internal combus	tion engine, emis	sion of dust, gas, exhaust, meth	nane in	intake air	
Question:					
What details shall a manufa underground working includ		the hazardous substances that otible to firedamp?	are cor	ntained in the exhaust fume of	of a diesel engine for use in
Solution:					
It is well known, that methar arrange additional tests, in v	which concentration	r negatively influences the emis ons of methane of 0,5, 1 and 1, including the whole volume of t	5 Vol. %	% (see also 5.6.3 EN 1889-2:	
Adaptation proc DIRECTIVE 2006		RMAL ADAPTATIO	on in		WITH

MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery-Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE			CNB/M/05.007 Revision 04 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 19/01/20	001		To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG5 Machines for underground work		 Vertical Group Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	03/11/2009 07/12/2000 Endorsed on :		
Quantian related to: Direction	10 2006/42/FC	Articles	Machinery Working Group	04/01/2005	
Question related to: Directiv		Article:	EN/prEN: EN 1679-1:1998	Other:	
Annex: I		ESR (1): 1.5.13	Clause: 6.19	Other clause:	
			CEN TC concerned:		
Key words: internal combus	stion engine, emiss	ion of dust, gas, exhaust, limits	3		
Question:					
Are the limits for emission of toxic substances in the exhaust gas of internal combustion engines given in clause 6.19 of EN 1679-1 : 1998 acceptable? Solution: EN 1679-1:1998 is not sufficient for motors for underground mining, because the limits given there for emission of hazardous substances in the exhaust gas are considered for environmental protection and not suitable for protection of human health. It makes no sense that motors with engine power < 37 kW have to keep no limits. In each case it is necessary to determine the real loads of the hazardous substances e.g. according to CNB/M/05.001 and CNB/M/05.002 so that the user is able to realise that the engine can be used in underground with appropriate ventilation rate.					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY 0, HOTIFIED BOTIS	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTI Machinery-Directive 2006/42/E RECOMMENDATION I	CNB/M/05.201 Revision 03 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 23/06/19)97	To be approved by :	Approved on :
Origin: VG5 Machines for u		 ✓ Vertical Group ✓ Horizontal Committee 	03/11/2009 13/12/1995
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on : 04/06/1996
Question related to: Directiv	/e 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: IV, 12.2	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: Hydraulic powe	red roof support		
Question: Which types of machine are	e classed as "hydraulic powered roof supports"?		
Solution:			
 one support unit u several support unit entire coal face su 	as "hydraulic powered roof supports" are : under adjacent control nits under group control upport under central control hoisting engines are excluded.		
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC			

MACHINERY 9, NOTIFIED FOR	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTI Machinery-Directive 2006/42/I RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/05.202 Revision 02 Language : E	
Date of first stage: 30/05/19	95	To be approved by :	Approved on :
Origin: VG5 Machines for u		☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee	03/11/2009 13/12/1995
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on : 04/06/1996
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex:	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: Hydraulic power	red roof support, components with safety functior	n, safety components	
Question: Which are the components	with safety function/safety components for hydra	ulic powered roof support?	
Solution:			
safety components - exan	nples		
support units: canopy, gob shield, base et	с.		
hydraulic rams: rams, adjusting cylinders, ca	anopy cylinders		
hydraulic control devices check valves, pressure limit	: ation valves (yield valves), control valves for sett	ing props, retracting, alignment, adv	vancing
electro hydraulic control o discrete control devices, err	devices: hergency off devices, sensors which initiate move	ements, master control devices, soft	ware
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC			

(1) Essential safety requirement

NO MERO	CETINERY	CO-ORDINATION OF NOT Machinery-Directive 2006/42/I	CNB/M/05.208 Revision 03 Language: E		
^N N	OTIFIED BOOT	RECOMMENDATION	FOR USE		
Date of f	first stage: 23/06/19) 97	To be approved by :	Approved on :	
Origin: V	/G5 Machines for u	nderground work	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 		
			To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on : 04/06/1996	
Questior	n related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:	
Annex:		ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:	
			CEN TC concerned:		
Key wor	ds: Hydraulic powe	red roof support, placing on the market, putting ir	nto service		
Question	ו:				
	e the most commor are placed on the	n manufacturing, modification and repair combina market ?	tions by which new/modified or use	d hydraulic powered roof	
Solution		Itting into service of hydraulic powered roof s	upports		
Cases	on the market, pe				
a)	new hydraulic pov one manufacturer	wered roof support			
b)	new hydraulic pov several manufact	wered roof support urers			
c)		owered roof support urer modifies type			
d)	d) used hydraulic powered roof support non-original manufacturer modifies type				
e)		of hydraulic powered roof support 01-01-95 is placed on the market anew.			
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTI Machinery-Directive 2006/42/E RECOMMENDATION I	CNB/M/05.220 Revision 05 Language: E			
Date of first stage: 19/01/20	001	To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG5 Machines for u	nderground work	☑ Vertical Group☑ Horizontal Committee	03/11/2009 07/12/2000		
		To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on : 04/01/2005		
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:		
Annexes: IV, 12.2, IX	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:		
		CEN TC concerned:			
Key words: Hydraulic power	red roof support, support unit, technical file, EC-ty	/pe examination			
Question: What is a representative mo	odel for the EC-type examination procedure of dif	ferent types of hydraulic powered ro	oof support machinery?		
Solution: 1) New hydraulic powered roof support as a whole or parts of it have to comply in any case with all applicable requirements of the directive before being placed on the market (e.g. EC-type examination if harmonised standards are not used). 2) In the case of replacement of components with safety function of hydraulic powered roof supports like legs, hydraulic control system or structural steel elements, which do not change the function, the person who replaces the components of the machine shall ensure the compatibility of these components. The replaced component shall be type tested and a certificate shall be issued by a notified body. A new EC-type examination certificate for the entire machine is not necessary. 3) In the case of replacement of components which change the function of the machine (e.g. changing of the media bearing force, automation of motions, change of dimensions) a new EC-type examination certificate is required. The tests required shall be specified in each case. Generally the tests cover the components themselves, the respective interfaces and the changes of function caused thereby. 4) New hydraulic powered roof support machines require EC-type examination certificates before they may be placed on the market regardless of whether identical machines placed on the market before January 1, 1995 had been homologated by a national authority. Existing test reports shall be recognised. The extend of additional tests and the documentation required shall be specified in each case. 5) The application for an EC-type examination shall include the following documentation: - for support units according to recommendation for use CNB/M/05.205/R/E, rev. 02, 19.11.96 - for hydraulic control systems and valves according to recommendation for use CNB/M/05.206/R/E, rev. 02, 19.11.996 - for legs and rams within the flow of the media bearing force according to recommendation for use CNB/M/05.207, rev. 02, 19.11.1996					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/05.221/R/E Rev 04

MACHINERY G. NO7/FIED BON	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTI Machinery-Directive 2006/42/E RECOMMENDATION I	CNB/M/05.221 Revision 04 Language: E			
Data of first stage: 10/01/20	004	To be emproved by	Approved en		
Date of first stage: 19/01/20 Origin: VG5 Machines for u		To be approved by: ☑ Vertical Group	Approved on: 03/11/2009		
		 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	07/12/2000		
		To be endorsed by:	Endorsed on :		
		Machinery Working Group	04/01/2005		
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:		
Annex:	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:		
		CEN TC concerned:			
Key words: hydraulic power	red roof support, single props				
Question: Are hydraulic single props for mine roof support machines and are they classed as hydraulic roof support?					
Solution: Hydraulic single props are machines and are classified as a special type of hydraulic powered roof supports.					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

MACHINERY 0, No _{71/FIED} 800 ¹²	Machinery-Directive	N OF NOTIFIED BODIES e 2006/42/EC + Amendment NDATION FOR USE	CNB/M/05.222 Revision 04 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 19/01/20	01	To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG5 Machines for u	nderground work	☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by:	03/11/2009 07/12/2000		
		Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on : 04/01/2005		
Question related to: Directiv	e 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:		
Annex: IV, 12.2, and Annex	I ESR (1): 1.7.4	Clause:	Other clause:		
		CEN TC concerned:			
Key words : hydraulic powe	red roof support, pressure supply, EC	-type examination			
Question :					
Is it necessary to include the	e pressure supply in the EC-type exan	nination of hydraulic powered roof support?			
Solution : No. Normally hydraulic powered roof support units are not used alone but some hundreds as assembly. Up to now the pressure supply of hydraulic powered roof support is not part of an EC-type examination. although high risks can occur there. This should be mentioned in the instructions for the machinery as described in Annex I, 1.7.4.					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

L

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	CO-OR Machinery RE	CNB/M/05.601 Revision 05 Language: E			
Date of first stage: 19/01/20	01		To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG5 Machines for u	nderground work	<u>୍</u>	Vertical Group Horizontal Committee	03/11/2009 07/12/2000	
		Ø	To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on : 04/01/2005	
Question related to: Directiv	e 2006/42/EC Article:		/prEN: EN 1889- 003/A1:2009	Other:	
Annexes: IV, 12.1	ESR (1):		iuse:	Other clause:	
		CE	N TC concerned:		
Key words : locomotive, EC	-type examination, running t	test			
Question : In EN 1889-2:2003/A1:2009 surface. How, when and wh			However there is no suitable te	st course available on the	
Solution : 1. In the type test, the notified body shall check, if the locomotive fulfils the requirements for safe running in principle. In particular the notified body shall prove the adaptability of the running gear/bogie including the brake system relating to the relevant demands in underground working. 2. As far as running tests can not be realized on the surface completely, the missing tests have to be carried out at the beginning of putting the locomotive in operation underground. All these relevant checks, the duty for careful realization of these checks and their documentation have to be specified in the operators manual. The notified body has to be involved with, at least he must get the required documentation for proving.					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY NO7/FIED BOIL	CO-ORDINATION OF NOT Machinery-Directive 2006/42/ RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/05.603 Revision 05 Language: E				
Date of first stage: 19/01/20	001	To be approved by:	Approved on:			
Origin: VG5 Machines for u		 Vertical Group Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	03/11/2009 07/12/2000 Endorsed on :			
-		Machinery Working Group	04/01/2005			
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:			
Annex: I	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:			
		CEN TC concerned:				
Key words: locomotive, EC	type examination certificate, putting into operation	n, control				
Question: Is it possible for a notified body to prescribe in his certificate (or test report) for a locomotive the way of putting into operation and the type of control? Solution: A notified body may require the instructions to include details of putting into operation and the type of control if this can affect the safe working of a locomotive.						
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC						

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY 0, 10, 11, 11, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTI Machinery-Directive 2006/42/I RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/05.604 Revision 05 Language: E			
Date of first stage: 19/01/20)01	To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG5 Machines for u	nderground work	 ✓ Vertical Group ✓ Horizontal Committee 	07/12/2000		
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on : 04/01/2005		
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:		
Annex: IV 12.1	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:		
		CEN TC concerned:			
Key words: locomotive, defi	nition				
Question: What is a locomotive for underground working? Solution: A locomotive is a self-powered uncaptivated vehicle running on a track of one or two rails underground in mines or other underground workings, designed for hauling or transporting persons, materials or mineral. Usually the rails are situated above or under the vehicle.					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES				CNB/M/05.801 Revision 02 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 09/06/19	97			To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG5 Machines for u	nderground work		1 1 1	Vertical Group Horizontal Committee	03/11/2009 12/12/1995	
			\checkmark	To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on : 25/03/1997	
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Arti	icle:	EN/	prEN:	Other:	
Annex: IV 12	ES	R (1):	Clau	JSE:	Other clause:	
			CEN	NTC concerned:		
Key words: Machines for tu	nnels					
Question:						
Do machines for tunnels rank as machines for underground working according to directive 2006/42/EC? Solution: Machines which are underground during the construction of a tunnel are reckoned among machinery for underground work. This does not apply to machines which are underground after completion of the tunnel.						
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC						

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/06.005/R/E Rev 05

MACHINERY 9, 107/FIED BODIE	Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment			CNB/M/06.005 Revision 05 Language: E		
Date of first stage:			To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG6 Refuse collecti	on vehicles		 ✓ Vertical Group ✓ Horizontal Committee 	15/04/2010 11/03/1997		
			To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 08/06/1998		
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC	Article:	EN/prEN: EN 1501-1:1998 + A2:2009	Other:		
Annex: I		ESR (1): 1.3.1 and 1.3.2	Clause: 6.11	Other clause:		
			CEN TC concerned: TC 183			
Key words: Refuse collection	on vehicle (RCV) - o	calculations				
Question: Which calculation shall be r	required from the m	nanufacturer for an EC-type ex	amination and which safety factors	should be considered?		
Solution: The participants unanimous	sly agreed on requi	ring following calculation from	the manufacturer:			
b) safety props for the operc) safety props for suspend						
Stability calculation:						
The stability calculation sha	all be done accordir	ng to 6.11 of EN1501-1:2009				
The safety factor shall be 1,25.						
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC						

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/06.012/R/E Rev 06

MACHINERY 0, No _{7/FIED} 80010	Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment		CNB/M/06.012 Revision 06 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 25/07/19	997	To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG6 Refuse collection	on vehicles	☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee	15/04/2010 10/06/2008		
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 08/01/2009		
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 1501-1:1998 + A2:2009	Other:		
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.2.5	Clause: 6.3.12 and 6.3.13	Other clause:		
		CEN TC concerned: TC 183			
Key words: Refuse collection	on vehicle (RCV)-automatic lifting device-operation	on mode			
entire automatic emptying c	ischarging movement of a waste container by pu cycle has been finished? besn't slide out of the waste container, the discha				
Solution: No, the requirements for changing over the operation mode are given in EN 1501-1:1998 + A2:2009 and pr EN 1501-1:2009 clauses 6.3.12, 6.3.13 and 6.3.14. Manually initiated shaking of the waste container in the fully tilted position is to be deemed as an interruption of the automatic cycle. Continuing the automatic cycle requires a deliberate action of the operative.					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/06.014/R/E Rev 06

MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOT Machinery Directive 2006/42/I RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/06.014 Revision 06 Language: E			
Date of first stage: 17/07/19	998	To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG6 Refuse collecti	on vehicles	☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee	15/04/2010 11/06/1998		
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 04/01/2005		
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 1501-1:1998 + A2:2009	Other:		
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.5.5	Clause: 6.12.2	Other clause:		
		CEN TC concerned: TC 183			
Key words: Refuse collection	on vehicle (RCV) - exhaust pipe				
regarding a refuse collectio	the statutory objective as defined in EHSR 1.5.5 n vehicle?	i (protection against extreme tempe	ratures) to be fulfilled		
Solution: Due to EN 1501-1:1998 + A (less than 850 mm inside th	A2:2009 clause 6.12.2 the exhaust pipe must be ne outline of the RCV).	shielded against skin burns as far a	s it is not suitable mounted		
Hydraulic pipes shall be shielded against skin burns if the temperature of the outer surface can exceed 65° C under normal conditions.					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/06.016/R/E Rev 05

MACHINERY ⁰ , ¹⁰ 7/FIED B ⁰	CO-ORDINATION OF NOT Machinery Directive 2006/42/I RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/06.016 Revision 05 Language: E				
Date of first stage: 25/07/19	997	To be approved by:	Approved on:			
Origin: VG6 Refuse collecti	on vehicles	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	15/04/2010 11/03/1997			
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 08/06/1998			
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 1501-1:1998 + A2:2009	Other: EN 60204-1:2006 + A1: 2009			
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.6.3 and 3.5.1	Clause: 6.7.5	Other clause:			
		CEN TC concerned: TC 183				
Key words: Refuse collection	on vehicle (RCV) - energy separation main switch	1				
Question: What are the conditions for the statutory objective as defined in EHSR 1.6.3 (Isolation of energy sources) to be considered as having been fulfilled? Solution: Due to EN 1501-1:1998 + A2:2009 clause 6.7.5 a separate main switch for the body work conform to EN 60204-1:2006 + A1:2009 shall be fitted. Additional the hydraulic pump shall be switched ineffective either by switching off (e.g. electromagnetic clutch) or electro-hydraulic by passing. The main switch for the body work must be lockable in the off-position. Note: For the colour of the main switch, see 5.3.3 of EN 60204-1:2006+A1:2009.						
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC						

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY 0, HOTIFIED BOIL	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/06.020 Revision 04 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 25/07/19	997	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG6 Refuse collecti	on vehicles	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	15/04/2010 21/11/2005	
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 20/04/2006	
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article: 1.2.2	EN/prEN: EN 1501-1:1998 + A2:2009	Other:	
Annex: I	ESR (1):	Clause: 6.2.3	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned: TC 183		
Key words: Refuse collection	on vehicle (RCV) - distance between the rear edg	e of the body/tailgate and the contr	ols for lowering the tailgate	
Question: What horizontal distance between the rear edge of the body/tailgate and the operation controls shall be accepted in order to make the operator capable of having a clear view onto the entire hazardous zone? Solution: The control element of a two hand operation control for lowering the tailgate shall be fitted in a maximum horizontal distance of 500 mm and minimal horizontal distance of 200 mm from the rear edge of the body. This requirement is related to that element of the 2-hand-control, which is situated closer to the rear edge. A single hand operation control for the compaction mechanism shall be located in such a				
manner that the operator in any case has a clear view onto the rave rail and that he is not directly exposed by the dangerous movements.				
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC				

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/06.023/R/E Rev 04

MACHINERY 0, NOTIFIED 80	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/06.023 Revision 04 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 25/07/19	997	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG6 Refuse collecti	on vehicles	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	15/04/2010 10/06/2008	
		To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 08/01/2009	
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 1501-1:1998 + A2:2009	Other:	
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.5.3 and 1.5.5	Clause: 6.2.1	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned: TC 183		
Key words: Refuse collection	on vehicle (RCV) - Hose burst protection valves			
	ntection valves can be approved regarding the wing loaded) acceptable? Or is a more sophistication		and pr EN 1501-1:2009 ?	
Solution: To prevent raised tailgates from falling caused by hose bursts flow sensitive check valves shall be fitted directly to the lifting rams of tailgates as a minimum requirement. The valves are to be thoroughly tested during the EC type examination, ensuring that in the event of a hose burst on one side only, both valves have to operate in sufficient time to minimise any distortion on the tailgate hinges. It is strongly recommended that manufacturers conduct the same tests on each RCV produced.				
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC				

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/06.025/R/E Rev 03

MACHINERY 0, 10,7/FIED FOR			CNB/M/06.025 Revision 03 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 22/04/19	997	To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG6 Refuse collecti	on vehicles	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	15/04/2010 10/06/2008 Endorsed on:		
		Machinery Working Group	08/01/2009		
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 1501-1:1998 + A2:2009	Other: EN 60204-1:2006 + A1:2009; pr EN 1501- 1:2009		
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.5.1	Clauses: 2 and 6.8.1.1	Other clause:		
		CEN TC concerned:			
Key words: Refuse collection	on vehicle (RCV) - electrical equipment				
CEN 1C concerned: Key words: Refuse collection vehicle (RCV) - electrical equipment Question: What kind of electrical tests shall be required? Solution: The isolation resistance test and the functional test shall be carried out in any case according to EN 60204-1:2006 + A1:2009. Measuring of residual voltage after switching off operation depends on the residual risks.					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/06.026/R/E Rev 07

MACHINERY ⁰ , ¹ O _{7/FIED} ⁶ O ¹¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/06.026 Revision 07 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 22/04/19	997	To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG6 Refuse collecti	on vehicles	☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee	15/04/2010 10/06/2008		
		To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 08/01/2009		
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 1501-1:1998 + A2:2009	Other:		
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.2.3	Clause:	Other clause:		
		CEN TC concerned: TC 183			
Key words: Refuse collection	on vehicle (RCV) - automatic gear box	-			
Key words: Refuse collection vehicle (RCV) - automatic gear box Question: What kind of interlocking is needed for a RCV with automatic gear box between the chassis function and the function of the compaction mechanism and / or the lifting device at the bodywork? (For explanation: in practice the compaction mechanism and the operating of the lifting device requires an increase in engine speed to provide enough hydraulic oil volume) Solution: The stationary operation of the compaction mechanism and lifting device shall only be possible if the gear lever of the automatic gear box is in parking position. This requirement is not relevant as long as the system is detecting if the driver is present on his seat in the cabin.					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/06.027/R/E Rev 07

Date of first stage: 29/09/1998 To be approved by: Approved on: Origin: VG6 Refuse collection vehicles Vertical Group	MACHINERY ⁹ ¹⁰ ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/06.027 Revision 07 Language: E	
Image: Provide the provided state of the provided state	Date of first stage: 29/09/19	998	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Image: Construction of the set of t	Origin: VG6 Refuse collecti	on vehicles	•		
Annex: I ESR (1): 1.3.1 and 1.3.2 A2:2009 Clause: Other clause: CEN TC concerned: TC 183 CEN TC concerned: TC 183 Wey words: Refuse collection vehicle (RCV) - fixing points of the bodywork on the chassis CEN TC concerned: TC 183 Question: A) Is a strength calculation required for the fixing points of the bodywork on the chassis from the bodywork manufacturer? B) Is a strength calculation required for the fitting elements of the bodywork on the chassis (e.g. screws, bolts) from the bodywork manufacturer? Solution: A) No, the bodywork manufacturer shall state in the assembling manual or the user's manual: - - the dead weight of the bodywork, - - - the expected total weight (mass) of the bodywork; - - - the maximum permitted acceleration of the RCV (normally calculated by 8m/sec ²) That information, the assembler shall consider following the conditions for assembling given by the chassis manufacturer. B) Yes, stress calculation shall be part of the technical construction file of the bodywork manufacturer. The bodywork manufacturer has to					
CEN TC concerned: TC 183 Key words: Refuse collection vehicle (RCV) - fixing points of the bodywork on the chassis Question: A) Is a strength calculation required for the fixing points of the bodywork on the chassis from the bodywork manufacturer? B) Is a stress calculation required for the fitting elements of the bodywork on the chassis (e.g. screws, bolts) from the bodywork manufacturer? Solution: A) No, the bodywork manufacturer shall state in the assembling manual or the user's manual: - the dead weight of the bodywork, - the expected total weight (mass) of the bodywork; - the maximum permitted acceleration/ deceleration of the RCV (normally calculated by 8m/sec ²) That information, the assembler shall consider following the conditions for assembling given by the chassis manufacturer. B) Yes, stress calculation shall be part of the technical construction file of the bodywork manufacturer.	Question related to: Directive	ve 2006/42/EC Article:		Other:	
Key words: Refuse collection vehicle (RCV) - fixing points of the bodywork on the chassis Question: A) Is a strength calculation required for the fixing points of the bodywork on the chassis from the bodywork manufacturer? B) Is a stress calculation required for the fitting elements of the bodywork on the chassis (e.g. screws, bolts) from the bodywork manufacturer? Solution: A) No, the bodywork manufacturer shall state in the assembling manual or the user's manual: - the dead weight of the bodywork, - the expected total weight (mass) of the bodywork; - the maximum permitted acceleration/ deceleration of the RCV (normally calculated by 8m/sec²) That information, the assembler shall consider following the conditions for assembling given by the chassis manufacturer. B) Yes, stress calculation shall be part of the technical construction file of the bodywork manufacturer. The bodywork manufacturer has to	Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.3.1 and 1.3.2	Clause:	Other clause:	
Question: A) Is a strength calculation required for the fixing points of the bodywork on the chassis from the bodywork manufacturer? B) Is a stress calculation required for the fitting elements of the bodywork on the chassis (e.g. screws, bolts) from the bodywork manufacturer? Solution: A) No, the bodywork manufacturer shall state in the assembling manual or the user's manual: - the dead weight of the bodywork, - the expected total weight (mass) of the bodywork; - the maximum permitted acceleration/ deceleration of the RCV (normally calculated by 8m/sec ²) That information, the assembler shall consider following the conditions for assembling given by the chassis manufacturer. B) Yes, stress calculation shall be part of the technical construction file of the bodywork manufacturer. The bodywork manufacturer has to			CEN TC concerned: TC 183		
 A) Is a strength calculation required for the fixing points of the bodywork on the chassis from the bodywork manufacturer? B) Is a stress calculation required for the fitting elements of the bodywork on the chassis (e.g. screws, bolts) from the bodywork manufacturer? Solution: A) No, the bodywork manufacturer shall state in the assembling manual or the user's manual: the dead weight of the bodywork, the expected total weight (mass) of the bodywork; the maximum permitted acceleration/ deceleration of the RCV (normally calculated by 8m/sec²) That information, the assembler shall consider following the conditions for assembling given by the chassis manufacturer. B) Yes, stress calculation shall be part of the technical construction file of the bodywork manufacturer. The bodywork manufacturer has to 	Key words: Refuse collection	on vehicle (RCV) - fixing points of the bodywork of	on the chassis		
	Question: A) Is a strength calculation required for the fixing points of the bodywork on the chassis from the bodywork manufacturer? B) Is a stress calculation required for the fitting elements of the bodywork on the chassis (e.g. screws, bolts) from the bodywork manufacturer? Solution: A) No, the bodywork manufacturer shall state in the assembling manual or the user's manual: - the dead weight of the bodywork, - the expected total weight (mass) of the bodywork; - the maximum permitted acceleration/ deceleration of the RCV (normally calculated by 8m/sec²) That information, the assembler shall consider following the conditions for assembling given by the chassis manufacturer. B) Yes, stress calculation shall be part of the technical construction file of the bodywork manufacturer. The bodywork manufacturer has to				

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/06.028/R/E Rev 04

MACHINERY 9, 107/FIED 800	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/06.028 Revision 04 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 05/02/19	999	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG6 Refuse collecti	on vehicles	☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee	15/04/2010 09/12/1998	
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 03/03/2000	
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 1501-1:1998 + A2:2009	Other:	
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.5.15 and 3.3.2	Clause: 6.6.4.3.5	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned: TC 183		
Key words: Refuse collection	on vehicle (RCV) - footboards			
Question: Is it sufficient to fit a monitoring device according to EN 1501: 1998 + A2:2009, clause 6.6.4.3.5 and additional fit a rear camera system that enables the driver to recognize the occupied footboards to fulfil all requirements of the Machinery Directive, Annex I, clause 1.5.15 and 3.3.2?				
Solution: To fulfil the requirements of EHSR 1.5.15 and 3.2.3 it is sufficient to fit the footboards and handles according to EN 1501-1:1998 + A2:2009, clause 6.6.4.2 and 6.6.4.3 and an additional camera system according to EN 1501-1:1998 + A2:2009, clause 6.7.4.3. Such shall enable the driver to recognize misuse and bypassing of the footboards.				
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC				

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/06.029/R/E Rev 04

Machinery Directive 2006/42	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE			
Date of first stage: 05/02/1999	To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG6 Refuse collection vehicles	☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee	15/04/2010 09/12/1998		
	To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 03/03/2000		
Question related to: Directive 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 1501-1:1998 + A2:2009	Other: pr EN 1501-1:2009		
Annex: I ESR (1): 1.4 and 3.2.3	Clause: 6.6.4.3	Other clause:		
	CEN TC concerned: TC 183			
Key words: Refuse collection vehicle (RCV) - footboards				
Question: Is a monitoring device according to EN 1501-1:1998 + A2:2009 clause 6.6.4.3 when fitted, defined as a protection device in the sense of Machinery Directive Annex I, clause 1.4.1, which requires that easy by-passing of the footboard control (standing on a structure part of the body or the lifting device with at least one foot) by the operator shall be prevented? Solution: It is comparable with a protection device, because the footboard monitoring system is integrated into the control system of the RCV and it contains safety functions.				
The system itself cannot prevent intentional misuse, e.g. by-passing by travelling on the lifting device or on other structural components. The use of the monitoring device together with labelling and camera system shall be accepted. Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC				

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/06.031/R/E Rev 10

MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/06.031 Revision 10 Language: E
Date of first stage: 05/02/1	999	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG6 Refuse collecti	on vehicles	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	25/05/2011 28/06/2011
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 13/12/2011
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 1501-1:1998 + A2:2009	Other:
Annex: I	ESR (1): 3.2.3	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned: TC 183	
Key words: Refuse collection	on vehicle (RCV) - footboard		
occupied? Explanation: A < <bus-stop-< td=""><td>red for a RCV equipped with footboards at the re brake>> is normally used on busses, to prevent ot designed to replace the handbrake and works</td><td>powerless rolling of the bus during</td><td>passengers' embarkment</td></bus-stop-<>	red for a RCV equipped with footboards at the re brake>> is normally used on busses, to prevent ot designed to replace the handbrake and works	powerless rolling of the bus during	passengers' embarkment
iolution: bus-stop brake is not required – it is one of the possible solutions – bypassing the system by rolling backwards shall be prevented.			

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

i				1
		CO-ORDINATION OF NOTI Achinery Directive 2006/42/E		CNB/M/06.034 Revision 06
	RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		Language: E	
"OTIFIED BO"				
Date of first stage: 23/11/20	01		To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG6 Refuse collection	on vehicles		☑ Vertical Group	16/04/2010
			Horizontal Committee	10/06/2008
			To be endorsed by:	Endorsed on:
			Machinery Working Group	08/01/2009
Question related to: Directiv	re 2006/42/EC	Article:	EN/prEN: EN 1501-1:1998 + A2:2009	Other:
Annex: I		ESR (1): 3.2.3	Clause: 6.6.4	Other clause:
			CEN TC concerned: TC 183	
Key words: Refuse collectio	n vehicle (RCV) -	rear footboard		
Question:				
What are the minimum criter accepted carrying out a type			device of forward speed limitation a	nd reverse prevention to be
accepted carrying out a type				
Solution:				
Particularly following require	ements shall be fu	Ifilled to accept rear footboards	s at a RCV performing an EC-type e	examination certificate:
1. Footboard and handles	:			
The mechanical design of the footboard and the handles compulsory provided shall comply with EN 1501-1:1998 + A2:2009, clause 6.6.4.2 and Fig. A.6. There shall no shear trap be created between lifting device and footboard. For safety distances see EN 349. In the reach of the footboard there shall be no other facility to ride on except on the lifting device itself which can not be avoided. The footboard folded down, its carrying structure and weight indication device when fitted shall withstand a vertical static test load of 250 kg located in the centre of the footboard. After the test there shall be no permanent deflection or crack.				
2. Monitoring device:				
2.1 Detecting deviceThe detection of a person riding on the footboard is possible by:2.1.1 Position indication:				
In case of position monitoring restrictions shall be effective when the footboard is folded down of more than 10° from the totally folded up position. If there is a capability to stand on the footboard or its carrying structure when folded up, a vertical force of more than 400 N at any point of the footboard or its carrying structure shall fold totally down the footboard automatically. This requirement does not occur, when in the totally folded up position of the footboard its outer edge is more than 800 mm above the ground and any other surface of its carrying structure has an angle of more than 45° to the horizontal. The dimensions are measured when the RCV standing on an even horizontal ground is empty.				
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC				

Page 2/3 of CNB/M/06.034/R/E Rev 06

The footboard shall be secure against unintended folding down which can cause an unintended braking down. When folding is powered the powering force shall be limited to 75 N measured at any point where a person can stand on. The folding speed measured at the rear of the footboard shall not exceed 0,6 m/sec. Thus to avoid injuries to the operative's leg when getting off the footboard and the relevant control is activated. The operation control shall be of hold-to-run-type and shall be located at the rear wall of the tailgate and in the cab.

2.1.2 weight indication:

In case of weight indication the restrictions shall be effective when a vertical force of at least 300 N acts onto the footboard totally folded down or its carrying structure in a minimum distance away from the pivoting hinge as a foot can stand on. Riding on the moveable footboard carrying structure when the footboard is folded down as well as on the fix carrying structure in any case shall be prevented by design. Easy bypassing the weight indication by supporting the footboard by means of a rope, chain, etc. or blocking it in a position not folded out totally shall be prevented by the design. The weight indication will only be accepted when the capability of easy bypassing, e. g. as mentioned above is permanently prevented.

Jumping onto the footboard during reverse shall brake the RCV within the distance between the rear edge of the footboard and the rear point of the rear wheel (see figure below). This shall be measured on a dry horizontal even ground and a reverse speed of 6 km/h.

The weight detection shall be effective at any temperature the RCV is designed for as stated in the "information for use" (operator's manual) with no drift of the forces. The period of necessary readjustment shall be stated in the "information for use" (operator's manual) and should not be less than the normal inspection period given in the user's manual.

Further more there shall no facility in easy reach of the footboard where on the operative can support himself to reduce his weight force acting on the footboard.

1.1.3 space indication

In case of space indication the operative shall be detected at any position on the footboard or its carrying structure independent from his cloth's colour and performance. Nothing else than a person positioned on the footboard shall be detected particularly other traffic participants (vehicles or pedestrians) or the road itself, when the footboard is folded down.

Jumping onto the footboard during reverse shall brake the RCV within the distance between the rear edge of the footboard and the rear point of the rear wheel (see figure below). This shall be measured on a dry horizontal even ground and a reverse speed of 6 km/h.

The space indication shall be effective at any temperature the RCV is designed for as stated in the "information for use" (operator's manual) with no drift of the detected area and no reduce of the detecting sensitivity.

2.2 Restrictions

When one or both footboards are detected as occupied following restrictions shall apply:

- speed limitation on forward motion of the RCV up to 30 km/h, tested by means of the chassis own tachograph.
- prevention of reverse of the RCV in any case (see rfu 06.031).
- prevention of operating the lifting device when provided. This does not apply when the risk of unintentionally being crushed or sheared is prevented by a sufficient safeguard.
- prevention of operating the compaction mechanism in the automatic mode on an open system according to EN 1501-1.
- after use of the footboard automatic restart of bodywork or chassis functions shall be prevented.
- (See also EN 1501-1)

2.3 Monitoring control:

2.3.1 Examining that part of the monitoring control which is origin part of the chassis is not task of the notified body performing an EC-typeexamination. It shall only be tested according to its function.

2.3.2 The entire control including the detectors shall be designed not to be rendered ineffectively or to set out of operation by simple tools according to EN 1088. Particularly cutting a wire, disconnecting a plug connection out of a screwed box, removal of a detector, shadow respective making blind a sensor for space indication, and a failure of one component of the footboard monitoring control shall lead to the restrictions be effective (One failure safe). This shall be in accordance with the category 3 of the standard EN ISO 13849-1:2008. To avoid manipulation, the check of the footboard control shall be made after each engine stop, at least before the compaction mechanism or /and the lifting device can be started. This check may not be the precondition for the chassis to drive faster than 30 km/h.

2.3.3 Environmental influences e.g. spot lights, part of trees approach of other vehicles, shall not lead to the restrictions be effective.

2.3.4 Cables and wires out of boxes shall withstand the environmental influences and shall be protected against mechanical damages. Components located on the outer surface of the RCV shall comply with IP 65 according to EN 60529+A1:2002.

2.3.5 To enable reverse in case of the monitoring system is destroyed e.g. by a traffic accident a push button shall be provided in the cab which bypasses the reverse restriction and prevents the operation of the bodywork including lifting device. Resetting shall only be possible by a key which shall not be identically with the ignition key or the cab door key. The push button shall be sealed. The "information for Use" (operator's manual) shall state that the key shall be separated from the RCV. Resetting the push button it shall take at least 20 minutes before the rcv is ready for use again.

2.4 Communications

The working area needed to be observed including the footboards. Therefore the Closed Circuit Television System (CCTV) mentioned in 6.7.4.3 of EN 1501-1 shall not be capable of switching off during work and transport at any time when the ignition key is switched on.

2.5 Warning

To avoid traffic accidents by the slow going vehicle the flashing beacon according to 6.8.3.2 of pr EN 1501-1:2009 shall be engaged automatically when the footboards are occupied or the bodywork is switched on.

(National traffic rules shall be considered)



Braking distance related to weight and space indication

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/06.035/R/E Rev 05

MACHINERY 0, 107/FIED SOLIT	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/06.035 Revision 05 Language: E
Date of first stage: 23/11/20	001	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG6 Refuse collecti	on vehicles	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	16/04/2010 04/12/2001
		To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 04/01/2005
Question related to: Directive	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 1501-1:1998 + A2:2009	Other:
Annex: I	ESR (1): 4.2.2	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned: TC 183	
Key words: Refuse collection	on vehicle (RCV) - lifting device		
Question: How overloading of a lifting	device shall be avoided?		
Solution: Because lifting devices are designed for emptying waste containers of different sizes within the same type which have an identical picking up system any lifting device shall be marked or labelled with the max. permissible lifting mass in kg taking into account the biggest waste container to be emptied according to the relevant standard e.g. EN 840. The mark/label shall be located in the clear view of the pressure relief valve adjusted for prevention of lifting loads in excess of the permissible lifting mass shall be provided. This also occurs for each part of a split lifting device. Caution: An overload protection of the waste container as standardised by the lifting device is not practical! Attention: For labelling/marking see also CNB/M/06.038.			
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC			
Page 1/1 of CNB/M/06.036/R/E Rev 07

			•	·i
MACHINERY 0, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10,	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/06.036 Revision 07 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 22/11/20	001		To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG6 Refuse collection vehicles		 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	24/04/2013 26/06/2013	
			To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 22/11/2013
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC	Article:	EN/prEN: EN 1501-5:2011	Other:
Annex: I		ESR (1): 1.2.2	Clause: 5.1.1.2	Other clause:
			CEN TC concerned: TC 183	
Key words: Refuse collection	on vehicle (RCV)	- remote control in the cab		
Question:				
Is a remote control for the li				
Solution:				
No, a remote control for ope	en a CCTV is pro		not acceptable because there is no ularly children approaching the liftin	
To avoid collisions between cab is acceptable under foll			ring transport only one exception of	lifting operations from the
- max. lifting height of 4	00 mm from the lo	owest possible position of the w	aste container carriage	
- any crushing and shea	•	nted		
- safe limitation of the lif	• •			
 lowering from the cab automatic lifting to a m 		f 400 mm may be acceptable or	nly after the RCV has started rolling	
	- automatic lifting to a maximum height of 400 mm may be acceptable only after the RCV has started rolling.			

MACHINERY 0, 107/FIED 501	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/06.039 Revision 03 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 23/11/20)01	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG6 Refuse collecti	on vehicles	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	16/04/2010 24/10/2002	
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 02/03/2004	
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 1501-1:1998 + A2:2009	Other: EN 954-1:1996, EN 999:2008, EN 61496-1:2009;	
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.4.3	Clause: 6.1.2.3	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned: TC 183		
Key words: Refuse collection	on vehicle (RCV) - rave rail / open operation syste	em		
 Question: Is a continuous operating compaction mechanism in an open operation modus according to EN 1501-1:1998 + A2:2009, clause 6.1.2.3 acceptable when the aperture to the hopper is safeguarded by an electro sensitive protective device? Solution: Yes, under following conditions: The electro sensor protective system shall be conform with EN 61496-1:2009 and fulfil the requirements of a type 4. The control of that system shall be conform with Category 3 of EN 954-1:1996 at the minimum. The protection device shall be effective at any time the compaction mechanism is in operation. Restart of the compaction system shall not be possible without manual reset. This shall only be capable with direct clear view of the rave rail. The only exception allowing automatic restart is by a signal from the lifting device leaving the guarded area. The system shall not be capable to be by-passed. When light barriers or similar devices are used, lateral access from the footboard, when provided, as well as gripping through of children's arm shall be considered. 				
When a light curtain or consideration of the abo	consideration of the above mentioned velocity the compaction mechanism has already stopped when the hand has reached the dangerous zone. The minimum distance shall be 175 mm and has to be calculated according page 2, Annex 1 (see also			
 The designed temperat 20°C to + 40°C). 	ure range for operation shall be according to the	area of the RCV's intended use (N	orth of the Alps in general -	
Light barriers or similar mechanically according				
	es e.g. snow, rain, hair frost shall not impede the			
 Inside detection of the hopper only does not fulfil the requirement of safe approach. The device and its companyants shall be sufficiently shaek and vibration registerst (see EN 61406.1). 				
The device and its components shall be sufficiently shock and vibration resistant (see EN 61496-1). Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC				

(1) Essential safety requirement

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/06.040/R/E Rev 03

MACHINERY NOTIFIED BONK	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/06.040 Revision 03 Language: E
Date of first stage: 15/01/20	003	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG6 Refuse collection vehicles		 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	16/04/2010 11/12/2003
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 01/07/2004
Question related to: Directiv	re 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 1501-2:2005 + A1:2009	Other: EN 1501-1:1998 + A2:2009;
Annex: I	ESR (1): 3.2.3	Clause: 6.8	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned: TC 183	
Key words: Refuse collection	on vehicle (RCV) - riding of operatives		
Question: Under which conditions may	y lateral facilities (footboards and/or seats) be ac	cceptable for transport of operatives	on side loaded RCV's?
Solution: The facilities for side loaded unnecessary risks.	I RCV's must be designed such that the operativ	ve is able to enter, to ride on and to	exit without exposure to
Additional to the requirement CNB/M/06.034/R/E) consider	nts of EN 1501-1:1998 + A2:2009 and EN 1501- eration shall include:	2:2005 + A1:2009 and the Recomm	endation for use (No
 entering and leaving the footboards/seats without placing the operatives at risk from moving traffic, entering and leaving the footboards/seats without placing the operatives at risk from the moving RCV itself, riding on the footboards/seats with vehicle in motion without placing the operatives at risk from falling, that lateral facilities outside the width of the RCV are not allowed. 			
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC			

Page 1/4 of CNB/M/06.042/R/E Rev 06

MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/06.042 Revision 06 Language: E	
Date of first stage:	Date of first stage: To be approved by:		Approved on:	
Origin: VG6 Refuse collecti	on vehicles	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	16/04/2010 26/11/2009	
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 26/05/2010	
Question related to: Directive	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 1501-1:1998 + A1:2004 + Pr A2:2009	Other: EN ISO 13849- 1:2008 EN ISO 13849- 2:2004	
Annex:	ESR (1): 1.2.1	Clause: 6.7.2	Other clause: Annex A	
		CEN TC concerned: TC 183		
Key words: Refuse collection	on vehicles (RCV) – Performance level			
device and automatic mode <u>Question regarding the rep</u> Which requirements shall s	Question: EN 1501-1:1998 clause 6.7.2 requires for safety related parts of control systems for compaction mechanism, automatic lifting device and automatic mode selection in general category 3 according to EN 954-1. <u>Question regarding the replacement of EN 954-1 by EN ISO 13849-1:2008</u> : Which requirements shall safety related parts of a control fulfil according to EN ISO 13849-1:2008 to reach the same safety level as mentioned in 6.7.2 of EN 1501-1:1998 for the functions mentioned in the Recommended solution.			
Solution:				
1. Main function: Compac	tion mechanism			
1.1. Sub-function: Op	en compaction in semi-automatic mode:			
start and stop of the open compaction (in the area where distance between packing plate and rave rail is ≤ 500 mm) hold to run-function end position of open compaction (e.g. overriding point) footboard(s) not occupied Access door in closed position				
	1.1.1. <u>Minimum requirements:</u>			
PLr "c" and category 3 at the minimum, according to figure 5 of EN ISO 13849-1.				
<u>1.1.1.1. Explanations:</u>				
S 2+ F 1+ P 1 → PLr "C" (according Annex A, figure A.1 EN ISO 13849-1) F 1 because operator is outside the crushing zone during loading, it is very seldom required to enter the dangerous zone only for removing disturbances; P 1 because rcv is operated by professionals movements of compaction mechanism are expected to be slow enough so that escaping is possible.				

(1) Essential safety requirement

1.2. Sub-function: Automatic compaction – closed system in relation to the flap and the footboards (for example) movable flap or lifting device or tipped container creates a closed system start and stop of the compaction footboard(s) not occupied Access door (s) closed

1.2.1. Minimum requirements:

PLr "c" and category 3 at the minimum, according to figure 5 of EN ISO 13849-1.

1.2.1.1. Explanations:

S 2+ F1+ P1 \rightarrow PLr "C" (according Annex A, figure A.1 EN ISO 13849-1).

1.3. Sub-function: Emptying the hopper (distance between sheartrap and floor

Cleaning function with the compaction mechanism only when the position of the tailgate is≥ 2,5 m)

1.3.1.1. Minimum requirements:

PLr "c" and category 3 at the minimum, according to figure 5 of EN ISO 13849-1.

1.3.1.1.1. Explanations:

S 2+ F 1+ P 1 \rightarrow PLr "C" (according Annex A, figure A.1 EN ISO 13849-1).

2. Automatic lifting device:

- 2.1. <u>Sub-function:</u> waste container / bin is located (raised to 400 mm)
 - 2.1.1. Minimum requirements: PLr "d" and at the minimum category 3

2.1.1.1. Explanation: S 2+F 2+ P 1→ PLr "d" (according Annex A, figure A.1 EN ISO 13849-1) F 2 because operator could be inside the crushing zone during loading, P 1 because - rcv is operated by professionals, movements of the lifting device are expected, escaping is possible.

- 2.2. Sub-function: start / stop of the lifting device
 - 2.2.1. Minimum requirements: PLr "d" and at the minimum category 3

<u>2.2.1.1. Explanations:</u> S 2 +F 2+P 1→ PLr "d"

<u>2.3. Sub-function</u>: bin (waste container) is locked (in case if monitoring by a switch is necessary, which depends on the design of the lifting device)

2.3.1. Minimum requirements: PLr "d" and at the minimum category 3

<u>2.3.1.1. Explanation:</u> S 2 + F 2 + P 1→ PLr "d"

2.4. Sub-function: position monitoring of mechanical side barriers are extended, release for automatic function

<u>2.4.1. Minimum requirements:</u> PLr "c" and category 2 at the minimum

<u>2.4.1.1. Explanation:</u> S 2+ F 1+ P 1→ PLr "c"

2.5. Sub-function: - non-mechanical side barriers (e.g. light barrier) in function, release for automatic function

2.5.1. Minimum requirements: PLr "c" - at a minimum category 3

2.5.1.1. Explanation: S 2 + F 1 + P 1→ PLr "c"

2.6. Sub-function: footboard(s) not occupied

2.6.1. Minimum requirements: PLr "c" and at the minimum category 3

2.6.1.1. Explanation: S 2 + F 1 + P 1→ PLr "c"

3. <u>Function:</u> mode selection between different lifting device functions (automatic-, semiautomatic-, manual-liftingcycle)

3.1. <u>Requirements:</u> PLr "d" and at the minimum category 3

3.1.1. Explanation: $S 2 + F 2 + P 1 \rightarrow PLr$ "d"

4. Function: Emergency stop

4.1. Requirement:

PLr "d"

4.1.1. <u>Explanation</u>: The PL for Emergency stop should be not lower than the highest PL as required for one of all the functions mentioned above

Note:

For every safety related part which is not mentioned in this rfu a risk assessment according to EN ISO 13849-1 has to be made.

Annex:





5 = area at the hopper where sheartraps can occur during cleaning function (depends on the kinematics of the compaction mechanism)

6 = minimum hight of 2500 mm of the tailgate (sheartrap) to allow automatic cleaning function



MACHINERY 9, 107/FIED 800	Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment		CNB/M/06.043 Revision 03 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 20/05/20	Date of first stage: 20/05/2008 To be approved by:		Approved on:	
Origin: VG6 Refuse Collect	ion Vehicles	☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee	20/05/2008 09/12/2008	
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 04/07/2012	
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article: 6, 12	EN/prEN: EN 1501-5:2011, EN1501-1:2011	Other:	
Annexes: II, IV	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned:		
Key words: Element intende	ed to be incorporated / carrying chassis / EC	type-examination / EC declaration of c	onformity	
Collection Vehicle (RCV) in 1) RCV Annex IV with 2) RCV Annex IV with	be of the EC type-examination and which is the stalled on a carrying chassis, in the following nout lifting devices or without predisposition for n integrated lifting devices disposed for receiving interchangeable lifting	configurations: or receiving one or many lifting devices		
	EC type-examination (A) of the RCV, EC dec	claration of conformity according to An	nex II A. and CE marking for	
	EC type-examination (A) of the RCV includin g for the RCV including the lifting device(s) (B		of conformity according to	
Answer to configuration 3): which is compatible with the	EC type-examination (A) of the RCV with its e RCV *, both manufacturers have to deliver device declaration of conformity (II A) as an ir	, predispositions for receiving an interch their own declaration of conformity (for	•	
(A): EC type-examination and EC type-certificate issued by a Notified Body; this EC type-certificate makes a copy of the conclusi EC type-examination and mentions the conditions and the limitations which restrict the extent of the documents, e.g. minimal wid chassis to allow mounting of footboards.				
(B): Placing on the market of the manufacturer	of the RCV: EC declaration of conformity acco	ording to Annex II A. and CE marking a	are of the responsibilities of	
* Note: The compatibility is	given if the manufacturer of the lifting device	and the manufacturer of the RCV use	a defined interface	
	y, electrically and mechanically), e. g. an inter			
(1) Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.				

MACHINERY 0, NO7/FIED BOIL	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/08.001 Revision 04 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 23/06/1997 To be approved by:			Approved on:		
Origin: VG8 Vehicles servicing lifts		 Vertical Group Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	12/04/2010 13/12/1995 Endorsed on:		
		Machinery Working Group	04/06/1996		
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: pr EN 1493	Other:		
Annex:	ESR (1):	Clause: 5.6.5.6	Other clause:		
		CEN TC concerned: TC 98 WG 2			
Key words: Polyamide Nuts	3				
Key words: Polyamide Nuts Question: With regard to screw drives red brass or bronze are the most common materials for the load bearing nut and the safety nut as written in the comments of the German prevention rule VBG 14. However, some manufacturers intend to use polyamide for the load bearing nut. Some tests in our institute have shown that polyamide nuts can have the same or even a better tribological behaviour than bronze nuts, e.g. with regard to self-locking and self-retarding. Is it allowed to use polyamide nuts in vehicle lifts? Do the other NB's have any experiences with these nuts, especially when the lubricant is contaminated with dirt or particles (e.g. swarf)? Solution: Polyamide nuts may be used in vehicle lifts, provided that lifetime tests have been carried out. The technical should					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

Page 1	/1 of CN	IB/M/08.	002/R/E	Rev 04

MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment		CNB/M/08.002 Revision 04 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 24/05/20	000	To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG8 Vehicles servic	zing lifts	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	12/04/2010 09/12/1998 Endorsed on:		
		Machinery Working Group	03/03/2000		
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:		
Annex:	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:		
		CEN TC concerned:			
Key words: EC Type Test					
Key words: EC Type Test Question: How do we proceed, when the EC-type test refers to a goup of machines (vehicle lifts) with the same design features and merely different load-carrying capacities? Do we have to test each machine (vehicle lift) or is it sufficient to test the type with minimum and/or maximum bearing capacity? Solution: Each type of vehicle lift has to be tested and compliance with the ESR'S of MD has to be confirmed by the NB. The extent of test can be reduced in case of similar equipment by responsibility of the NB. (see also CNB/M/03.009)					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/2 of CNB/M/08.003/R/E Rev 05

MACHINERY 0, NO7/FIED BOIL	Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment		CNB/M/08.003 Revision 05 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 24/05/20	000	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG8 Vehicles servicing lifts		 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	12/04/2010 09/12/1998 Endorsed on:	
		Machinery Working Group	03/03/2000	
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN ISO 12100-2:2003	Other:	
Annex:	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned:		
Key words: instruction hand	lbook, check			
only in a formal way e.g. wi	C-type test to examine the content of the instruct th regard to chapter 6 of EN 12100-2:2003?	ion handbook in detail or is it suffici	ent to check the handbook	
Solution: Notified bodies shall examine the safety relevant content of the instruction handbook (content see EN 12100-2 clause 6). Details for vehicle lifts are e.g. (see next page).				
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC				

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Details for vehicle lifts (cont.)

- Information about the product:
 - name of manufacturer, importer or dealer,
 - type designation of product,
 - date of issue of the instruction manual, status,
 - address of manufacturer, address of authorized representative,
 - technical ratings of the vehicle lift (load, load distribution, height),
 - intended use (lifting of cars), inappropriate use (lifting of people), special applications
 - available equipment options (wheel free systems, alignment systems),
 - weight and dimensions,
 - special properties (e.g. Ex proof),
 - noise and other emissions.
- Information about installation:
 - limitations of environmental ambient conditions (temperature, humidity, water),
 - required floor conditions (strength, preparation),
 - electrical supply requirements (voltage, current, supply cable size, starting current, fusing),
 - hydraulical supply requirements (max. pressure, oil quality and amounts),
 - pneumatical supply requirements (max. pressure),
 - means the user has to provide (power system, mains switch, guards),
 - final checks.
- Information about the use
 - description of controls (raising, lowering),
 - description of safety devices (safety catch, levelling system, emergency stop, rope or chain failure),
 - adjustment procedures (if any),
 - emergency stop procedures, restarting.
 - operating modes (independent / common control), safety features in different operating modes,
 - protection against unauthorized use (use of key switches),
 - rules for handling of special conditions (after tripping of protective devices, emergency lowering)
 - warning of dangerous parts (high voltage, high pressure),
 - error handling procedures (tripping of fuses, desynchronisation),
 - charging of batteries (ventilation),
 - safety instructions (e.g. no persons under the lift during movement),
 - authorization for operating.
- Maintenance and repair
 - necessary spare parts,
 - service intervals,
 - special safety precautions during maintenance and repair,
 - safety inspections and tests.
- User information
 - parts lists (electrical, hydraulical, pneumatical),
 - schematics (electrical, hydraulical, pneumatical),
 - pictures, photos, exploded view

Page 1/2 of CNB/M/08.004/R/E Rev 05

MACHINERY 0, NO7/FIED BOIL	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/08.004 Revision 05 Language: E
Date of first stage: 25/10/19	996	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG8 Vehicles servio	cing lifts	☑ Vertical Group	12/04/2010
		Horizontal Committee	17/04/1996
		To be endorsed by:	Endorsed on:
		Machinery Working Group	08/06/1998
Question related to: Direction	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 1493:1998	Other:
Annex:	ESR (1):	Clause: 5.14	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned: TC 98 WG 2	
Key words: unintentional de	esynchronisation during operation		
	taken against unintentional desynchronisation d	uring operation?	
situation It shall be ensured that the	ent after an interruption or fluctuation in whatever vehicle stays horizontally, even if it is supported	by two or more drives or bearing de	evices.
	ation may lead to an overload of one or more dri ilting of the supported vehicle.	ves, if one or more drives do not lor	nger support the load.
Note: 1. Synchronisation may be accomplished by using: - mechanical devices (ropes, chains, poles), - hydraulical circuits, - electrical controls (not considered to be a safety device). The maximum allowed tilt is 50 mm or 1° (may be more than 50 mm); see picture, line a.			
Diff.			
		b	
150 mm			
50 mm		8	

(1) Essential safety requirement

2. In case of rupture of drives, ropes, chains, nuts or gears or leakage in the hydraulic or pneumatic line an additional 100 mm difference is permitted; see picture line b. If the synchronisation is performed using an electrical central or a hydraulically circuit, an additional safety central has to stop the movement of the vehicle lift, unless the proper synchronisation has been restored using other measures.

3. Electrical (or electronical) safety controls must store the amount of unsynchronisation regardless of voltage drop, power failure and power return. Otherwise multiple power off and on may lead to unintended tilt angles more than allowed.

4. Safety categories

Safety related parts in electrical synchronisation devices shall be in accordance with EN 954-1:1996 category 2.

Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC

Page	1/1	of CNB/M/08	007/R/F	Rev	03
ayu	1/1		.001/10/	1.00	00

MACHINERY 0, NOTIFIED BOTIS	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/08.007 Revision 03 Language: E
Date of first stage: 25/10/19	996	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG8 Vehicles servic	sing lifts	☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee	12/04/2010 17/04/1996
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 08/06/1998
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: pr EN 1493 N12	Other:
Annex:	ESR (1):	Clause: 5.6.6, 5.6.2.1	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned: TC 98 WG 2	
Key words: Horizontal Forc	es		
Question: Loading system for motor b	ike lifts.		
This force is not applicable reduced, taking into accour	of 1000 N from manipulation on vehicles is requir on motor bikes (self weight between 800 N and a the nominal load of the lift. ne horizontal forces on motor bike lifts 10% of the	4200 N) without pushing the bikes f	rom the lift and should be
Adaptation proc DIRECTIVE 2006	cedure: FORMAL ADAPTATI 6/42/EC	ON IN CONFORMITY	WITH

Pane	1/1	of CNB/M	1/08	008/R		Rev	03
aye	1/1	UI UIND/I	vi/00.v	000/11	/L I	101	00

MACHINERY ⁰ , ¹ u _{07/FIED} ⁸⁰	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/08.008 Revision 03 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 25/10/19	996	To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG8 Vehicles servic	ing lifts	 Vertical Group Horizontal Committee 	12/04/2010 17/04/1996		
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 08/06/1998		
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: pr EN 1493 N12	Other:		
Annex:	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:		
		CEN TC concerned: TC 98 WG 2			
Key words: Auxiliary Lifting	Systems				
Key words: Auxiliary Lifting Systems Question: Safety requirements for auxiliary lifting systems installed on vehicle lifts: Are safety devices for preventing desynchronisation of lifting and lowering, inadvertent lowering in case of a failure in the lifting system also required for these systems? Solution: For auxiliary lifting systems on vehicle lifts the same safety devices are required as necessary for the vehicle tilts. The reason for that are hazards to be taken into consideration from positioning the head and arms by manipulations in upper position of the lift lifting vehicles without wheels in case of using auxiliary lifts. 					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/08.011/R/E Rev 03

MACHINERY 0, NOTIFIED FOR	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTI Machinery Directive 2006/42/E RECOMMENDATION	EC + Amendment	CNB/M/08.011 Revision 03 Language: E
Date of first stage: 25/10/19	996	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG8 Vehicles servic	ing lifts	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	12/04/2010 17/04/1996
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 08/06/1998
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: pr EN 1493 N12	Other:
Annex:	ESR (1):	Clause: 3.1	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned: TC 98 WG 2	
Key words: Short stroke lifts	s - Definition		
Question: How is the lifting height def	ined?		
Solution: The lifting height is defined	by the standing area of the user and the position	n of the lift related to the user (see e	xamples below).
Adaptation proc DIRECTIVE 2006	edure: FORMAL ADAPTATIO 5/42/EC	ON IN CONFORMITY	WITH

Page 1	1/1	of C	NB/N	1/08.	.015	/R/E	Rev	03
age .	., .	0.0		., 00.				~~

MACHINERY 0, 10, 11, FIED BOIL	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/08.015 Revision 03 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 13/11/20	000	To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG8 Vehicles servic	sing lifts	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	12/04/2010 11/12/2003 Endorsed on:		
		Machinery Working Group	01/07/2004		
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 1493:1998	Other:		
Annex:	ESR (1):	Clause: 5.16.3	Other clause:		
		CEN TC concerned: TC 98 WG 2			
Key words: Rails, foot prote	ectors, protection against pinching points				
Question: How shall foot protectors to	Question: How shall foot protectors to be designed?				
Solution:					
Solution: The design shall take into account that a person may step on it in the ground position, without loosing its safety function. It does not to be designed to withstand an obstruction when lowering.					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/08.016 Revision 03 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 06/05/20	002	To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG8 Vehicles servic	cing lifts	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	12/04/2010 11/12/2003		
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 01/07/2004		
Question related to: Direction	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 1493:1998	Other:		
Annex:	ESR (1):	Clause: 5.6.4.2	Other clause:		
		CEN TC concerned: TC 98 WG 2			
Key words: Chassis suppor	rting vehicle lift for road vehicles, load distributior				
vehicle direction) when liftir	distribution plates and impose restriction on posing?				
Solution:					
NO.					
The calculations for a chassis supporting vehicle lift shall be carried out in the most unfavourable configuration, in order to meet the essential health and safety requirements of the Machinery Directive. For structural design purposes vehicle positioning on load carrying devices shall be considered in both directions. Restriction on the vehicle direction given in load distribution plates and in the instructions of the lifts for normal road vehicles do not meet the principles of safety integration of Machinery Directive. Restrictions may only be allowed for special vehicle lifts (e.g. for fork lift trucks, dumpers, rail bound vehicles etc. according to the clause 5.6.4.3 of EN 1493 : 1998+A1).					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

☑ I ☑ M Question related to: Directive 2006/42/EC Article: Annex: I ESR (1): 1.1.2. Clause CEN T	BODIES Amendment JSE	CNB/M/08.018 Revision 05 Language: E		
Origin: VG8 Vehicles servicing lifts ☑ V ☑ H ☑ M Question related to: Directive 2006/42/EC Article: Annex: I ESR (1): 1.1.2. Clause CEN T	To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Question related to: Directive 2006/42/EC Article: EN/pri Annex: I ESR (1): 1.1.2. Clause CEN T CEN T	Vertical Group Horizontal Committee	25/04/2013 26/06/2013		
Annex: I ESR (1): 1.1.2. Clause CEN T	To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 22/11/2013		
CEN 1	orEN: EN 1493:2010	Other:		
	se: 5.7.4.3. a) and b)	Other clause:		
Key words: Load distribution on two post lifts with load-bearing arms	TC concerned: CEN TC 98			
Key words: Load distribution on two post lifts with load-bearing arms Question: Is it necessary for two post lifts, where both arms of one column could swing in the same direction, to consider this position for the stability and strengths calculation? Has the manufacture take into account such a manner of use as normal use ore as foreseeable misuse in accordance with the machinery directive section 1.1.2. annex 1. Situation: The standard requires that the long arms must be in the maximum telescoped position with a width of 1 m of the pick-up points. The short arms should be "in the position which gives the worst condition". Normally, vehicles are raised so that the center of gravity is close to the connecting line between the two lifting columns. But there are many vehicle servicing lifts where it is possible to raise a vehicle with all four arms pivoted in the same direction (see figure 1). Especially at asymmetric two post lifts or lifts with double swing arms, it is possible, to reach such a position and to lift vehicles.				

(1) Essential safety requirement

Page 2/2 of CNB/M/08.018/R/E Rev 05

Do to the position centre of gravity of the load the bending moment is significantly larger than during pick up a vehicle in a central position where the arms of the post are pivoted in different directions. Due to the very different design of the mounting points of the various vehicles and the differences in design of the lifts, it is very difficult to assess which vehicles can be lifted in detail. The practice shows, that especially smaller cars can be lifted in such a position.

Solution:

The answer to both questions is yes. Since it is possible to lift cars in this position and the standard requires in 5.7.4.3 a) and b):

"On vehicle lifts with carrying arms the rated load shall be distributed on the four corners of a rectangle with the dimensions of 100 cm (width) with the maximum load at the maximum length of the longest arm and the short arm in the position which gives the worst condition."

The manufacturer has to consider this position in the safety design of its vehicle lift.

VG 8 sees two basic approaches:

- prevention of lifting in such a position (for example, by limiting the swiveling range of the arms, a safety device prevents a lifting movement in this position or a load moment limiting device)
- sufficient stability and attachment of the vehicle lift, so that the rated load can be lifted safely also in this position

Calculation - permissible stresses

The normal values of permissible stresses are given in Annex A of EN 1493:2010. A safety factor of 1,5 must be achieved. In view of the situation, that in this position usually only smaller vehicles can be lifted, which do not reach the rated load of the lift, it is acceptable in that case to reduce the safety factors for the calculation of stability and strength.

Under the most unfavorable loading conditions - all four arms on one side of the lift, long arms in maximum ejection position, pick up points in wheel track direction 1m distance, pick up points in wheelbase direction 1m distance, rated load according section 5.7.4.3 a) and b) at least a minimum safety factor of 1,2 is acceptable. The vehicle lift has to be sufficiently strong and stable during movement of the load. In that case an additional warning label on the lift and a appropriate note in the user manual shall include the prohibition of the use in this position

In the position distance in wheelbase direction 1,4m (normative rectangle) a safety factor of 1,5 must be kept.

If the use of the lift in this way (four arms in one direction) is approved by the manufacturer, a reduction of lift capacity in this position by labeling is not allowed.

MACHINERY 0, NOTIFIED BOIL	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/09.206 Revision 04 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 02/04/20	003	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG9 Lifting persons	s device (LPD)	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	13/04/2010 11/12/2003 Endorsed on:	
		Machinery Working Group	14/03/2007	
Question related to: Direction	ve 2006/42/EC Article: 12 (3)	EN/prEN:	Other:	
Annex: IX	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned:		
Key words: Lifting Persons	Device (LPD), Suspended Access Equipment, r	nodular construction, certification		
conditions of use are clearl	ertify the modules of a Suspended Access Equip y laid down?	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Solution: NO "Temporary Suspended Platforms" designed on a modular basis in order to allow actual installations to be easily configured according to the needs on site can only be certified as a complete machine. It's up to the negotiation between the applicant and the NB to define which configuration of the machine represents in the best way all possibilities and which is then subject of the type examination procedure. The manufacturers instructions, the examination of which is part of the EC type-examination, must contain in detail descriptions which modules can be combined and how that has to be done to allow different configurations. A positive passing of the EC type-examination then leads to <u>one</u> certificate of the tested configuration including all possible combinations, described in the instructions. A modification of a module/component or the addition of a new one requires information from the manufacturer to the NB having issued the certificate and which has to decide, whether this modification needs renewal of the certificate or not. The idea, to regard all modules/components as interchangeable equipment and certify them independently, was not taken as an appropriate method of certification for these wishes of manufacturers to be more flexible.				
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC				

MACHINERY 0, 10, 11, FIED 8001	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/09.207 Revision 10 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 17/07/1	998	To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG9 Lifting persons device (LPD)		 ✓ Vertical Group ✓ Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: ✓ Machinery Working Group 	13/04/2010 26/11/2009 Endorsed on: 26/05/2010		
Question related to: Directi	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:		
Annex: IV	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:		
		CEN TC concerned:			
Key words: Type-examinat	ion				
"The Council and the Com	Solution: In the minutes of the 167 1st meeting of the Council (internal market) held on 1993-06-14 it is stated: "The Council and the Commission agree that the type examination of a device for the lifting of persons shall be limited to the lifting device itself and not to the complete machine which includes the lifting device."				
 VG9 understands this statement as follows: In the case of interchangeable equipment the handling is explained in the Commission document: "Interchangeable equipment for lifting persons and equipment used with machinery designed for lifting goods for the purpose of lifting persons" available on the EUROPA website: http://ec.europa.eu/enterprise/sectors/mechanical/documents/guidance/machinery/index_en.htm In case of an integral part of a machine, besides the examination and tests of the lifting appliance itself the EC type-examination has to include also those functions, components or aspects of the whole machine, the operation or malfunction of which affect the safety of lifted persons. 					

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOT Machinery Directive 2006/42/I RECOMMENDATION	EC + Amendment	CNB/M/09.209 Revision 04 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 02/04/20	003	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG9 Lifting persons	device (LPD)	Image: Second Se	13/04/2010 11/12/2003	
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 01/07/2004	
Question related to: Directive	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:	
Annex: VI	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned:		
Key words: EC type-examir	nation, work platform, loader crane			
Question: What is the scop	e of a EC type-examination of a work platform in	stalled on the boom of a loader cra	ne on a vehicle?	
Solution: In this case the notified body shall check conformity <u>of the entire device</u> for lifting persons constituted by the work platform, the loader crane and the supporting chassis with the Essential Health and Safety Requirements (EHSRs) of the directive 2006/42/EC (in particular: resistance, stability, control of the placing of the stabilisers). If the platform is designed for use on several models of cranes the EC type-examination certificate shall list the models concerned. The certificate shall also state the models of supporting chassis on which the conformity of the Lifting Persons device has been checked.				
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC				

Page 1/1	of CNB/M/09.3	305/R/F	Rev 06
i ago i/ i	01 01 01 00.0		100 00

MACHINERY 0, HOJIFIED BOIL	CO-ORDINATION OF NOT Machinery Directive 2006/42/ RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/09.305 Revision 06 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 06/03/19	998	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG9 Lifting persons	device (LPD)	☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee	13/04/2010 11/06/1998
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 09/04/2001
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:EN 280:2001+A2:2008	Other:
Annex: I	ESR (1): 6.3.2	Clause: 5.6.1	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: Mobile Elevated	d Workplatform (MWEP), levelling system		
cause a platform level or m	t in a master-slave levelling system and in an ind	- · · ·	
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC			

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1	of CNB/M/09.306/	R/F Rev 05
I aye I/I	01 01 01 01 00 .000/	

MACHINERY ⁰ , ¹⁰ 7/FIED B ⁰	CO-ORDINATION OF NOT Machinery Directive 2006/42/I RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/09.306 Revision 05 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 06/03/19	998	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG9 Lifting persons	device (LPD)	 ✓ Vertical Group ✓ Horizontal Committee 	11/06/1998
		To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 09/04/2001
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:EN 280:2001+A2:2008	Other:
Annex: I	ESR (1): 6.3.2	Clause: 5.6.1	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: Mobile Elevated	d Workplatform (MWEP), levelling system		
	ydraulic levelling system (master - slave principle extending structure in case of hose failure of the		
Solution: No. Levelling systems using case of hose failure and loc	g the master - slave principle and being equipped	l with lock valves do not cause an u	inintended movement in
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC			

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1	/1 of (CNB/M/09	9.307/R	/E Rev	04

MACHINERY 9. 107/FIED BOIL	CO-ORDINATION OF NOT Machinery Directive 2006/42/I RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/09.307 Revision 04 Language: E				
Date of first stage: 28/04/19	999	To be approved by:	Approved on:			
Origin: VG9 Lifting persons	device (LPD)	 Vertical Group Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	13/04/2010 24/05/2000 Endorsed on:			
Question related to Directi	10 2000 / 42/EC Articles	Machinery Working Group	09/04/2001			
Question related to: Directiv		EN/prEN:	Other:			
Annex: I	ESR (1): 6.3.1	Clause:	Other clause:			
		CEN TC concerned:				
Key words: Lifting Persons	Device, safety gear					
Question. Do mang persons	s device with positive driving units need safety ge					
Solution: It is a general rule, that uncontrolled movements of the load carrying unit of LPD due to wear or failure in the driving unit need to be avoided. Appropriate means are overspeed governed safety gears, rupture valves, lock valves, redundant drive units, safety nuts etc. Standards for LPD address these means. Design of a driving unit taking into account factors to increase the loads and forces to be taken by them is not regarded as appropriate measure against uncontrolled movement.						
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC						

Page	1/1	of (CNR/	/M/09	309/	R/F	Rev	04
ugo	1/1	01.0		101/00	.0000/		1.01	0-1

MACHINERY ⁰ , ¹ ⁰ , ¹ ¹ ⁰ , ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOT Machinery Directive 2006/42/ RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/09.309 Revision 04 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 28/04/19	999	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG9 Lifting persons	device (LPD)	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	13/04/2010 24/05/2000 Endorsed on:
		Machinery Working Group	09/04/2001
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:EN 280:2001+A2:2008	Other:
Annex: I, IV	ESR (1): 1.1.2, 1.6.2, 6.3.2	Clause: 5.6.3	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: Mobile Elevated	d Work Platform, MEWP, access, movable guar	d, abnormal use	
Question: Is it acceptable to access to work platforms ?	o use manually liftable bars returning into the sa	feguarding position by gravity as me	ans as protection at the
	e fixing in the open position of protection means be prevented by construction.	at the access to work platforms nee	ds not to be regarded as
Adaptation proc DIRECTIVE 2006	cedure: FORMAL ADAPTATI 6/42/EC	ON IN CONFORMITY	WITH

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY 0, NoTIFIED 80012	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE			CNB/M/09.310 Revision 05 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 28/04/19	999		To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG9 Lifting persons	device (LPD)	<u>ک</u>	1 Horizontal Committee	13/04/2010 24/05/2000	
			To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 09/04/2001	
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	E	N/prEN:	Other:	
Annex: I	ESR (1): 4.1.2.4,	, 6.1.2 C	lause:	Other clause:	
		С	EN TC concerned:		
Key words: Man rider winch	es, one rope suspension	I			
	Question: Is it acceptable to use one-rope suspension in person lifting device?				
 Solution: At silo access equipment and man rider winches doubled suspension elements create hazards which are not acceptable, e. g. twisting, entanglement, etc. Therefore on these equipment one-rope suspension is acceptable provided steel wire ropes with at least 10mm diameter are used in order to have a certain resistance against mechanical damage, the factor of utilisation is at least 10, the design of the rope drive is in accordance with prEN 280:1998, Annex C, with the load collective "heavy", there are protective means preventing derailing of the rope from the drum or any pulley, the winding up on the drum is governed by a spooling device, there is a slack-rope device the rope is suitably protected against corrosion and other environmental influences and the instructions for use are clearly stating the need of periodical inspections of the device the need of inspection of the rope before starting work where the winch was not used for a longer period of time taking into account the provisions laid down in the EU-Directive 2009/104/EC and environmental conditions and criteria for the replacement of the rope. These provisions do not cover all aspects of these kind of LPD. Other aspects have to be subject of a risk assessment in accordance with the Machinery Directive. 					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

Stenn CO-ORDINA		INATION OF NOT	FIED BODIES	CNB/M/09.401		
MACHINERY		Directive 2006/42/I		Revision 08 Language: E		
NOTIFIED BODIES		COMMENDATION				
Date of first stage: 02/04/20	003		To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG9 Lifting persons	device (LPD)		 Vertical Group Horizontal Committee 	13/04/2010 11/12/2003		
			To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 01/07/2004		
Question related to: Directi	ve 2006/42/EC Article:		EN/prEN:EN 280:2001+A2:2008	Other:		
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.	.2.4	Clause: 5.7.5	Other clause:		
			CEN TC concerned: TC 98 WG 1			
Key words: MEWP, control	devices, emergency stop, ove	erride				
Question: Is it allowed that a MEWP is equipped with a control at the base or ground level, which functions as an override for the emergency stop control situated on the work platform for the reason of rescuing of injured or incapacitated operators? Solution: CEN/TC 98/WG 1 has studied the situation in its meeting 05.96. It was felt, that the trapping of a person in the work platform can happen						
unpleasant or awkward situ panel cannot be seen. The	ation but not a direct risk to th standard EN 280:2001+A2:20	ne persons. Therefo 008 states in its fore	emergency control device, etc. The ore a need to override the emergence eword that it is assumed that person ration of the overriding emergency of	cy stop device at the control ns on the work platform in		
Nevertheless there may be situations where the operator is incapacitated and the platform emergency stop pressed. In this situation the overriding emergency device may be too slow to recover the operator from the ground especially for high MEWPs. Therefore the need of an overriding cannot be ignored. Any overriding of the emergency stop control at the work platform of a MEWP shall require a deliberate action on a device being a safety device, independent from the selection control device and protected against unauthorised use.						
Emergency stop overriding shall not be possible on MEWPs which are equipped with a mode selection device acc. to Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC Annex I section 1.2.5 to bypass safety functions.						
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC						

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/09.501/R/E Rev 05

MACHINERY 9, 107/FIED BODY	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/09.501 Revision 05 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 28/04/19	999	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG9 Lifting persons	device (LPD)	 Vertical Group Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group 	13/04/2010 24/05/2000 Endorsed on: 09/04/2001	
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:	
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.5.10, 1.5.11	Clause:	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned:		
Key words: Radiation, EC-t	ype examination, EMC directive			
Solution: The provisions of the EMC-Directive do not cover all aspects of radiation addressed in 1.5.10 and 1.5.11. Especially regarding immunity of controls of LPD the following aspects need to be taken into consideration during type-examination: 1. Light barriers shall not be influenced by light from the environment (sun, artificial light), 2. UV-radiation has influence on components made of plastic, 3. Laser beams can be dangerous for persons in the environment of the machine, 4. Sensors used as warning devices related to distances may be made inoperable, 5. Radio controls used in the environment may cause uncontrolled movements, 6. Ionised radiation may occur in case of fire, 7. Intended radiation like from mobile phones may cause malfunctions.				
see also data sheet CNB/M/00.502 Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC				

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/11.017/R/E Rev 05

MACHINERY 0, NOTIFIED & ON	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/11.017 Revision 05 Language: E
Date of first stage: 10/04/19	997	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG11 Safety compo	nents	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	25/10/2010 11/06/1998 Endorsed on:
		Machinery Working Group	09/04/2001
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: IX	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: EC type-examin	nation, pre-standards		
Question: Should in case of EC type-examination European pre-standards (prEN) be used rather than national standards?			
	dards should be used if they represent much mo procedure is accepted by the manufacturer.	ore the state of the art.	
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC			

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY ⁰ , ¹ _{07/FIED} ⁸ 0 ¹¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOT Machinery Directive 2006/42/ RECOMMENDATION	/EC + Amendment	CNB/M/11.027 Revision 08 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 10/04/1	997	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG11 Safety compo	onents	 ✓ Vertical Group ✓ Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	25/10/2010 14/12/2010 Endorsed on:	
		Machinery Working Group	23/05/2011	
Question related to: Directi	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 574:1996	Other:	
Annex: IV-21	ESR (1):	Clause: 5.7.1.	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned: TC 114		
Key words: two-hand contr	ol devices, synchronous actuation	I		
For type III two-hand control devices, EN 574 requires synchronous actuation of both buttons in order to prevent defeating. This means that both buttons have to be actuated within a defined time range not larger than 0.5 sec. EN 574 allows time ranges smaller than 0.5 sec, but if the time range is too short, the operator has to concentrate highly on the synchronous actuation of the two buttons. From ergonomic aspects, this is bad. What is the minimum value of the time range? Solution: The requirement given in the Machinery Directive, Annex I, 1.1.6. "Under the intended conditions of use, the discomfort, fatigue and physical and psychological stress faced by the operator must be reduced to the minimum possible, taking into account ergonomic principles" has to be observed. The Technical Committee responsible for EN 574 will be asked to specify a minimum value for the time range. In the meantime, for ergonomic reasons, a minimum value of 0.25 sec should be used.				

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOT Machinery Directive 2006/42/ RECOMMENDATION	EC + Amendment	CNB/M/11.031 Revision 09 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 01/11/20	001	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG11 Safety compo	onents	☑ Vertical Group		
		Horizontal Committee	14/12/2010	
		To be endorsed by:	Endorsed on:	
		Machinery Working Group	23/05/2011	
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 61496-1/A2/Ed. 2/ CDV:2010	Other:	
Annex: IV-19	ESR (1):	Clause: 4.2.2.3.	Other clause:	
		CENELEC TC concerned: TC 44>	<	
Key words: ESPE Type 2 w	vith PLC as means of periodic test			
Key words: ESPE Type 2 with PLC as means of periodic test Question: A Type 2 ESPE (Electro-Sensitive Protective Equipment) consists of an assembly of a sensing device, a controlling/monitoring device and one or more Output Signal Switching Device(s) (OSSDs), which shall perform a test to reveal a failure to danger at power-on of the ESPE before going to the ON-state and a teach reset as a minimum. This assembly can be implemented in one device, they can also be separated in two devices. In the latter case the testing and monitoring functionality can be performed in a non-safety-related PLC by software while the ESPE safety function is processed independently of the non-safety-related PLC. For the sensing device in combination with the controlling/monitoring device and the OSSD(s) an EC type-examination certificate can be issued. Is it permissible to issue an EC type-examination certificate for a sensing device intended to be combined with any customary non-safety- related PLC as a safety component according to Annex IV, 19 (Type 2 ESPE)? Solution: Yes, the periodic tests of the safety function during operation may be implemented in a non-safety-related PLC, if the following requirements are met: the testing is dynamic i.e. both high and low states are checked during the testing; the software is as a known module protected from manipulation by the end user; the standard PLC meets the environmental requirements of EN 61496-11 for a Type 2 ESPE; and the instructions describe in detail: - the different elements which constitute the ESPE; - how the sensing device has to be connected with the PLC; and - thow the sensing device has to be connected with the PLC; and - how the fixed software module has to be implemented in the user program An EC type-examination shall be carried out on this safety component consisting of the sensing device with an OSSD(s), the fixed software module, and a designated PLC with a Secondary Switching Device (SD). The owner of the certificate i				
(1) Essential safety require	ment			

OTION CO-OROMANIO MACHINERY O, NOTIFIED BOTIS	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/11.032 Revision 05 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 24/09/20	002	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG11 Safety components		☑ Vertical Group	25/10/2010	
		Horizontal Committee	03/03/2004	
		To be endorsed by:	Endorsed on:	
		Machinery Working Group	24/12/2004	
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 61496-1:2004 + A1:2008	Other:	
Annex: IV-19	ESR (1):	Clause: 4.2.5, A 5.4, A 6.4, A 7.4	Other clause:	
		CENELEC TC concerned: TC 44>	ζ	
Key words: Arrangement of	visual indicators			
Key words: Arrangement of visual indicators Question: EN 61496-1:2004+A1:2008 demands that ESPE (a) have visual indicators for the OSSD (b) status (red/green) and for the start/restart interlock status (yellow). There is no specification about the location where these visual indicators are to be arranged Where shall these visual indicators be arranged? Abbreviations: (a) ESPE: Electro-sensitive protective Equipment (b) OSSD: Output Switching Signal Device Solution: All visual indicators shall provide sufficient information for the machine operator. For this reason the visual indicators for start / restart condi iton, mute status and blanking shall be arranged in such a way t hat they are readily visible from any position of the operator during normal operation of the machine for which the ESPE (a) is intended as a safeguard. Indicators for the actuation of the sensing device and output status of the OSSDs (b) are intended for installation and mainten ance and for that reason do not need to be visible from all positions by the operator. (a) ESPE: Electro-sensitive protective Equipment (b) OSSDs: Output Switching Signal Devices				
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC				

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

		0		
MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTI Machinery Directive 2006/42/E RECOMMENDATION	EC + Amendment	CNB/M/11.033 Revision 06 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 23/09/20	03	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG11 Safety compo	nents	 Vertical Group Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	25/10/2010 09/12/2004 Endorsed on: 24/05/2005	
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	Machinery Working Group	Other:	
		EN/prEN: RN 574:1996		
Annex: IV-21	ESR (1):	Clause: 6.4.3.	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned: TC 114		
Key words: Two-hand contr	ol device, termination of one or both input signal	(s) in case of a fault occurring		
Question:				
Does a two-hand control ful only by termination of both i	fil the requirements of EN 574:1996, clause 6.4. input signals?	3 if, in case of a fault occurring, the	output signal is ceased	
Solution: No! If a fault occurs in a type III C two-hand control device (e.g. in the right-hand push-button), then the output signal shall cease both when any input signal is terminated (e.g. by releasing the right hand) and when both of the input signals are terminated. Note: It is state of the art for this application that mechanical faults of push buttons are excluded when the push-buttons are in accordance with EN 60947-5-1:2009.				
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC				

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.
MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment		CNB/M/11.035 Revision 08 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 24/09/20	002	To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG11 Safety compo	onents	 Vertical Group Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Crown 	25/10/2010 14/12/2010 Endorsed on: 23/05/2011		
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	 Machinery Working Group EN/prEN: EN 61496:2004 + A1:2008 	Other:		
Annex: IV-19	ESR (1):	Clause: A.7	Other clause:		
		CEN TC concerned:			
Key words: Indication of a muted ESPE, colour of the mute indicator(s) of an ESPE Question: EN 61496-1, Annex A.7 (Muting) requires an indication of the muted state of an ESPE (Electro-Sensitive Protective Equipment), but does not specify a colour. What colour should be used? Note 1: In the old prEN 50100-1 (clause 4.2.4) the colour of the indication of the muted condition of the ESPE was required to be white. Table 2 of EN 61310-1 requires yellow for warnings, but yellow could conflict with the indication of the start or restart interlock. According to ANSI B11.19 an amber light is recommended to be used to indicate that the safeguard is muted or bypassed. Solution: Both colours yellow or white may be used if there is no conflict with other indicators e.g. interlock. Note 2: EN 61496-1:2004-A1:2008, 4.2.5 requires: When there are two or more indicators of the same colour the function of each indicator shall be unambiguously marked.					
(1) Essential safety require	ment				

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/2 of CNB/M/11.036/R/E Rev 07

MACHINERY ⁰ , ¹ 07/FIED 80 ¹¹²	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTI Machinery Directive 2006/42/E RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/11.036 Revision 07 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 28/09/2	004	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG11 Saftey compo	onents	☑ Vertical Group☑ Horizontal Committee	25/10/2010 14/12/2010
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 23/05/2011
Question related to: Directi	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: IV-19	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: laser scanner,	industrial truck		
prevent such accidents, las What are the conditions for Solution: Laser scanners (AOPDDR As a minimum the addition where:	persons may be injured by an industrial truck in ca ber scanners are used to detect persons and initia r laser scanners to be used in this application? (a) intended to be used for such applications shall s and modifications listed below are to be observe is is generally allowed; and is is forbidden at the time the industrial truck is op contains general requirements and specific requi	ate a stop of the industrial truck. fulfil the requirements of EN 61496 ed. It is necessary to distinguish be	i-1 and CLC/TS 61496-3. tween those applications

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

1. General requirements

1.1 Detection zone dimensions

a) The length of the detection zone shall be calculated taking into account the maximum speed of the industrial truck, the response times of the protective equipment, the machine control etc. and the maximum braking distance. An addition of 10 % as a minimum should be made to consider a decrease of the brakes.

b) The width of the detection zone shall be such to enable the detection of the test piece defined in 1.2. It has to be taken into account that the tracking of an industrial truck always will have tolerances. For example, a tracking tolerance of 15 mm can lead to a change of the detection zones outer corner position in operation of some 10 mm. Without any user advice this can lead to problems concerning safety in terms of a decreased or not existing detection capability and on the other hand to an unacceptable low reliability in operation.

1.2 Test piece dimension

The test piece used for analysis and test shall be cylindrical with dimensions as indicated in figure 1. In most cases the detection capability will be affected by a test piece with minimum diffuse reflectivity.

Note: CLS/TS 61496-3 defines a minimum diffuse reflectivity of 1.8 % in the range of wavelength that is within the scope.

1.3 Detection capability

The detection of the test piece within the detection zone shall be guaranteed by test according to CLS/TS 61496-3. At the left and right outer border line of the detection zone the test piece shall be detected when placed with its centre in a distances of 125 mm from an empty rack. The maximum tracking tolerance as defined by the manufacturer of the protective device shall be taken into account.

1.4 Start interlock and restart interlock

Start interlock and restart interlock are required in operation when it is not guaranteed that a person is detected at any position in front of an industrial truck.

1.5 Accompanying documents

The accompanying documents shall inform the user on how to calculate the dimensions of the detection zone by example. The width of the detection zone is required to be given as a distance from the empty rack. The maximum tracking tolerance of the industrial truck together with other limiting information shall be given.

2. Application where access is allowed

2.1 Type

Laser scanners intended to be used for this application shall fulfil the requirements for type 3 as defined in CLS/TS 61496-3.

2.2 Mounting

The mounting height of a laser scanner shall be as such as to enable the detection of the test piece defined in 1.2 and in addition of a person lying on the floor. To simulate this within a test, a second test piece with a diameter of 200 mm and a length of 1.000 mm shall be used.

3. Application where access is forbidden

3.1 Type

Laser scanners intended to be used for this application shall fulfil the requirements for type 3 as defined in CLS/TS 61496-3. Alternatively the fault detection requirements fulfilled by a type 2 device according to EN 61496-1 are sufficient due to the lower risk compared to the application where access is allowed.

3.2 Mounting

The mounting height of a laser scanner shall be such as to enable the detection of the test piece defined in 1.2.

3.3 Extra regulation

If the requirement to detect the test piece at the left and right outer border line of the detection zone given in 1.3 cannot be fulfilled taking into account the tracking tolerance of the industrial truck, the following extra regulation for application where access is forbidden can be applied. a) At the left and right outer border line of the detection zone the test piece shall be detected when placed with its centre in a distance of 125 mm from an empty rack. The tracking tolerance is not taken into account.

b) The test piece position is varied from its original position (centre 125 mm from empty rack). For every 10 mm additional distance the length of the detection zone shall be increased by 200 mm.

c) The maximum distance between the test piece centre and the empty rack is limited to 200 mm which leads to an increase of the detection zone of 1.500 mm.



Figure 1: Test piece dimensions

Page	1/1	of CN	NB/M/1	1.042	/R/E	Rev	04

MACHINERY 0, 10, 11, FIED BOIL	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/11.042 Revision 04 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 27/09/20	005	To be approved by:	Approved on:		
Origin: VG11 Safety compo	nents	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	25/10/2010 21/11/2005 Endorsed on:		
		Machinery Working Group	20/04/2006		
Question related to: Directive	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 574-1:1996	Other:		
Annex: IV-19	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:		
		CEN TC concerned:			
Key words: Two-hand contr	ol device, non-mechanical actuating devices				
Question: Does EN 574: 1996 allow the use of non-mechanical actuating devices? If yes what are the requirements?					
Solution: Yes. According to EN 574: 1996 clause 8.7 non-mechanical actuating devices are allowed. EN 574: 1996 has to be fulfilled. Especially clause 8.7 requires that accidental actuation has to be prevented for non-mechanically actuated devices by setting sensitivity levels which will only allow deliberate actuation.					
Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC					

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

	Page 1/2	of CNB/M/11	.045/R/E	Rev	06
--	----------	-------------	----------	-----	----

MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment		CNB/M/11.045 Revision 06 Language: E
Date of first stage: 25/10/20	010	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG11 Safety compo	onents	 Vertical Group Horizontal Committee 	13/12/2011
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 04/07/2012
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: IV-21	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: Logic units to e	nsure safety functions		
Question: What are logic units to ensu	ure safety functions according to Annex IV, 21?		
input signals and generate, The logic can be e. g. - hard wired; - programmable; and/or - configurable. A list of logic units example to ensure safety functions a	y functions are subsystems which perform safety by a given algorithm, corresponding output signs is given as an annex to this RfU. This annex in according to Annex IV, 21.	als. cludes a second list of devices cons	sidered not to be a logic unit

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Logic units to ensure safety functions according to Annex IV, 21 include e.g.:

- Proximity devices for safety functions (for example PDF-X according to EN 60947-5-3);
- Interlocking devices with electromagnetic guard locking (e.g. locking by magnetic force as opposed to locking with a bolt) for safety functions according to EN ISO 14119 (for protection of persons);
 Note: EN ISO 14119 is currently under preparation.
- Trapped-key interlocking systems for safety functions, where the algorithm is included in the system;
- Protective devices for indirect detection of the presence of persons, for example by the use of RFID technology;
- Protective devices for the detection and deactivation of possible hazards (not a warning system only), such as the detection of laser radiation;
- Safety control units, for example for the monitoring of speed, vibration, torque, temperature, pressure, force, guards, emergency stop devices, two-hand control devices, enabling devices, rotary encoders, length measuring devices, braking control units;
- Safety PLCs;
- Power Drive Systems (for example PDS(SR) according to EN 61800-5-2) with one or more integrated safety functions (e.g. STO, SS1, SS2, SLS, SBC), e.g. frequency inverters, servo converters;
- Time delay devices for safety functions;
- Devices for the logical processing of safety-related signals of safety bus systems; excluding devices/components to be applied in "black channels" according to EN 61784-3 (black channel: communication channel without available evidence of design or validation according to IEC 61508);
- Banks of valves with self-contained logic combination of safety relevant signals, for example a safety valve block for presses;

All devices are intended to be applied in performing a safety function(s). The manufacturer must give at least one of the following product characteristics: Performance Level (PL) or Safety Integrity Level (SIL).

The following devices are considered not to be a logic unit to ensure safety functions according to Annex IV, 21 because they do not perform logic operations for the control of a safety function(s):

- Position switches with direct opening action according to EN 60947-5-1, Annex K;
- Interlocking devices with mechanical guard locking according to EN 1088 (for protection of persons); Note: EN 1088 will be replaced by EN ISO 14119
- Emergency stop devices;
- Enabling switches (e.g. three-position enabling switches);
- Relays/contactor relays with mechanically linked contacts;
- Contactors with mirror contacts;
- Contact expansion modules; enhancement to safety switchgear;
- Devices for under-voltage release for supply disconnecting devices (main switches), intended for use in safety functions (for example to prevent restarting following power restoration);
- Brake assemblies;
- Valves with additional means for failure detection intended for the control of dangerous movements on machinery;
- Equipment for protection against overpressure, e.g. pressure valves;
- Equipment for stopping of movement (e. g. resettable check valves);
- Safety clamps for piston rods of hydraulic or pneumatic cylinders.

The following devices are considered not to be a logic unit to ensure safety functions according to Annex IV, 21 because they are listed in Annex IV, 19:

• Protective devices designed to detect the presence of persons, e.g. electro-sensitive protective equipment (light curtains, laser scanners, vision systems, ultrasonic devices) and pressure-sensitive protective devices (mats, edges, bumpers etc.)

Page 1/	1 of CNB/M/	11.047/R/E	Rev 03

MACHINERY 0, NO7/FIED BOIL	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/11.047 Revision 03 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 11/05/20	010		To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG11 Safety compo	nents	<u>م</u>		11/05/2010 15/06/2010
			To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 30/12/2010
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:		N/prEN: EN ISO 13849-1 / EN 2061	Other:
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.2.1	C	Clause:	Other clause:
		C	EN TC concerned:	
Key words: Using parts with	wear-out in safety components			
Question: How do parts with wear-out such as relays have to be taken into account when estimating the PFH _d (a) of a safety component? Abbreviation: (a) PFH _d : Probability of dangerous Failure per Hour				
Solution: The PL or SIL of a safety component depends on the PFH _d (a). It is not sufficient however to specify PFH _d (a) as the sole safety parameter without stating the conditions under which this value is valid. Standards such as EN ISO 13849-1 or EN 62061 use the concept of B10 _d when calculating probability of failures. This concept takes into account e.g. the average number of operations per time unit and the load conditions. Note: Information on procedures to determine B10 _d values are given e.g. in EN 60947-4-1 for contactors or in IEC 61810-2-1 for electromechanical elementary relays and ISO 19973-1, -2 for pneumatic components. Typical values for B10 _d can be found in EN ISO 13849-1, Annex C. VG11 replaced the term "PFH" by "PFH _d " and added the note on 26/10/2010.				

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY ⁰ , ¹⁰ 7/FIED ⁸ 0 ¹¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTI Machinery Directive 2006/42/F RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/11.049 Revision 03 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 25/10/20	010	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG11 Safety compo	onents	 ✓ Vertical Group ✓ Horizontal Committee 	25/10/2010 14/12/2010
		To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 23/05/2011
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: IV-21	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: logic units to en	sure safety functions / Environmental conditions		
time being, there is no gene How can the test laboratory Solution: There is no general standar	r functions shall be tested in environmental condi eral standard for the detailed requirements. r determine these requirements? rd for logic units and the requirements depend hi Therefore, it is the task of the Notified Body to def	ghly on the application, the technolo	ogy used, and the expected

(1) Essential safety requirement

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/11.050/R/E Rev 05

MACHINERY ⁰ , ¹ u _{07/FIED} 80 ⁰	CO-ORDINATION OF NOT Machinery Directive 2006/42/ RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/11.050 Revision 05 Language: E		
Date of first stage: 18/10/20)11	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG11 Safety compo	onents	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	06/06/2013 26/06/2013	
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 22/11/2013	
Question related to: Directive	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:	
Annex: IV – 19, 20, 21 and	Annex I ESR (1): 1.2.1	Clause:	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned:		
Key words: Failure, electron	mechanical outputs	I		
Question: What are the minimum requirements concerning the frequency of tests for failure detection in a safety-related system with 2 channels with electromechanical outputs (relays or contactors)?				
Solution: A functional test (automatic	or manual) to detect failures shall be performed	within the following test intervals:		
	tegory 4 (according to EN ISO 13849-1) or ault tolerance) = 1 (according to EN 62061);			
b) at least every 12 months for PL d with Category 3 (according to EN ISO 13849-1) or SIL 2 with HFT (hardware fault tolerance) = 1 (according to EN 62061).				
that the control system of the	functional test is initiated by the control system on the machine reminds the user (e.g. by an appropri is also not possible, an appropriate requiremen	iate indication at the control panel)	to perform a functional test	

(1) Essential safety requirement

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1	of CNB/M/11	.051/R/E	Rev 02
	• • • • • • • • • • •		

		-	
Stan CO-ORDINATION	CO-ORDINATION OF NOT Machinery Directive 2006/42/		CNB/M/11.051 Revision 02
MACHENERY	RECOMMENDATION	FOR USE	Language: E
Date of first stage: 26/09/20	011	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG11 Safety compo	onents	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	18/10/2011 13/12/2011
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 23/04/2012
Question related to: Direction	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN ISO 13849-1:2008	Other:
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.2.1	Clause: 6.2.5.	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned: TC 114	
Key words: Category 2			
Question: EN ISO 13849-1, 6.2.5 des	cribes category 2 as follows:		
 The initiation of this check may be automatic. Any check of the safety function(s) shall either - allow operation if no faults have been detected, or - generate an output which initiates appropriate control action, if a fault is detected. Whenever possible this output shall initiate a safe state. This safe state shall be maintained until the fault is cleared. When it is not possible to initiate a safe state (e.g. welding of the contact in the final switching device) the output shall provide a warning of the hazard. According to the designated architecture, there is the output (O) and the output of the test equipment (OTE). Which of these outputs is meant and what is the correct interpretation of the last sentence? 			
	the output O shall initiate and maintain a safe st because of welding of contacts), then the output o		ate and maintain a safe
state. Depending on the risk asse	essment, it may be sufficient if the output of the te	est equipment OTF only provides a	warning

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY 0, 10, 11, FIED BOIL	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/11.052 Revision 02 Language: E
Date of first stage: 18/10/20	011	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG11 Safety compo	onents	 ✓ Vertical Group ✓ Horizontal Committee 	18.10.2011 13/12/2011
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 23/04/2012
Question related to: Direction	ve 2006/42/EC Article: 2 (c)	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex:	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: Safety compon	ents, safety functions		
Some devices (e.g. an industrial remote control) incorporate non-safety related functions and one or more safety functions. Are such devices to be considered as safety components in the sense of the Machinery Directive? Solution: Yes. As soon as a device serves to fulfil a safety function, it is considered as safety component in the sense of the Machinery Directive, provided that the other conditions according to Article 2 (c) of the Machinery Directive are met. The safety-related part has to fulfil the essential requirements of the Machinery Directive. During conformity assessment, the non-safety- related parts also have to be considered to ensure that they have no negative influence on the safety-related part.			

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/11.05	3/R/E Rev 03
1 ago 1/1 01 01 01 0.00	

MACHINERY 9, NO7/FIED BOINT	CO-ORDINATION OF NOT Machinery Directive 2006/42/I RECOMMENDATION	EC + Amendment	CNB/M/11.053 Revision 03 Language: E
Date of first stage: 10/05/2	012	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG11 Safety compo	onents	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	10/05/2012 28/06/2012 Endorsed on:
		Machinery Working Group	17/01/2013
Question related to: Directi	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN ISO 13849-1:2008	Other:
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.2.1	Clause: 5.2.2.	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned: TC 114	
Key words: Manual reset fu	inction		
change of the state of the r In some logic units to ensu button from released to pre Machinery directive? Solution: Yes. In this case, the technical f EN ISO 13849-1. The manufacturer of the log	on in logic units to ensure safety functions, EN IS eset button from pressed to released. re safety functions the manual reset function was essed, as was required in EN 954-1, subclause 5. Ide has to contain a statement that the product do gic unit has to show that the manual reset function rovided by the technical solution in the 6th indent	e designed to react to the change of 4. Do these logic units comply with es not fully comply with the 6th inde n has an appropriate Performance	the state of the reset the requirements of the ent of subclause 5.2.2 of Level.

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY 0, 10, 1, 1, 10 MOTIFIED 80	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/11.054 Revision 03 Language: E
Date of first stage: 06/06/20	013	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG11 Safety compo	onents	 Vertical Group Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group 	06/06/2013 26/06/2013 Endorsed on: 22/11/2013
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.7.4.	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: Safety compone	ents, instructions		
Question: Which parts of the instruction	ons for use have to be provided in paper form?		
Solution:			
Two levels have to be distir	nguished:		
safety component, health a form. The quick-start-guide - identification of the safety - information on connection - information on the intende - information on the reason - conditions and limitations	ed use, ably foreseeable misuse,		
2) In the case of safety con form.	nponents where such tools are not needed, healt	h and safety relevant information ha	as to be supplied in paper

(1) Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/11.056/R/E Rev 03

MACHINERY 0, 10, 11, FIED BOIL	Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment		CNB/M/11.056 Revision 03 Language: E
Date of first stage: 07/06/2	013	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG11 Safety compo	onents	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	07/06/2013 26/06/2013
		To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 22/11/2013
Question related to: Directi	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN 574:1996+A1:2008	Other:
Annex: I	ESR (1): 1.2.1.	Clause: 5.7	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned: TC 114	
Key words: Two-hand cont	rol devices, synchronous actuation, operating co	nditions	
to 0.5 s. Is it necessary that this may voltage? Solution: Yes. The maximum synchr the manufacturer.	uires in its subclause 5.7 a synchronous actuatio	ler variation of operating conditions	such as the supply

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/11.058/R/E Rev 03

Sten CO-ORDINA Sten CO-ORDINA MACHINERY O, NO NO, IFIED BOINT	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/11.058 Revision 03 Language: E
Date of first stage: 07/06/20	013	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG11 Safety compo	onents	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	07/06/2013 26/06/2013 Endorsed on:
		Machinery Working Group	22/11/2013
Question related to: Directi	ve 2006/42/EC Article: 2(c)	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex:	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: Safety compon	ent, warning device		
Solution: No. However, the device can be	e assessed according to functional safety stand	dards used for safety components.	

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACLEINERY 9, NO7/FIED 800	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/12.007 Revision 05 Language: E
Date of first stage: 28/12/1	995	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG 12 ROPS and F	OPS	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	21/11/2013 10/12/2013
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 15/04/2014
Question related to: Direct	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN ISO 3471:2008	Other:
Annex: I	ESR (1): 3.4.3.	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned: TC 151 – ISC	D 127 SC 2
Key words: DLV			
Solution:	of the DLV (deflection-limiting volume) for rollers	·	es otherwise.

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MA	N CO-ORDINA CEEINERY		CO-ORDINATION OF NOT Machinery Directive 2006/42/ RECOMMENDATION	EC + Amendment	CNB/M/12.009 Revision 0 5 Language: E
Date of f	irst stage: 07/05/19	996		To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: V	G 12 ROPS and F	OPS		☑ Vertical Group☑ Horizontal Committee	21/11/2013 10/12/2013
				To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 15/04/2014
Question	n related to: Direction	ve 2006/42/EC	Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: I			ESR (1): 3.4.3., 3.4.4.	Clause:	Other clause:
				CEN TC concerned:	
Key word	ds: Minor modificat	lion		·	
			PS can be accepted without ne		
				der to make it simpler for all involved	modifications to a tested
1)				ing, e.g. painting, trimming are not s additional information needed for n	
2)					
3)					
- ar - ar - ar	The additional data sheet of the original certificate must contain: - a reference to the original certificate - a reference to the original test report - a unique number for this modifications - a description of the changes made including references to drawings and issue numbers				
- de	eclaration of accept	tance			
- เก	ε υαιε οι αμμιοναι	ани – п аррисарі	e – limited series numbers		

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY 0, 107/FIED BOOK		CO-ORDINATION OF NOTI hinery Directive 2006/42/E RECOMMENDATION	EC + Amendment	CNB/M/12.010 Revision 05 Language: E
Date of first stage: 25/10/1	996		To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG 12 ROPS and F	-OPS		☑ Vertical Group☑ Horizontal Committee	21/11/2013 10/12/2013
			To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 15/04/2014
Question related to: Direct	ive 2006/42/EC Art	ticle:	EN/prEN: EN ISO 3449:2008	Other: EN ISO 3411:2007
Annex: I	ES	SR (1): 3.4.4.	Clause:	Other clause:
			CEN TC concerned: TC 151 / ISO	TC 27
Key words: FOPS, Standir	ig operator			
Solution: According to EN ISO 3411 be 1955 mm (1905 mm + 5		a large operator 1905mm v	vithout helmet. The DLV height fron	n the standing platform shall

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY 0, NOTIFIED 8001	CO-ORDINATION OF NO Machinery Directive 2006/42 RECOMMENDATION	/EC + Amendment	CNB/M/12.012 Revision 07 Language: E
Date of first stage: 27/10/2	000	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG 12 ROPS and F	FOPS	 ✓ Vertical Group ✓ Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	21/11/2013 10/12/2013
		Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 15/04/2014
Question related to: Direct	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN: EN ISO 3471:2008	Other:
Annex: I	ESR (1): 3.4.3.	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned: TC 151 / ISC	127
Key words: ROPS			
Solution: The requirement of clause the structure. The use of o 6.2.6 and 6.2.7 i.e. load ap ROPS structure rotation s suggest that in a two-cylind required by clause 6.2.6 an	on point resulting of the forces of the two cylind	ch that "load distribution device" doe nnical arrangement to fulfil the requir nust be recorded in a "deformation co not induce rotation. The combination ers must be controlled in order to me	s not constrain rotations of ement laid down in clause ontrolled" loading sequence. n of the requirements eet the "deformation control"

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY 9, 107/FIED 800	Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment		CNB/M/12.016 Revision 02 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 31/07/20)13		To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG 12 ROPS and F	OPS		☑ Vertical Group☑ Horizontal Committee	21/11/2013 10/12/2013
			To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 15/04/2014
Question related to: Directive	ve 2006/42/EC Articl	e:	EN/prEN: EN ISO 3449:2008	Other: ISO 10262:2000
Annex: I	ESR	(1): 3.4.4.	Clause:	Other clause:
			CEN TC concerned: TC 151 / ISC	127
Key words: FOPS, tiltable of	cab			
Question: How should the FOPS on a	tiltable cab be tested?			
	m tilted position. It has to		ary. At least one with the cab in ho hat the vertical projection of the DL	

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

		Pa	age 1/1 of CNB/M/13.000 Re	
OFEN CO-ORDING	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES		CNB/M/13.000 Revision 03 Language: EN	
NOTIFIED BONK	RECOMME	INDATION FOR USE		
Date of first stage: 21/08/2	008	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Drigin: VG13 Full quality a	ssurance	☑ Vertical Group☑ Horizontal Committee	21/08/2008 09/12/2008	
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 18/06/2009	
Question related to: 2006/4	42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:	
nnex: X	EHSR (1):	Normative clause:	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned:		
ey words: equivalence to	Annex IX			
Do Annex IX and Annex X conformity assessment procedures lead to equivalent results, namely safe and compliant machines? Recommended solution: Yes. The outcome of Annex IX and Annex X conformity assessment procedures should be equivalent, namely safe and compliant machines. The focus of Annex IX is the type examination of a sample of the product by the Notified Body while for Annex X the focus of the Notified Body lies on the processes of design and manufacturing of the machinery. In both cases the manufacturer has responsibilities which can only be spot-checked by the Notified Body knowing that the outcome of both modules is considered equivalent.				

⁽¹⁾ Essential health and safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/13.001/R/E Rev 04

MACHINERY NO7/FIED BOILS	Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment		CNB/M/13.001 Revision 04 Language: E
Date of first stage: 21/01/20	008	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG13 Full quality as	ssurance	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	17/09/2007 10/06/2008
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 08/01/2009
Question related to: Directive	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: X clause 1	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: final inspection,	quality management, intermediate inspections		
Question: Does final inspection and te Solution:	esting only refer to tests after manufacturing?		
No. Although the wording o management system for "d during the production phase These activities are under t	f the directive suggests that the final inspection t esign, manufacture, final inspection and testing" e. he responsibility of the manufacturer and are to however the Notified Bodies shall take account o	also contains appropriate intermedi be differentiated from the direct con	ate inspections and tests formity assessment carried
Note: Production phase includes design, manufacture, inspection, testing and storage for the machinery			

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/13.002/R/E Rev ()7
	•••

MACHINERY ¹ O _{7/FIED} BON	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/13.002 Revision 07 Language: E
Date of first stage: 13/06/20	009	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG13 Full quality as	surance	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	26/08/2010 14/12/2010 Endorsed on:
		Machinery Working Group	23/05/2011
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: X clause 1	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: quality system,	compliance with standards, accreditation	L	
Question: Is it necessary for the manufacturer to have a quality system according to ISO 9001?			
Solution: No, compliance with the requirements of EN ISO 9001 normally provides a presumption of conformity to the relevant requirements of module H. However, since there are several additional requirements in the Annex X, compliance with ISO 9001 alone is certainly not sufficient as such to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of the directive. On the other hand, compliance with the standard is not mandatory, but the quality system must comply with the essential requirements of Annex X: no more, no less.			alone is certainly not ince with the standard is

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/13.003/R/E Rev 04

MACHINERY 0, 10, 11, FIED BOIL	Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment		CNB/M/13.003 Revision 04 Language: E
Date of first stage: 21/01/20	008	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG13 Full quality as	surance	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	10/06/2008
		To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 08/01/2009
Question related to: Directi	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: X clause 2.1	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: application, que	otation, selection of Notified Body		
Solution: It is not the intention of this requirement to restrict the manufacturer from obtaining several quotations, but simply prevent the practice of going from one Notified Body (NB) to another until one will issue certification. It is permissible for the Manufacturer to approach one or more Notified Bodies (NBs) and invite them to issue a quotation for providing the necessary assessment services required by Annex X of the Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC. The NBs that have been approached may require the manufacturer to supply relevant information the enable them to prepare the required quotation. This information may be submitted verbally or in written form as required by the NB. Once the manufacturer has decided to select a single NB to provide the necessary services that manufacturer shall be required to enter into an agreement (e.g. a contract) with that NB. In that agreement the manufacturer declares that they have not entered into a contract with any other NB to provide similar services for the same category or categories of machine. The selected NB will then request (if not already provided) the remaining information specified within clause 2.1 of Annex X.			urer to approach one or es required by Annex X of pply relevant information to required by the NB. Once he required to enter into an ed into a contract with any

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/13.004/R/E Rev 04

MACHINERY 9, NO7/FIED BODIE	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/13.004 Revision 04 Language: E
Date of first stage: 21/01/20	008	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG13 Full quality assurance		 ✓ Vertical Group ✓ Horizontal Committee 	17/09/2007 10/06/2008
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 08/01/2009
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: X clause 2.1 – 2 nd ir	ndent ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: manufacturer, s	sub-contractors, conformity, supplier, subsidiaries	;	
Question: Do substantial subcontract	activities of the manufacturer need to be identifie	d?	
Solution: Yes. Where the manufacturers sub-contract the whole, or a significant part, of either design, manufacturing, inspection, testing or installation (where installation is part of the deliverable) they shall declare this to the Notified Body they have selected to provide the services required. Significant in this context can mean an important activity which could have a bearing upon the final conformity of the product with the applicable legislation/standards (examples are full design of the machinery, manufacturing of an important subasembly having direct impact on safety). This does not apply to safety components (e.g. light curtains) or basic sub-assemblies procured completely from a supplier. The machinery manufacturer is responsible for obtaining from his sub-contractor the information and documentation required to the application of the Annex X. If the manufacturer is not able to provide the required documentation this shall be considered to be a maj nonconformity. For important subcontracting the Notified Body shall be required to visit the sub-contractor site. This shall be made by the Notified Body on behalf of the Notified Body. It is the responsibility of the machinery manufacturer to ensure access. The basic principle is that the sam logic shall be applied to a virtual manufacturer and a real manufacturer. If relevant work has been performed by different Notified Bodies the sub-contractor site, this should be taken into account.			elected to provide the of the product with the assembly having direct red completely from a documentation required for be considered to be a major ade by the Notified Body or ic principle is that the same

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/13.005/R/E Rev 04

MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment		CNB/M/13.005 Revision 04 Language: E
Date of first stage: 28/01/20	008	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG13 Full quality as	surance	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	17/09/2007 10/06/2008 Endorsed on:
		Machinery Working Group	08/01/2009
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: X clause 2.1 – 3 rd ir	ndent ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: representative	model, categories of machinery, risks		
Question: Who is choosing the model	and what is the category?		
Categories are therefore de 4.1, 4.2, 12.1, 12.2. Annex X clause 2.1 - 3rd inc hazards identified with the For purposes of conformity	s: "Categories of machinery to which one of the p efined, i.e. each group of machinery listed in one lent refers to "one model of each category". This machinery. assessment to Annex X, the Notify Body shall se nplete list of the products manufactured.	of the paragraphs from 1 to 23 or p model is a representative sample th	aragraphs 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, nat displays all the major

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/13.006/R/E Rev 02

MACHINERY 9, 107/FIED BODIE	Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment		CNB/M/13.006 Revision 02 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 08/10/20	007	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG13 Full quality as	ssurance	 Vertical Group Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	17/09/2007 04/12/2007 Endorsed on:	
Question related to: Directi	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	Machinery Working Group EN/prEN:	04/06/2008 Other:	
Annex: X clause 2.1 – 3 rd i		Clause:	Other clause:	
	ndent ESR (1):	Clause.	Other clause.	
_		CEN TC concerned:		
Key words: EC declaration	of conformity, technical file			
Is it necessary to get a cop	Question: Is it necessary to get a copy of the EC-declaration?			
Solution: Yes. A copy of the EC declaration of conformity is a component of the technical file. That is why the applicant should submit a draft of EC declaration of conformity to the NB.			hould submit a draft of the	

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M13.007/R/E Rev 03

MACHINERY ⁰ , ⁿ O _{7/FIED} ⁸ O ¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/13.007 Revision 03 Language: E
Date of first stage: 28/01/20	008	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG13 Full quality as	ssurance	 Vertical Group Horizontal Committee 	17/09/2007 04/12/2007
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 04/06/2008
Question related to: Direction	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: X clause 2.1 - 3 rd in	dent ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: technical file, as	ssessment on site, quality system		
Question: When does the technical file have to be made available to the NB?			
When does the technical file have to be made available to the NB? Solution: The technical file shall be made available to the NB before the assessment on site of the manufacturer is carried out. This is necessary, because the technical file will be used to validate the output of the quality system. The assessment of the quality system can only be positively finished if also the review of the technical file is positively finished. For this reason it is a recommendation for the machine manufacturer to submit the technical file as soon as possible. Note: When the NB has an experience on technical files related to specific categories of this manufacturer it may take it into account for the assessment of the technical files.			y system can only be tion for the machine

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/13.008/R/E Rev 02

MACHINERY 0, 107/FIED BOIL	Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment		CNB/M/13.008 Revision 02 Language: E
Date of first stage: 08/10/20	007	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG13 Full quality as	ssurance	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	17/09/2007 04/12/2007 Endorsed on:
		Machinery Working Group	04/06/2008
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: X clause 2.1 - 3 rd in	dent ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: complete techn	ical file, documentation, complex machinery, auc	it	
Question: Does the complete technica	al file have to be made available?		
Solution: Yes. The complete technical file has to be made available to show that the quality system is capable of generating sufficient and complet documentation output according to the requirements of Annex VII, Part A. For complex machinery, it might be difficult to submit a very voluminous and complete technical file before the audit on site. The content the documentation to be sent before the audit can be reduced in agreement with the NB. During the audit all the elements of the technic file must be available.			audit on site. The content of

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/13.009/R/E Rev 04

MACHEINERY ⁰ ¹⁰ ¹ ¹⁰ ¹ ¹⁰	Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment		CNB/M/13.009 Revision 04 Language: E
Date of first stage: 28/01/20	008	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG13 Full quality as	ssurance	 ✓ Vertical Group ✓ Horizontal Committee 	17/09/2007 10/06/2008
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 08/01/2009
Question related to: Direction	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: X clause 2.1 - 4 th in	dent ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: quality system	documentation, quality management manual, cer	tificates, audit reports, language	
Question: Shall the complete documentation according to Annex X clause 2.2 of the quality system be submitted to the Notified Body prior to the audit?			
Solution: No, the applicant must make available a controlled copy of his quality management manual or any other type of documentation accept to the Notified Body (NB) in due time before the audit. This need not include all detailed processes but will focus on the procedures were specifically developed in order to comply with the requirements of the directive. During the audit the complete documentation according to Annex X clause 2.2 must be checked. The language of the provided documentation must be acceptable to the NB. If the applicant requires the NB to take into account some elements already certified by another NB and or an accredited certification he shall provide the related certificates. Where appropriate the NB may require to review audit reports produced during the three last years.			s on the procedures which lete documentation accredited certification body,

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/13.010/R/E Rev 04

MACHINERY 0, 10, 11, FIED BOIL	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/13.010 Revision 04 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 08/05/2008		To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG13 Full quality assurance		 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	17/09/2007 10/06/2008	
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 08/01/2009	
Question related to: Direction	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:	
Annex: X clause 2.2 - 3rd in	dent ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned:		
Key words: technical design	n specification, sample, manufacturing facilities, i	nspections, audit plan		
Question: What is the role of the Notified Body of reviewing the technical design specifications?				
Solution: During the assessment of the quality system, the Notified Body will at first verify that the harmonised standards used by the manufacturer are the correct ones with regard to the different categories of machinery presented by the manufacturer. Care will be taken about the fact that there might be necessary to use different standards to cover the various types of machinery within one category. The Notified Body will also pay attention to the procedures developed by the manufacturer in order to ensure that he uses the latest version of the relevant standard. If harmonised standards are not used, or are partially used the Notified Body will evaluate the adequacy of the principles developed in order to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of the directive (see also CNB/M/13.009). The control of the effectiveness of these principles is made by the assessment of the technical file.				

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/13.011/R/E Rev 04

MACHINERY 0, 10, 11, FIED BOIL	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/13.011 Revision 04 Language: E
Date of first stage: 28/01/2008 To be approved by:		Approved on:	
Origin: VG13 Full quality assurance		 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	17/09/2007 10/06/2008
		To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 08/01/2009
Question related to: Directi	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: X clause 2.2 - 2 nd ir	ident ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: harmonized sta	andards, responsibility, design review		

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M13.012/R/E Rev 05

MACHINERY ⁰ , ¹ 07/FIED BOIL	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/13.012 Revision 05 Language: E
Date of first stage: 28/01/2008		To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG13 Full quality assurance		 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	23/10/2012 (*) 10/06/2008
		To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 08/01/2009
Question related to: Directive	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: X clause 2.2 - 3rd ind	dent ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: design inspection	on, design verification, independence, level of co	nfidence	
Question: Has the design inspection and design verification to be done by an independent person or department of the manufacturer?			
Solution: No, unless it is required by the quality system of the manufacturer or an applied standard. This directive, and others such as the PE- Directive and Lift Directive, and the current issue of the standard ISO 9001 do not explicitly require independence of persons or departments carrying out the design inspection and review. The manufacturer shall at least define responsibilities and competence for these persons and traceability of their actions. The manufacturer shall plan the inspection and review which shall be carried out under controlled conditions (instructions, checklists etc.). The final inspection shall include checking whether the design inspection and review has been performed correctly. Note: It is good practice to have design inspection and design verification performed by a person not directly involved in this design process.			
(*) Updating – to remove reference to an out of date version of ISO 9001			

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY 0, 10, 11, FIED BOIL	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/13.013 Revision 03 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 28/01/20	008	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG13 Full quality assurance		 ✓ Vertical Group ✓ Horizontal Committee 	17/09/2007 04/12/2007	
		To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 04/06/2008	
Question related to: Direction	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:	
Annex: X clause 2.2 - 3 rd in clause 2.3 - 1 st se		Clause:	Other clause:	
	· · · · · · · ·	CEN TC concerned:		
	xity, validation, competence			
Question: How shall the NB consider the complexity of the product?				
Solution: The complexity of annex IV products may vary substantially. A circular saw with electro-mechanical control components only is for example less complex than a Logic Unit to ensure safety functions realized with several microprocessors (hardware and software) to control a work tool machine. The validation of the applied design process and the validation of the specific product need an adequate level of detail and therefore an adequate amount of time, which means that the conformity assessment process needs more time for complex products. At least one of the members of the audit team shall have appropriate competence in the technical field and in the corresponding ESHR of the MD.				

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/13.014/R/E Rev 04

MACHEINERY ⁰ , ^N 07/FIED ^B O ¹¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/13.014 Revision 04 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 28/01/2008		To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG13 Full quality as	surance	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	17/09/2007 10/06/2008	
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 08/01/2009	
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:	
Annex: X clause 2.2 - 6 th in clause 2.3 - 1 st se		Clause:	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned:		
Key words: competency qu	alification of personnel, product specific requiren	nents		
Question: How shall the Notified Body assess the qualifications of the manufacturer's personnel?				
Solution: The Notified Body shall ensure that records are available to demonstrate the competencies of personnel undertaking tasks which could affect the conformance of the product with the relevant legislation/standards. Competency shall include, but not be limited to, product knowledge, experience of particular processes and awareness of the applicable quality system procedures, the relevant standards and the directive.				

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/13.015/R/E Rev 04

MACHINERY 0, HOTIFIED BOIL	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/13.015 Revision 04 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 28/01/2008 To be		To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG13 Full quality assurance		 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	17/09/2007 10/06/2008	
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 08/01/2009	
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:	
Annex: X clause 2.2 - 7th ind clause 2.3 - 1st se		Clause:	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned:		
Key words: machinery design, quality, compliance Question: How shall the Notified Body assess the means of monitoring the achievement of the required design and quality of the machinery?				
Solution: There are two parts to this question: In the first instance, the Notified Body (NB) has to check demonstrated "design" compliance with the requirement of the machinery directive. This compliance is assessed by sampling, mainly by examination of the representative technical files as defined by Annex X of the directive. In addition to the ability of the manufacturer to prepare an adequate technical file, it is important to assess the procedures developed in order to ensure that the different versions of the machinery will still comply with the requirements, taking into account the evolution of the state of the art. In the second instance, the NB has to check the existence and application of procedures for effective control of the conformity of produced machinery to the "approved" design. These procedures must also ensure monitoring of subcontracted and/or licensed design and production. The manufacturer has to ensure that test or check result data are recorded and that annexed documents remain available for a period of ten years from the last date of manufacture of that product.				

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.
Page 1/1 of CNB/M/13.016/R/E Rev 05

MACHEINERY 0, 10, 11, FIED 801, 21	CO-ORDINATION OF NOT Machinery Directive 2006/42/ RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/13.016 Revision 05 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 2/01/20	08	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG13 Full quality as	ssurance	☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee	
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 08/01/2009
Question related to: Directi	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: X clause 2.3	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: existing certific	ation, conformance, certified quality system	1	
Question: Can the NB fully rely on an	existing certificate (e.g. for ISO 9001)?		
Solution: No. A quality system certified to ISO 9001 alone cannot be considered adequate to demonstrate conformance with the requirements of Annex X. An ISO 9001 certified quality system must be adapted to integrate the additional requirements of the Machinery Directive (in particular Annex X), but it is up to the Notified Body (NB) undertaking the assessment to determine the extent to further modification. a NB can issue certification of conformance with Annex X of the Machinery Directive and such NBs must take full and sole responsibility for such certification. (*) Updating – to remove reference to an out of date version of ISO 9001			

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/13.017/R/E Rev 02

MACHINERY 0, 10, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11,			CNB/M/13.017 Revision 02 Language: E
Date of first stage: 08/10/20	007	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG13 Full quality as	surance	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	17/09/2007 04/12/2007 Endorsed on:
		Machinery Working Group	04/06/2008
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: X clause 2.3	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: auditors, experi	ts, competence		
Question: Must the team of the audito	ors consist of at least two persons?		
number of categories of ma auditor(s).	s shall be adequate for the size of the company o achinery. If the auditor's competence does not co shall not be regarded as an auditor.		

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/13.018/R/E Rev 02

MACHINERY 9, 107/FIED 800			CNB/M/13.018 Revision 02 Language: E
Date of first stage: 08/10/20	007	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG13 Full quality as	surance	 Vertical Group Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	17/09/2007 04/12/2007 Endorsed on:
		Machinery Working Group	04/06/2008
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: X clause 2.3	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: EHSR, technica	al file, review		
Question: How deep shall the review of the technical file be if its purpose is to ensure its compliance with the relevant HSR? Solution: Compliance with the essential health and safety requirements can only be ensured, if the technical file is reviewed in a si that required for module B, but without a detailed product inspection.			

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/13.019/R/E Rev 04

MACHINERY ⁰ , ¹⁰ , ¹¹ , ¹⁰ , ¹	Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment		CNB/M/13.019 Revision 04 Language: E
Date of first stage: 28/01/20	008	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG13 Full quality as	surance	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	17/09/2007 10/06/2008 Endorsed on:
		Machinery Working Group	08/01/2009
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: X clause 2.4	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: product change	es, changes of quality system, significant changes	s, contract	
Question: Is the planned change of th	e product covered by the planned change of the	quality system?	
each category of machinery nor - conversely - does a cl inform the NB about signific changes of the quality syste	ed Boy (NB) in assessing and approving a full qu y referred to in Annex IV. A change of the quality hange of the machinery necessarily result in a ch cant changes of the relevant technical files which em. It is recommended that contractual agreement information on product changes and new produ	system does not necessarily cause ange of the quality system. So the may have implications on the quali nt between the NB and the manufac	a change in the product manufacturer shall only ty system as well as direct

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/13.020/R/E Rev 04

MACLEINERY ⁹ ¹⁰ 	CO-ORDINATION OF NOT Machinery Directive 2006/42/I RECOMMENDATION	CNB/M/13.020 Revision 04 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 28/01/20	008	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG13 Full quality as	ssurance	☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee	17/09/2007 10/06/2008
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 08/01/2009
Question related to: Direction	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: X clause 2.3	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: notification, rep	ort, certificate		
Question: How should a Notified Body	y notify its decision?		
written report and/or an app and/or approval certificate s within one month. Where a judgement to enable the M further assessment visit. W	all inform the Manufacturer or Authorised Repress proval certificate. If this is not provided at the end should be submitted to the Manufacturer or Author pproval certification is being withheld, the written anufacturer or Authorised Representative to iden thether issued via written report or an approval certification all define exactly what has been approved in term	of the assessment visit itself, the w prised Representative within a reas report shall contain sufficient inform tify and take appropriate corrective ertificate, the NB shall ensure that c	vritten report of findings onable timeframe, normally nation and reasoned action prior to requesting a ertification is supported by

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/13.021/R/E Rev 04

MACHINERY ⁰ , ^N 07/FIED BOIL	Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment		CNB/M/13.021 Revision 04 Language: E
Date of first stage: 28/01/20	008	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG13 Full quality as	ssurance	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	17/09/2007 10/06/2008 Endorsed on:
		Machinery Working Group	08/01/2009
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: X clause 3.3	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: audit frequency	and duration, surveillance audits		
Question: How often have surveillanc	e audits to be done by Notified Bodies?		
determined by the Notified processes, how much work production volumes (e.g. hi	dits should not be longer than 12 months. The du Body taking into account the complexity of the M is sub-contracted etc.), the products involved (e gher volumes may require more frequent/longer frequency of surveillance audits.	anufacturer (e.g. number of sites, c .g. the number and variety of individ	omplexity of manufacturing dual products) and

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/13.022/R/E Rev 02

MACHINERY 0, NO7/FIED BOIL			CNB/M/13.022 Revision 02 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 08/10/20	007	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG13 Full quality assurance		 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	17/09/2007 04/12/2007	
		To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 04/06/2008	
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:	
Annex: X clause 3.4	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned:		
Key words: unannounced v	isits, contracts			
Question: Are there additional conditions for unannounced visits? Solution: Annex X of the directive indicates some of the reasons which might induce the need of unannounced visits. The frequency of these visits is a matter for the NB to determine at its discretion and, as appropriate following co-ordination with other notified bodies, but should not be unreasonable. A duly motivated complaint made to the NB by the Commission, a Member State, a manufacturer, another NB or any interested party is one of the factors which could trigger the need for an unexpected visit. It is recognised that the NB may carry out tests (or have them carried out) on the product where this is necessary to verify the quality system. Such tests should generally be confined to instances where clear evidence demonstrates that there is reasonable doubt about the				
It is recommended that con	tractual agreement between the NB and the mar	nufacturer foresees the possibility o	f these visits.	

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment		CNB/M/13.023 Revision 04 Language: E
Date of first stage: 08/10/20	007	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG13 Full quality as	surance	 Vertical Group Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	12/05/2009 10/06/2009 Endorsed on:
		Machinery Working Group	25/12/2009
Question related to: Direction	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: X clause 4	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: obligation to pre	eserve		
Question: Does only the technical file	referenced in 2.1 of Annex X need to be kept av	ailable for the national authorities, f	or a period of ten years?
	X does not remove the general duties of the mar ble to the authorities for at least 10 years).	nufacturer as defined in Annex VII A	A. clause 2 (all technical

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/13.024/R/E Rev 04

MACHINERY 0, NOTIFIED BODIE	Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment		CNB/M/13.024 Revision 04 Language: E
Date of first stage: 28/01/20	008	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG13 Full quality as	ssurance	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: 	17/09/2007 10/06/2008 Endorsed on:
		Machinery Working Group	08/01/2009
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: X clause 4	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: obligation to pre	eserve, quality assurance system documentation	L	
Question: Shall the Notified Body che for at least 10 years?	ck whether a manufacturer of the machine keeps	s each version of the quality assura	nce system documentation
-	t check whether a machine manufacturer keeps duct for at least ten years after the last of those p		e system which has had an

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/13.025/R/E Rev 04

MACHEINERY ⁰ ¹⁰ ¹ ¹⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹⁰ ¹ ¹⁰	Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment		CNB/M/13.025 Revision 04 Language: E
Date of first stage: 28/01/20	008	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG13 Full quality as	ssurance	☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee	
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 08/01/2009
Question related to: Directi	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: X clause 4	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: last date of ma	nufacture		
Question: What is meant by the last o	late of manufacture as used in Annex X?		
market (be this into service	re is the date upon which the last of a 'defined pro or the supply chain). 'Defined product' means or thin a particular Technical File. The relevant reco	he that has a specific and unique ide	entification name/number

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/13.026/R/E Rev 02

ORIAN CO-ORDINATION MACHINERY OR NOTIFIED BOTIS	Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment		CNB/M/13.026 Revision 02 Language: E
Date of first stage: 08/10/20	007	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG13 Full quality as	surance	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	17/09/2007 04/12/2007
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 04/06/2008
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: X	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: audit frequency	and duration, assessment		
Question: Is there a minimum require	ment for the time to be allocated to the assessme	ent?	
(e.g. number of sites, comp number and variety of indiv of IAF Guide 62 should be	r of assessment visits shall be determined by the lexity of manufacturing processes, how much wo idual products) and production volumes (e.g. hig used as a basis for determining a minimum base ed based upon experience gained from similar m	ork is sub-contracted etc.), the production of the product of the	ucts involved (e.g. the quent/longer visits). Annex 2

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/13.028/R/E Rev 03

MACHINERY ⁰ , ¹⁰ 7/ _{IFIED} ⁸ 0 ¹¹⁷	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/13.028 Revision 03 Language: E
Date of first stage: 08/05/20	008	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG13 Full quality as	ssurance	 ☑ Vertical Group ☑ Horizontal Committee 	17/09/2007 10/06/2008
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 08/01/2009
Question related to: Direction	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: X clause 2.1 - 3 rd in clause 2.3 - 3 rd pa		Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: technical file, sa	ample, manufacturing facilities, inspections, audit	plan	
Question: What is the role of the Notif	ied Body in the review of the technical file?		
Solution: The role of the Notified Body (NB) is to check whether the technical file fulfils the EHSR of the MD and to verify that the quality system can produce the product in conformance with the technical file. It is not the responsibility of the NB to test the product. When studying the technical file(s) submitted by the manufacturer, the NB prepares the audit and possible inspections at the places of design, manufacture, inspection, testing and storage. This will allow him to send an audit plan to the manufacturer before his assessment. There are two steps in the review of the technical file. 1. The NB will make a specific analysis of one technical file duly selected for each category of machinery and provided by the manufacturer in the context of section 2.1 – 3 rd indent. 2. During the audit, the NB will also review the existing technical files according to section 2.3 – 3 rd paragraph. The main purpose here is to check that the existing files are established with the same approach as the sample selected for deeper analysis. Note: For an annex X conformity assessment there will be no sample of the type of machinery to be examined at the site of the NB. All checks of samples to confirm compliance with the technical file have to be witnessed at the manufacturing facilities. A precondition to do these checks is the knowledge of the technical file of the representative model.			

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/13.029/R/E Rev 03

MACHINERY 0, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10,	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/13.029 Revision 03 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 21/08/2008 To be approved by:		Approved on:		
Origin: VG13 Full quality assurance		☑ Vertical Group	21/08/2008	
		Horizontal Committee	. 09/12/2008	
		To be endorsed by:	Endorsed on:	
		Machinery Working Group	18/06/2009	
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:	
Annex: X	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned:		
Key words: Subcontract				
Question: Is it possible for a Notified Body to subcontract to another Notified Body or another institution?				
Solution: Yes, it is permissible for a Notified Body to sub-contract some activities to another organisation including another NB or Subsidiary as defined within article R20 of the DECISION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL ON A COMMON FRAMEWORK FOR THE MARKETING OF PRODUCTS 768/2008/CE:				
According to article 20, the	original Notified Body must at least:			
 ensure that the su authority of their u 	ubcontractor or the subsidiary meets the requirer use;	nents set out for Notified Bodies an	d inform the notifying	
 take full responsil 	bility for the tasks performed by subcontractors o	r subsidiaries wherever these are e	established;	
 have the agreement 				
	institution is technically competent;			
•	task(s) to be performed by the other institution a	nd establish a suitable contract; an	d	
 monitor the performance of the subcontractor or subsidiary 				
It should be noted that some Member States include within their terms of appointment a requirement for a Notified Body to advise them of all sub-contracted activities.				

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/13.030/R/E Rev 03

MACHINERY 0, 107/FIED BOIL	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/13.030 Revision 03 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 21/08/2008		To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG13 Full quality assurance		☑ Vertical Group	21/08/2008	
		Horizontal Committee	09/12/2008	
		To be endorsed by:	Endorsed on:	
		Machinery Working Group	18/06/2009	
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:	
Annex: X.3.3	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned:		
Key words: reassessment				
Question: How is re-assessment of the quality system achieved?				
 The directive indicates that "the frequency of periodic audits shall be such that a full reassessment is carried out every three years". This requirement gives two possibilities for reassessment: 1. The NB issues an approval certificate valid for a period of three years and embarks of a surveillance programme, including periodic audits, which ensure that all aspects of the quality system are assessed within the three years of validity. Prior to expiry of the approval certificate, the NB reviews the audits performed during that period and if this is considered satisfactory, it issues a new approval certificate valid for a period of three years and embarks of a surveillance programme including periodic audits. Prior to expiry of the approval certificate valid for a period of three years and embarks of a surveillance programme including periodic audits. Prior to expiry of the approval certificate valid for a period of three years and embarks of a surveillance programme including periodic audits. Prior to expiry of the approval certificate valid for a period of three years and embarks of a surveillance programme including periodic audits. Prior to expiry of the approval certificate three years or 2. The NB issues an approval certificate valid for a period of three years and embarks of a surveillance programme including periodic audits. Prior to expiry of the approval certificate the NB arranges to attend the manufacturers to perform a full reassessment of the quality system. If the assessment is found to be acceptable a new approval certificate, valid for a period of three years, is issued. Note: Where the NB holds accreditation to EN ISO/IEC 17021, option 1 may not be permissible. 				

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/13.031/R/E Rev 0)4

MACHINERY ⁰ , ¹ _{07/FIED} ⁸ 0 ¹¹	CO-ORDINATION OF NOT Machinery Directive 2006/42/I RECOMMENDATION	EC + Amendment	CNB/M/13.031 Revision 04 Language: E
Date of first stage: 12/05/2009		To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: VG13 Full quality assurance		 Vertical Group Horizontal Committee To be endorsed by: Machinery Working Group 	12/05/2009 10/06/2009 Endorsed on: 25/12/2009
Question related to: Directi	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: X	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:
		CEN TC concerned:	
Key words:			
is detected? Note: A major non-conform requirements, or a situation the manufacturer is supply Solution: The Notified Body suspend shortest possible time. If the	Notified Body when a major non-compliance with ity is the absence of, or the failure to implement is in which would, on the basis of available objective ng. Is the approval of the quality system and requires ese are not corrected appropriately, the Notified in obligations for the Notified Bodies according to	and maintain, one or more quality n evidence, raise significant doubt as the manufacturer to resolve the no Body withdraws the approval of the	nanagement system s to the conformity of what on-conformities within the quality system.

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Page 1/1 of CNB/M/13.033/R/E Rev 04

Date of first stage: 21/08/2008 To be approved by: Approved on: Origin: VG13 Full quality assurance ✓ Vertical Group 23/10/2012 (*) ✓ Horizontal Committee 09/12/2008 To be endorsed by: Endorsed on: 18/06/2009 Question related to: Directive 2006/42/EC Article: EN/prEN: Other: Annex: X. 2.3. ESR (1): Clause: Other clause: CEN TC concerned: Vertical concerned: Vertical concerned:				
Image: Morizontal Committee 09/12/2008 To be endorsed by: Endorsed on: 18/06/2009 Question related to: Directive 2006/42/EC Article: Annex: X. 2.3. ESR (1): Clause: Other: CEN TC concerned:				
Annex: X. 2.3. ESR (1): Clause: Other clause: CEN TC concerned:				
CEN TC concerned:				
Key words: quality system, audit plan				
Question: What kind of documentation is to be delivered to the manufacturer by the Notified Body (audit plan)?				
Solution: The programming and planning of audits is an essential process to satisfy the needs and expectations of both Notified Body and applicant. An audit plan should be sent to the manufacturer. The audit plan should cover Identification of the applicable standard (for instance ISO 9001) and type of audit (initial assessment, surveillance) The dates of the audit Indication of the activities and clauses to be audited. Depending on the results of previous surveillance visits, focus can be set on some parts of the quality system concerned with design and/or manufacture (results of calculations, reports on the qualification of the personnel concerned) Identification of the language of the audit Identification of the sites to be audited The audit plan should be sent to the client at least five working days prior to the audit. (*) Updating – to remove reference to an out of date version of ISO 9001				

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

(1) Essential safety requirement Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

Example Certificate

EC APPROVAL OF A QUALITY ASSURANCE SYSTEM

In accordance with the requirements of the Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC

This is to certify that the Full Quality Assurance System of:

<Company Name> <Company Address> <Company Address>

has been assessed against the requirements of Annex X of Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC and conforms to the requirements for the following scope of approval:

Design and manufacture of < generic product description and any applicable limitations>

This certificate is only valid when accompanied by a current schedule with the same number detailing the categories of machinery corresponding to this approval.

Approval is subject to the continued surveillance of the Full Quality Assurance System in accordance with the requirements of the above Directive. Unauthorised changes to the Full Quality Assurance System will render this approval invalid.

Authorisation is hereby given to use the Notified Body Identification Number in accordance with the requirements of the specified Directive in relation to the categories of machinery identified in this certificate and accompanying schedule.

Certificate No:	<certificate number=""></certificate>
Original Approval:	<original date="" issue=""></original>
Current Certificate:	<subsequent date="" issue=""></subsequent>
Certificate Expiry:	<expiry date=""></expiry>
Notified Body Number	<nb <i="">Number></nb>

Issued by: <NB Signatory>

EC APPROVAL OF A QUALITY ASSURANCE SYSTEM CERTIFICATE < *Certificate Number*> SCHEDULE

In accordance with the requirements of the Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC

<*Company Name>*<*Company Address>*<*Company Address>*

Only the following specific categories of machinery (as defined within Annex IV of the above Directive) are covered by this approval of a quality assurance system:

Annex	Category Description
IV	
Claus	
е	

Schedule Issue: *<Schedule Number>* Date of Schedule Issue: *<Schedule Date>*

Notified Body Number <NB *Number*>

Issued by: <NB Signatory>

MACHINERY 0, NOTIFIED 8011	CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment RECOMMENDATION FOR USE		CNB/M/13.035 Revision 04 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 09/12/2008		To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin:		☑ Vertical Group☑ Horizontal Committee	12/05/2009 10/06/2009	
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 25/12/2009	
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:	
Annex: X	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned:		
Key words: Subcontract				
Question: How should subsidiaries of the manufacturer be dealt with?				
Solution: The Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC requires that the 'manufacturer' (e.g. <i>the person taking legal responsibility for placing the product on the market in their name</i>) fulfils the requirements of an appropriate Conformity Assessment Procedure. One possible option for an Annex IV product is the Full Quality Assurance procedure under Annex X. In this instance the Notified Body must assess the 'manufacturers' quality system to determine conformity with the requirements of Annex X. This assessment must include a visit to all manufacturer' inch circumstances the Notified Body shubidiaries of the 'manufacturer'. In such circumstances the Notified Body shull include details of the subsidiary's address within the certificate of approval. This assumes that the subsidiaries are relevant to the certification. If the subsidiary of the 'manufacturer' intends to place the product on the market in their own name then they are taking on the role of the 'manufacturer' and consequently must fulfil the requirements of an appropriate Conformity Assessment Procedure in their own right. Care shall be taken of the rights of the original manufacturer including intellectual property rights.				

(1) Essential safety requirement

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

MACHINERY ⁰ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹			CNB/M/13.037 Revision 03 Language: E	
Date of first stage: 12/05/20	009	To be approved by:	Approved on:	
Origin: VG13 Full quality assurance		 ✓ Vertical Group ✓ Horizontal Committee 	12/05/2009 10/06/2009	
		To be endorsed by: ☑ Machinery Working Group	Endorsed on: 25/12/2009	
Question related to: Directiv	ve 2006/42/EC Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:	
Annex: X clause 3.2	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:	
		CEN TC concerned:		
Key words: surveillance, qu	ality system, technical file			
Question: According to Annex X, 2.1 the manufacturer has to lodge an application for assessment of this quality system containing the technical file for one model of each category of machinery he intends to manufacture. Is it acceptable if in the process of approval of the technical file there is no possibility to see the product during the assessment of the quality system by the Notified Body? Solution:				
there is no possibility to see the product during the assessment of the quality system by the Notified Body?				

⁽¹⁾ Essential safety requirement

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.